

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the Ji guidelines)							
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS					
JI activity							
Refer	ence number and title of JI activity	0046: Sudenai and Lendimai Wind Power Joint Implementation Project					
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Lithuania Sweden					
Proje	ct participants	Vejo Elektra UAB Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (NEFCO)					
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) N.A.							
Туре	of JI activity: □ large-scale	scale 🗆	LULUCF	□ РоА			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2012-30/09/2012					
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals lks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)	13293					
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	_ Y	'es	⊠ No			
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A					
Appli	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :							
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the							
Jus	icase provide a vermoadon opinion (or a reference to the vermoadon opinion in one or the						

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 4th monitoring period verification of "Sudenai and Lendimai wind power park joint implementation project", which applies the project specific methodology.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues

and issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of 4ENERGIA, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan revision 1.0 (dated 15/07/2010). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 (dated 30/09/2012) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 30/09/2012 13293 t CO2 equivalents; Baseline emissions: Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents; **Emission Reductions:** 13293 t CO2 equivalents. Total Emission Reductions (Year 2012):13293 t CO2 equivalents The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes ⋈ No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period □ Yes ⋈ No If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

List of documents attached to the verification report form							
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly							
Verification report							
□ det	$\hfill \Box$ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.						
	Other relevant documents:						
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met					
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
		Any other documents (please list):					
	Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 30/09/2012)						
	Excel spreadsheet Monitoring data Sudenai-Lendimai 2012						

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dzugan
Date and signature	26/10/2012