

# JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT

## Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC power distribution system modernization

Organization manager – document developer

**Director**

**Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy Ltd.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(date)



Shpak Yaroslav Fedorovych  
(full name)

Manager of the company – owner of the source where the joint implementation project is to be implemented

**Chairman**

**Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(date)



Buben Oleksandr Oleksandrovych  
(full name)



**JOINT IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM**  
**Version 01 - in effect as of: 15 June 2006**

## **CONTENTS**

- A. General description of the project
- B. Baseline
- C. Duration of the project / crediting period
- D. Monitoring plan
- E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions
- F. Environmental impacts
- G. Stakeholders' comments

### **Annexes**

Annex 1: Contact information on project participants

Annex 2: Baseline information

Annex 3: Monitoring plan

**SECTION A. General description of the project****A.1. Title of the project:**

Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC power distribution system modernization

Sector: (2) Power distribution

Version 3.0

Date of the document: 04/03/2013

**A.2. Description of the project:**

**The objective** of the JI Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC power distribution system modernization is the realization of the programme of technical reconstruction of electrical network and equipment, introduction of the progressive technologies, organization structure improvement, transition to the higher organizational level of electricity grid transmission and distribution.

Taking measures foreseen by the project will let to increase the reliability and effectiveness of the distribution network of electric power in Ivano-Frankivsk and Ivano-Frankivsk Region, and enhance the quality of consumers service. It will also help to reduce the amount of electric power, that is lost in the distributive and transport electrical networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC, and that, in its turn, will help to reduce the amount of the generated electric energy and as the result pollutant emissions in the atmosphere.

**Situation at the beginning of the activity of the Project.**

Publik Joint Stock Company Ivano-Frankivskoblenergo (Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC) is an integral part of the unified energy system (UES) of Ukraine and provides the consumers of Ivano-Frankivsk region with the electric energy regularly and reliably under the uniform tariff.

At the beginning of the project Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC was realizing only such measures that were directed to the maintaining of electrical networks in good working order. These measures mainly included repairing work to eliminate errors, that arise during the operation of power networks. That resulted in the technological consumption, in 2002, in networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC which reached 24,69% from the electric energy amount, that was coming into the company's network.

Most of the equipment that was being used at that moment in the networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC was already physically and morally outdated, but because of the insufficient financing and operational reserves of this equipment it remained still in use. Besides, it was possible to change this situation not only in the case of technical provision of the network modification, but also in the case of company's organizational structure improvement, which also required financing and manpower.

The possibility to sell greenhouse gas emission reduction units, became one of the factors for the start of the introduction program, the goal of which is the reduction of technological power consumption in the Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC electric network.

**Project Scenario**

Joint implementation project is based on the implementation of complex of measures on elimination of power losses, which is introduced and financed since 2004.

The measures are taken within the framework of this program (see Section A.4.2), for the implementation and constant monitoring of potential sources of the technological losses and prevention of their appearing enabled Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC to reduce technological consumption in 2011 to 9,76% of the amount of electric power delivered to the network.

**Baseline Scenario**

Baseline scenario foresees further usage of equipment while performing of planned repairing work without substantial investments. More information about baseline scenario is provided in section B.

**History of the project**

On 29-th of January, 2002 was signed the decree of the Acting Chairman of "Prykarpattya" OJSC № 252 "On the establishment of a working group to reduce electricity losses during its transportation through electric grids and improvement of the reliable distribution of electricity to consumers of Ivano-Frankivsk region". It should be noted that annually with aim of TCE reduction there are working out programs of the enterprise's work for each reported period.



On November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 it was signed the agreement #2012/1691 with «IMEX ENERGO» Sp. z o. o. Preparation of the PDD.

### Advantages of the project

Apart from emissions reduction the implementation of project Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC power distribution system modernization has the following advantages:

- Creation of additional jobs, connected with the introducing of new equipment, construction and reconstruction of enterprise facilities;
- Pollutant emissions reduction by the cut down of the electric energy generation as a result of shortening of losses in the networks;
- Cutting production costs.

The realization of joint implementation project will reduce pollutant emissions by the shortage of electric energy generation, which is delivered to the network of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC. Thus, the realization of the project will reduce the greenhouses gasses emissions and will prevent from their further accumulation in the atmosphere, which in its turn, will loosen the climate changes.

