**BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION HOLDING SAS**

**PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF DOCUMENTS UNDER THE VERIFICATION PROCEDURE UNDER THE JOINT IMPLEMENTATION SUPERVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Check list for making a Project Design Document (PDD) and any supporting documentation publicly available on the UNFCCC JI website, according to paragraph 2 of the "Clarification regarding the public availability of documents under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee – Version 03"**

| QUESTION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|
| **1.** Was the correct version of the "joint implementation project design document form", the "joint implementation land use, land-use change and forestry project design document form", the "joint implementation project design document form for small-scale projects" or the "form for submission of bundled joint implementation small-scale projects", as applicable, used? | The **JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM Version 01** is used correctly. |
| **2.** Were all documents submitted correctly referenced? | Only PDD is submitted for uploading. |
| **3.** Were all documents and annexes listed in the table of contents of the PDD submitted? | Annexes 1-3 listed in the table of contents are submitted. |
| **4.** Were all documents submitted in English, or is an official translation into English provided? | PDD was submitted in English. |
| **5.** Was all the information marked as confidential or proprietary submitted? Information used to determine whether reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources or enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks are additional, to describe the baseline methodology and its application, and to support an environmental impact assessment referred to in paragraph 33 (d) of the JI guidelines, shall not be considered as proprietary or confidential. | There was no information marked as confidential or proprietary submitted. |
| **6.** Was the JI PDD form completed without modifying headings, format or fonts? | Headings, format or fonts was not modified, except of place of the UNFCCC logo on page on pages 25-38. Also page |

| QUESTION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|
| | number is not identified in the header (or moved to row below) on pages 18-38.<br>Is corrected in the revised version 1.1. |
| **7.** Is the numbering of the pages correct? | Yes. |
| **8.** If the section A.5 of the PDD indicates that the approvals by Parties involved are attached to the PDD, were these approvals submitted together with the PDD? | Section A.5 does not indicates that approvals attached to the PDD. |
| **9.** Is there, in the PDD any note like "Excerpts from this document may only be reproduced and used for advertising purposes with the express written approval of XXX? | There are no any notes. |
| **10.** If yes, is there an approval from XXX? | Not applicable. |
| **11.** Is the format of the tables in section D preserved (not modified)? | The format is not modified in section D. |
| **12.** Are the titles of sections preserved (not modified)? | The titles of sections are not modified. |
| **13.** Are maps and pictures in English? | Yes. |
| **14.** Is there any section in the PDD left blank? If yes, it shall be explicitly stated that the section is left blank on purpose. | Section D.1.1 is left blank. Please add clarification that "Option 1 is not used therefore section D.1.1 is left blank".<br>Is corrected in the revised version 1.1. |
| **15.** Is the title of the project consistent throughout the whole PDD? | Title is not consistent:<br>„Ciuteliai wind farm" or „Ciuteliai Wind Power JI Project" or „Čiūteliai wind power park joint implementation project".<br>Is corrected in the revised version 1.1. |
| **16.** Does the contact information on project participants include the name of the contact person and his/her details (phone, fax numbers and/or email)? | Contact person and details are included. |

**(V. 13/11/2009)**