JI Verification			F - JI - VRep		
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)					
		Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS			
JI activity					
Reference number and title of JI activity		0229: Pakruojo wind power park project			
Parties involved in the JI activity		Lithuania Netherlands			
Project participants		Dolomitas, AB Ecocom BG, LTD			
Coordinating entity (applicable to J	PoA only)	N.A.			
Type of JI activity: 🛛 large-scale	e ⊠ small-:	scale 🛛 LULU	CF 🗆 PoA		
Emission red	luctions/Enha	incements of rer	novals		
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)		01/10/	2010-31/12/2011		
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)		11862			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		⊠ Yes	□ No		
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)		⊠ N/A			
Reference number of JPAs verified		⊠ N/A			
Sampling approach used to v	erify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes □ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes					
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :					
No					
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications					
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 1 st periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "Pakruojo wind power park project" in Lithuania, which applies project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.					
The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of					

baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of DOLOMITAS, AB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 04. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 dated 15/01/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/10/2010 to 31/12/2011

Baseline emissions:	11862 t CO2 equivalents.
Project emissions:	0 t CO2 equivalents.
Emission Reductions (Year 2010):	2258 t CO2 equivalents.
Emission Reductions (Year 2011):	9604 t CO2 equivalents.
Total Emission Reductions:	11862 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ ¥€	es	⊠ No
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No

List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

Verification report

Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable.

LoA issued by Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture and Innovation of Netherlands, dated 22/12/2010

☑ Other relevant documents:

- Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
- Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
- **D** Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
- □ Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans
- □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)
- Any other documents (please list):

Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 15/01/2012)

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dzugan
Date and signature	03/04/2012 Juny