### A.3. Project participants:

<u>Party involved</u>	<u>Legal entity project participant</u>	<u>Please indicate if the Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant (Yes/No)</u>
Ukraine (Host party)	Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC	No
Poland	«IMEX ENERGO» Sp. z o. o.	No

**Publik Joint Stock Company Ivano-Frankivskoblenergo (Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC) (project owner)**, EDRPOU code 00131564 is an integral part of the unified energy system (UES) of Ukraine and provides the consumers of Ivano-Frankivsk region with the electric energy regularly and reliably under the regulated tariff.

#### Branches of CEA

- 35.12. Transmission of electricity;
- 85.32. Proftehnicna education;
- 61.10. Activity in wired telecommunications;
- 35.13. Electricity
- 35.14. Trade in electricity;
- 42.22. Construction of power plants and telecommunications

According to the Decree of the President of Ukraine №282/95 from 04/04/1995 "On restructuring of the electric power sector in Ukraine", by the Decree of the Minister of Energy and Electrification of Ukraine N145 from 09/08/1995 on the basis of Ivano-Frankivsk regional enterprise of electric networks was established the State Joint Stock Power Supply Company "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" ("Prykarpattyaoblenergo" SJPSC) registered by the Executive Committee of Ivano-Frankivsk City Council on 20th of September, 1995. On 25th of September, 1998 a General Meeting of share holders of "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" SJPSC has decided to change its name from the State Joint Stock Power Supply Company "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" into the Open Joint Stock Company "Prykarpattyaoblenergo", registered by the Executive Committee of Ivano-Frankivsk City Council in October, 23, 1998.

On 12th of April, 2011 a General Meeting of share holders, pursuant to the Law of Ukraine "On Stock Joint Companies" has decided on changing of the Open Joint Stock Company "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" into the Public Joint Stock Company and making amendments and supplements to the Charter of "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" OJSC by the approval of the Charter of "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" PJSC in new wording. On 18th of April, 2011 the Ivano-Frankivsk Executive Committee has registered the Charter of "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" PJSC

«IMEX ENERGO» Sp. z o. o (a potential buyer), REGON 651542435 was created on December 9<sup>th</sup> 2003 in Przemysl. The main Company's activity is exportation, importation, and supply of specialized electric devices



for the energy sector, as well as natural gas, petroleum, liquefied petroleum gas, petrol, and electric energy supply.

The company also realizes some innovative-investment and ecological projects in Poland and Ukraine. In 2010 the legal address of the Company was changed, and now it is – Zheshuv, Przemysłowa, 14. Thereafter, the main Company's activity is:

- Development problem - stripped gas provision from Ukraine to Poland ( Podkarpackie region)
- Chervonograd cogeneration systems 24 MW technical documentation elaboration (PJSC Energoinwest)
- Project on power generation investments, from the use of stripped gas in Boryslav, Ukraine (CHP 12 MW) – the project received the letter of approval № 2 from the Ukrainian Ministry of ecology in 2006.
- great quantity of CHP and equipment, boilers, etc., import to the Ukraine
- project starting with the help of wind power (“Kryla vitru” project in the Truskavec power station 40 MW)
- biomass organization and obtaining, assembly equipment briquetting, as well as supplies form Ukraine to Poland.
- Collaboration with the local thermal and electric stations in the sphere of investments to the operational network of heat distribution, from cogeneration with the use of biomass as the fuel.
- Preparation together with the Ukrainian companies: ecological systems and EUEU.

**A.4. Technical description of the project:****A.4.1. Location of the project:**

The project is located in Ivano-Frankivsk and Ivano-Frankivsk Region

**A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):**

Ukraine

**A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:**

Ivano-Frankivsk

**A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc.:**

Ivano-Frankivsk

**A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the project (one page maximum):**



Figure 1. Location of the Ivano-Frankivsk Region on the map of Ukraine



Figure 2. The city of Ivano-Frankivsk (•) on the map of Ukraine

The project is implemented at objects of " Prykarpattiaoblenergo " PJSC in Ivano-Frankivsk and Ivano-Frankivsk region, located in the southwest of Ukraine (main office coordinates: long. 24°43'30" E, lat. 48°54'41" N). The region area is 13,9 thousand km<sup>2</sup> (2,3 % of territory of Ukraine). Population — 1 389,9 thousand people..

