JI Verification



6	
2	
0	- P

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form TÜV NORD CERT GMBH

				-		
			JI activ	/ity		
Reference number and title of JI activity		0172 / Methane Capture and Destruction at the Solid Waste Landfill in the City of Lviv, Ukraine				
Partie	s involved in th	ne JI activity		Ukraine and Unite	d Kingdom	
			Gafsa LLC (Ukrair Carbon Capital Ma	,	ted Kingdom)	
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)			N/A			
Туре	of JI activity:	X large-scale	□ small-	scale 🗆 LU	ILUCF	□ PoA
		Emission reduct	ions/Enha	incements of	removals	
Monit	oring period(s)	(DD/MM/YYYY - DD	/MM/YYYY)	01/04/2009 - 28/	(02/2011 - bo	th days included
sourc		n anthropogenic em nts of anthropogeni O ₂ eq.)		108,528		
	resent verificat activity	ion is the first with	regard to	ХҮе	S	□ No
to JI V	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-D	D/MM/YYYY)	X N/A		
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nur	nber of JPAs verifie	d	X N/A		
Iqq P	Sampling ann	roach used to verify	the De A	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u>:

X Yes

No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

TÜV NORD JI/CDM Certification Program has carried out the 1st periodic verification of the project: "Methane Capture and Destruction at the Solid Waste Landfill in the City of Lviv, Ukraine", with regard to the relevant requirements for JI project activities, as well as criteria for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to the Kyoto Protocol Article 6 criteria and the Guidelines for the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol as agreed in the Marrakech Accords.

The objective of the project activity is the reduction of anthropogenic GHG emissions by means of the

collecting and flaring of the landfill gas, which otherwise would be released into atmosphere.

This verification covers the period from 2009-04-01 to 2011-02-28 (including both days). In the course of the verification 3 Corrective Action requests (CAR) and nil Clarification Request (CL) were raised and successfully closed. No FARs have been raised to improve the monitoring system in the future.

The verification is based on the hosted monitoring report (dated: 2012-02-22^{/MR/}), final monitoring report (dated: 2012-04-26^{/MR/}), the monitoring plan as set out in the registered PDD^{/PDD/}, the determination report^{/FDR/}, emission reduction calculation spreadsheet^{/XLS/} and supporting documents made available to the TÜV NORD JI/CDM CP by the project participant.

As a result of this verification, the verification confirms that:

- all operations of the project are implemented and installed as planned and described in the validated project design document;
- the monitoring plan is consistent with the methodology;
- the installed equipment essential for measuring parameters required for calculating emission reductions are calibrated appropriately;
- the monitoring system is in place and functional. Reducing emissions from collecting and burning methane (landfill gas) are measured accurately.

the reductions of anthropogenic emissions by sources or enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks reported by project participant are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

As the result of the 1st periodic verification, the verifier confirms that the LFG emission reductions are calculated free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in a conservative and appropriate manner. TÜV NORD JI/CDM CP herewith confirms that the project has achieved emission reductions in the above mentioned reporting period as follows:

Emission reductions:	108,528	•	t CO2e
----------------------	---------	---	--------

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		Yes	Χ Νο
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	X N/A		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	X N/A	□ Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes X No		ΧΝο
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			

The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

X N/A 🗆 Yes 🗆

🗆 No

	evan	t rules and regulations for establishing plans		
List of	doc	uments attached to the verification report form		
Please	attao	ch relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly		
Х	V	erification report		
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable			
	Other relevant documents:			
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable		
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met		
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable		
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans		
		Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)		
	X	Any other documents (please list): Emission reduction calculation spreadsheet		
		rewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above onstitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE		
Operat	iona	I manager signing for the AIE Rainer Winter		
Date a	nd si	ignature 2012-05-22		