



AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity Waste heap #1, #2, #3 and #5 dismantling of Frunze mine with the aim of decreasing greenhouse gases emission into the atmosphere

Parties involved in the JI activity Ukraine, Latvia

Project participants PE "Spetsmontazh FC", SIA "Vidzeme Eko"

Coordinating entity (*applicable to JI PoA only*) Not applicable

Type of JI activity: large-scale small-scale LULUCF PoA

Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 15/09/2008-30/09/2012

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO₂ eq.) 10 112 990

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity Yes No

Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Reference number of JPAs verified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

Yes
 No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Verification opinion is provided in the verification report #Ukraine-ver/0771/2012

The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final Yes No

If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<i>If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i>			
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
List of documents attached to the verification report form			
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other relevant documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met <input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans <input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents (please list): ERUs calculation Excel-file "Calculation_T20_K.xls" 			
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE			
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov		
Date and signature	25/10/2012		