**A.4.2. Technology(ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project:**

Publik Joint Stock Company Prykarpattyaoblenergo (Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC) is an integral part of the unified energy system (UES) of Ukraine and provides the consumers of Ivano-Frankivsk region with the electric energy regularly and reliably under the uniform tariff.

At the beginning of the project Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC was realizing only such measures that were directed on the maintaining of electrical networks in good working order. These measures mainly included repairing work on eliminations of errors, that arise during the operation of electric networks. That resulted in the technological power consumption, in 2002, in networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC which reached 24,69% of the electric energy amount, that was coming into the company's network.

The objective of the project is the realization of technical reconstruction of electrical network and equipment programme, introduction of the progressive technologies, organization structure improvement, transition to the higher organizational level of electricity grid transmission and distribution by attracting investments.

The basis of the Joint Implementation Project is the Program of "Technological power consumption (TVE) reduction in the Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC electric networks", complex of organizational and technical measures to reduce the TVE (the system of electrical networks, the system of electricity and the power flow management system), which are financed and implemented since 2004 in the framework of the future development of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC which in its turn includes:

- realization of scientific and technical support, extension of the exploitation term of the functioning equipment, realization of the equipment diagnostics system and prognostication of its residual operating time;
- introduction of organizational and technical measures for technological power consumption reduction;
- reconstructions and renovations of the electric networks, and substitution of outdated equipment;
- attraction of investments for the development and achievement of high technical and economical level of the Company;
- increase of power supply reliability level for the region consumers;
- implementation of the Automatized system of commercial accounting of power consumption of the energy-supplying company perimeter, ASCAPC of consumers and substations;
- introduction of complex technical power consumption reduction Program;
- modernization of the equipment in the framework of the electric power development investment programs.

The Project provides creation of the TVE system management (energy rationing, energy audits and energy management) in the Company to effectively implement a number of organizational and technical measures and measures for the development and improvement of the TVE reduction methods during the implementation of licensed activities of electricity transmission and distribution.

Implementation of the programme is a continuous process that will be conducted over the whole operational period of the project.

The Project implementation provided the following measures:

**1. Organizational measures: For power transmission:****1.1. Metodological provision of the organizational measures:**

- 1.1.1. External audit and organization of constant internal audit of power transportation (power grid systems, power accounting and power streams and balances detection and control).
- 1.1.2. Formation of a technical database (a list and specifications of all components and charts of normal operation modes) of the Company's power grid conforming to annual and monthly operation reports.
- 1.1.3. Implementation of the program system of single-item calculation, analysis and optimization of TVE in the power grid units 110-35kV and 10-6-0.38kV aiming at localization of the inadmissible TVE.
- 1.1.4. Development of a planning, organization and monitoring system of measures aimed at elimination of power losses.
- 1.1.5. Creation of separate subdivisions within the Company dealing with the task of reducing power losses (measuring devices service, electricity losses analysis service etc.).
- 1.1.6 Introduction of organizational measures of collective and individual responsibility of the Company



employees for power loss reduction.

1.1.7. Introduction of the motivational mechanisms of the economic and moral stimulation of the Company staff to do the tasks on TVE reduction.

1.1.8. Other measures for TVE management improvement for power transmission processes.

### **1.2. Organizational and technical measures:**

1.2.1. Shutdown of transformers in small load mode: PS-110/35/10kV and TP/RP-10-6/0.38kV.

1.2.2. Shutdown of transformers at PS-110/35kV and TP/RP-10-6/0.38kV with seasonally changing load.

1.2.3. Regular monitoring and phasing load leveling in power grids 0.38 kV.

1.2.4. Modernization of program and technical measures of the operational and controlling management – Operational and informational complex (OIC), telemeasurement and alarm systems in the controlling centres of the company. – in the grids 110-35kV and 10-6-0.38kV.

1.2.5. Power grids normal operation mode optimization

1.2.6. Operation time reduction of the unoptimal power distribution transmission schemes operation by the reduction of the repairing and remedial works duration.

1.2.7. Reduction of the power consumption for the economic needs of the Company subunits.

1.2.8. Reduction of the power consumption for the personal needs. PS-110/35kV and TP/RP-10-6/0.38kV.

1.2.9. Other measures of TVE reduction for the power transmission processes.

### **1.3. Technical measures:**

1.3.1. Wire replacement on overloaded power lines of PL-110-35kV and PL-10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.2. Replacement of overloaded and under loaded transformers of 110/35/10kV and 10/6/0.38kV.

