## JI Verification



## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(tobe completed by an AIE requesting the publication of adetermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity								
Reference number and title of JI activity			"Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Chernigivgas"					
Parties involved in the JI activity			- Ukraine - Switzerland					
Project participants			<ul> <li>PJSC "Chernigivgas"</li> <li>CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.</li> </ul>					
Coord	linating entity (app	olicable to JI Po	Aonly)	N/a				
Туре	of JI activity:	alarge-scale	□ small-s	cale 🗆 Ll	ULUCF	□PoA		
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals								
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)			01/08/2012 – 30/11/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of $CO_2$ eq.)			319 652					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□Yes	∕∕∕∎No				
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification perio	<b>d</b> (DD/MM/YYYY-D	D/MM/YYYY)	⊠N.A	•			
	Reference numbe	er of JPAs verifie	ed	⊠n.a				
	Sampling approa	ch used to verify	/ the PoA	⊠n.a	. □Yes	□ No		
	Stateme	ents regarding	g requirem	ents and	possible	e changes		
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant toparagraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :								
V	ÍYes							
	No							
	ation opinion							
docur		including a stat	tement rega	rding mate	riality and	on opinion in one of the d level of assurance, in erifications		
The ve	erification opinion is	provided in the a	ttached Verif	ication Repo	rt, ver. 02,	as of 17/12/2012		
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final			□Ye	s ⊠No				

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠n.a.						
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠N.A.	□Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:	ØN.A.	□Yes	🗆 No				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed							
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<b>⊠</b> N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No				
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	₽N.A.	□Yes	□ No				
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No				
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□Yes	ØNo					
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)							
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□Yes	□ No	⊠n.a.				
List of documents attached to the verification report form							
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	n process and	mark belo	ow accordingly				
<ul> <li>Verification report</li> <li>Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable</li> <li>ØOther relevant documents:         <ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>ØAny other documents (please list):</li> <li>Annex A. Supporting document1. Calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions at the gas equipment of the gas distribution points (cabinet-type gas distribution points) and the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Chernigivgas" in the period from August 1, 2012 to November 30, 2012</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolo	v Bureau	Weritas Certification				
Date and signature	17/12/2012		19 0/10				