1.3.3. Installation of new transformers at the existing SS 110/35kV and TP-RP 10/6/0.38kV.

1.3.4. Replacement of outdated high loss transformers 110/35/10kV and 10/6/0.38kV.

1.3.5. Optimization of grid load 110-35kV and 10-6-0.38kV by capital construction.

1.3.6. Reconstruction and divestiture of PL-110-35kV and PL 10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.7. Line cleaning of PL-110-35kV, and PL-10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.8. Wire replacement in PL 110-35kV and PL-10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.9. Fastening the insulators, substitution of the contacts in PL-110-35kV and PL-10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.10. Replacement of twistings for clippings of PL 110-35kV and PL 10-0.38kV.

1.3.11. Installation of the outlet tips on KTP.10/6/0.38kV.

1.3.12. Installation of clutches RLND.

1.3.13. Insulation cleaning on PL-110-35kV and PL-10-6-0.38kV.

1.3.14. Checking and improvement of grounding on PL-110-35kV and PL-10-0.38kV.

1.3.15. Checking and improvement of contact connections on TP-RP 10/6/0.38kV.

1.3.16. Shortening of PL -110-35kV and PL-10-0.38kV.

1.3.17. Replacement of branching from PL -0.38kV to buildings.

1.3.18. Short circuit current measurement and replacement of commutators and fuses.

1.3.19. Improvement of contact connections, their temperature remote control using infrared imagers and pyrometers..

1.3.20. Installation of the equipment of lengthwise and cross reactive power compensation in the power grids of 110-35-10-6-0.38kV.

## **2. For power supply**

### **2.1. Organizational measures**

2.1.1. External audit and organization of constant internal audit of power supply (power grid system, power accounting and power streams and balances detection and control)

2.1.2. Development of a planning, organization and monitoring system of measures aimed at elimination of power losses.

### **2.2. Organizational and technical measures:**

2.2.1. Checking, improvement and accounting of power accounting facilities and power overflows with ORE participants.

2.2.2. Stimulating the transfer of the company consumers on tariff basis differentiated by time zones, in order to level the power consumption schedules during peak loads.

### **2.3. Technical measures:**





- 2.3.1. Installation of insulated lead-ins in dwelling houses.
- 2.3.2. Improvement of inner networks in blocks of flats (fastening the contacts, earthing, replacement of wire with wrong cross-section).
- 2.3.3. Introduction of automated systems of electricity consumption commercial accounting (ASECCA) for legal entities.
- 2.3.4. Implementation of SMART system of power supply accounting to individuals.
- 2.3.5. Introduction of ASECCA on the battery limits.

All these measures, implementation and continuous monitoring of possible sources of energy losses and prevent possible occurrence of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC reduce technical electricity losses in their electrical systems.

Reduction of technological power consumption in the Company networks allowed reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, caused by generation of electricity that was lost.

Duration of the project is unlimited, since the measures taken to detect and remove TVE in separate power network units and feeders, power network areas, as well as to reduce general technological power consumption in the Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC, are a constant and continual process. CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions reduction are affirmed on one crediting period (22 years) according to the modality and JI Mechanism procedures(3).

Purchase of equipment and supplies as well as carrying out of project assembly and commissioning operations are accomplished by contract organizations by tender in the order, established in Ukraine. Besides the equipment and work cost, the main criteria of equipment selection is its quality and reliability, as well as professionalism and responsiveness to ISO-9000 of executors. The equipment suppliers are national and foreign producers which have proved themselves in the power.

Works on technological power losses reduction are held in the framework of investment Programs of the Company, Plans of current and capital repairs, Plans of power networks maintenance that are annually approved by Ministry of Energy and Coal Industry of Ukraine and National Commissions, which provide state regulation in the energy sector of Ukraine (NERC).

**A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be reduced by the proposed JI project, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed project, taking into account national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances:**

Introduction of the project will secure the reduction of greenhouse gasses emissions, due to the power production cut down in the national power network.

The list of measures aimed at reducing electricity losses in networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC is given in Section A.4.2 above.

At the start of the Project there existed a number of normative acts ( Power conservation Act), the aim of which was to stimulate manufacturers and suppliers to the power conservation activity. Yet, these acts had formal character, and were ineffective.

Realization of suggested reconstruction project demand considerable funding. At present, project funding on the foreign market is available for short period of time (up to three years), and interest rate is high. Obtaining of project funding on the foreign loan market for Ukrainian companies is complicated by low international ratings of Ukraine and, accordingly, high risks of investments. Additional income from the JIE sale was one of the leading criterias for the enterprise owners in the decision to make investments into the suggested project. The possibility to get the JIE, before the decision about the investment had been taken, was considered to be on the master-plan preparing stage.

Additional income from the JI mechanism usage will positively influence the economical indices of the project. JI project realization will raise inner profitability rate and reduce project payback period.

Most of the equipment, used at that moment, in the networks of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC was already physically and morally outdated, but because of the insufficient financing and operational reserves of this equipment, it remained still in use. Besides, changing of this situation was possible not only in the case of modification of technical provision of the network, but also in the case of company's organizational structure improvement, which also required financing and manpower.

**A.4.3.1. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the crediting period:**



Emission reduction calculations provided in the Excel file «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.» .

For usability of calculation of emission reductions in the Excel file «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.» , all values are rounded to integers. Therefore, the summation of the emission reductions that are listed in Tables № № 1,2,3 may slightly differ.

Table 1. Emission reductions for the period 2004 - 2007.

Year	Estimate of annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2004	165772
2005	187470
2006	189480
2007	182857
<b>Total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2004-2007 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>725579</b>
<b>Annual average of estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2004-2007 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>181395</b>

Table 2. Emission reductions for the crediting period 2008 - 2012.

Year	Estimate of annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2008	219940
2009	180841
2010	215216
2011	315770
2012	232953
<b>Total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2008-2012 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>1164720</b>
<b>Annual average of estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2008-2012 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>232944</b>

Table 3. Reduction of post-Kyoto period 2013-2025.

Year	Estimate of annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
2013	232953
2014	232953
2015	232953
2016	232953
2017	232953
2018	232953
2019	232953
2020	232953
2021	232953
2022	232953
2023	232953
2024	232953
2025	232953
<b>Total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2013-2025 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>3028390</b>
<b>Annual average of estimated emission reductions over the crediting period 2013-2025 (tones of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)</b>	<b>232953</b>

#### **A.5. Project approval by the Parties involved:**

On September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012 - received a letter of support for the project Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC power distribution system modernization № 2688/23/7 from the State Environmental Investment Agency Ukraine. Approval by the investor country (Ministry of the Environment of Poland) and approval by the Ukrainian authorities (State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine) will be received after the successful passage of determination.

**SECTION B. Baseline****B.1. Description and justification of the baseline chosen:**

For determination baseline scenario and demonstration additionality was used JI specific approach based on Methodological tool "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" (Version 04.0.0). Using this tool is a common practice in determining the baseline and demonstrateion additionality.

Baseline scenario is determined according to the following four Steps:

STEP 1. Identification of alternative scenarios;

STEP 2. Barrier analysis;

STEP 3. Investment analysis (if applicable);

STEP 4. Common practice analysis.

***Step 1: Identification of alternative scenarios***

***Step 1a: Define alternative scenarios to the proposed JI project activity***

Only two alternatives are the most veritable for the suggested project activity.

*Alternative A:* Continuation of the current situation.

*Alternative B:* Implementation of proposed project activity without JI registration.

Partial implementation of the TVE reduction program in the Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC electric power networks will reduce the effect of its implementation. That's why this scenario is not considered as an alternative to the proposed project activity.

**Outcome of Step 1a:** Two most plausible alternatives were identified. List of identified alternatives is presented above.

***Sub-step 1b: Consistency with mandatory applicable laws and regulations***

At the start of the project there existed a number of regulation acts (Law on energy saving) aiming at directing the producers and suppliers to the sphere of energy saving. However, these acts mostly had formal character and were ineffective. It is confirmed by the permanent increase of TVE in the electrical network of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC before the start of the project.

**Outcome of Step 1b:** Alternative A, Alternative B, is in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

***Step 2: Barrier analysis***

***Sub-step 2a: Identify barriers that would prevent the implementation of alternative scenarios***

*Alternative A:* Continuation of the current situation.

There are no barriers for this Alternative.

*Alternative B:* Implementation of proposed project activity without JI registration

*Investment barriers:* The project activity within the framework of the suggested project is a perpetual process which requires considerable annual investments and manpower attraction.

This is connected with:

- Annual electrotechnical equipment renewal, which is represented in the Ukrainian market;
- Necessity of the perpetual monitoring of places where the electricity is lost, their removal and prevention of their appearing;
- Necessity of the perpetual staff training to work with he new equipment.

Constant funding in Ukraine is possible only in case of financial attraction of the project. The current system of electric power tariff formation shifts the financial burden of technological power consumption on the final consumers and does not allow to receive the income from their reduction.

The access to the financial resources on the international level is highly limited for the suggested project. The investment environment in Ukraine is rather poor in comparison with the neighbor countries. The confirmation of this is the sovereign rating of Ukraine according to the Fitch records in comparison with some neighbor countries of the Eastern Europe.

Ukraine B-

Poland A-

Hungary BBB

Slovakia A+

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.



Due to the considerable volume of capital investments, needed for the accomplishment of the project, the funding obtaining from the international institutions may be rather difficult. Funding chances on the national level are also restricted. Nowadays commercial banks of Ukraine grant project financing at about 30% annually in the national currency on a three-year term. Examples of the largest bank institutions of Ukraine are: Raiffaizen Bank Aval ([www.aval.ua](http://www.aval.ua)), Pryvatbank ([www.privatbank.com.ua](http://www.privatbank.com.ua)), Pravex Bank ([www.pravex.com.ua](http://www.pravex.com.ua)).

Taking into consideration all the hereinbefore mentioned, the funding of the project is possible only under the condition of funds attraction from the selling of greenhouse gases emission reduction units.

**Outcome of Step 2a:** List of barriers is provided above.

**Sub-step 2b: Eliminate alternative scenarios which are prevented by the identified barriers**

Only *Alternative A* is not prevented by the identified barriers..

**Outcome of Step 2b:** Only *Alternative A* is not prevented by the identified barriers.

**Step 3: Investment analysis**

For the justification of the baseline scenario and additionality demonstration barrier analyse was used.

**Outcome of Step 3: N/A**

**Step 4: Common practice analysis**

Most similar projects (like utilization of coal mine methane ) were implemented with grants or other non-commercial finance terms (for example JI investment). The common practice for Ukraine at the beginning of the project introduction was the operation work implementation in the volume necessary to keep the network in a good working order and the technological power consumption reduction activity.

**Outcome:** In consideration of mentioned above *Alternative A* is most plausible baseline scenario that is not prevented by any barriers and is in line with host Party common practice.

**Key information and data used for baseline setting are provided below in tabular form:**

<b>Data/Parameter:</b>	$V_y$
Data unit	MWh
Description	Total reduction of technical power losses in the power grid during the period $y$ of the project scenario compared with the baseline scenario
Time of determination/monitoring	Annually
Source of data (to be) used	Estimated by EES Ltd based on the statistical data of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC using the approved methodology as in Appendix 3 to PDD. Estimates under the methodology are shown as an Excel file «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.»
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	232953 MWh (for emission reduction estimation after 2011 the average value for 2008-2011 has been taken. See Excel file «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.» .
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	This parameter is an objective quantitative representation of the project implementation results.
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	This parameter is defined according to the valid norms, rules and approved methodology based on the company's statistical data.
Any comment	

<b>Data/Parameter:</b>	$CEF_y$
Data unit	t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh)
Description	Carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year $y$
Time of determination/monitoring	Annually
Source of data (to be) used	Reference data (approved calculations and Orders of the National

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.



	Agency for Environmental Investments as indicated below)
Value of data applied (for ex ante calculations/determinations)	For 2003 – 0.770 <sup>1</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2004 – 0.755 <sup>2</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2005 – 0.740 <sup>3</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2006-2007 p– 0.807 <sup>4</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2008 - 1.082 <sup>5</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2009 - 1.096 <sup>6</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) For 2010 - 1.093 <sup>7</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh) (See Appendix 2) For 2011-2025 - 1.090 <sup>8</sup> t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh)
Justification of the choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures (to be) applied	Using such factors is a common practice when estimating IJ projects.
QA/QC procedures (to be) applied	Only officially approved factors have been used for estimation.
Any comment	

Parameters, subjected to the monitoring are given in the tables D.1.1.1. and D.1.1.3 Section D

**B.2. Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the JI project:**

For baseline setting, demonstration of additionality and feasibility of the JI project implementation the 'Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality' (Version 04.0.0) has been used. Usage of the tool is a common practice when developing JI Projects.

According to this tool the barrier analysis and the common practice analysis have been used to demonstrate the additionality of the project. The analyses show that the most probable baseline scenario is the continuation of the present situation at the moment of the project commencement. Therefore, the suggested project is not a baseline scenario and corresponds to the additionality principles.

A more detailed description of the implementation of the 'Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality' (Version 04.0.0) is provided in B.1 above.

<sup>1</sup> <http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html>

<sup>2</sup> <http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html>

<sup>3</sup> <http://ji.unfccc.int/CallForInputs/BaselineSettingMonitoring/ERUPT/index.html>

<sup>4</sup> <http://ji.unfccc.int/UserManagement/FileStorage/46JW2KL36KM0GEMI0PHDQTQF6DVI514>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127171>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127172>

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=126006>

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498>

**B.3. Description of how the definition of the project boundary is applied to the project:****Project boundaries**

The approach to the emission calculation takes into consideration the CO<sub>2</sub> emission only, which is formed as a result of the electric power production, necessary for the compensation of the technological consumption in the network and in the distributing transformer stations, and in the substations of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC. The limits of the project scenario are shown on the Figures 3a and 3b (they are encircled with a dotted line).




Figure 3a Project boundary of baseline scenario




Figure 3b Project boundary of project scenario



Equipment within the project boundaries is given in the table:

Designation	Unit	Quantity	Capacity MVA
<b>Power grid total length, incl.:</b>			
<b>air:</b>	<b>km</b>	<b>24826</b>	<b>-</b>
150 kV	km	-	-
110 kV	km	1001	-
35 kV	km	1153	-
10 kV	km	7429	-
6 kV	km	261	-
0.38 kV	km	14982	-
<b>cable:</b>	<b>km</b>	<b>1440</b>	<b>-</b>
35 kV	km	-	-
10 kV	km	495	-
6 kV	km	277	-
0.38 kV	km	668	-
<b>Substation total number:</b>		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>150/150/110/35 kV</b>	<b>pcs</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>1722,7</b>
150 kV	pcs	-	-
110 kV	pcs	39	1111,2
35 kV	pcs	91	611,5
<b>Transformer total number:</b>		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>150/110/35 kV</b>	<b>pcs</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>1722,7</b>
150 kV	pcs	-	-
110 kV	pcs	68	1111,2
35 kV	pcs	162	611,5
<b>Substation total number:</b>		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>SCTP,KTP,ZTP 10/6/0.38 kV</b>	<b>pcs</b>	<b>5871</b>	<b>1169,251</b>
Single-transformer SCTP	pcs	98	6,266
KTP	pcs	4501	566,87
<i>No transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>One transformer</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>4500</i>	<i>566,37</i>
<i>Two transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0,5</i>
ZTP	pcs	1272	596,115
<i>No transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>One transformer</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>377</i>	<i>98,09</i>
<i>Two transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>895</i>	<i>498,025</i>
<b>Transformer total number:</b>		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>10 kV10/6/0.38 kV</b>	<b>pcs</b>	<b>6465</b>	<b>1204,272</b>
<b>Distribution plant total number 10kV:</b>	<b>pcs</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>35,021</b>
<i>No transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>-</i>
<i>One transformer</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>3,001</i>
<i>Two transformers</i>	<i>pcs</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>32,02</i>





The list of the sources and the greenhouse gases that were included into the boundaries of the project is given in the table 4.

Table 4: Sources of emissions and greenhouse gases included or excluded from the project boundary

	Source	Gas	Included / Excluded	Justification / Explanation	
<b>Baseline emissions</b>	Ukrainian ECO electric power stations that consume fossil fuel.	CO <sub>2</sub>	Included	Emission is caused by burning of the fossil fuel by the Ukrainian ECO electric power stations to generate electricity which is necessary to make amends for consumption in the electrical network of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC in the baseline.	
		CH <sub>4</sub>	Excluded	Excluded for simplification	
		N <sub>2</sub> O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification	
<b>Project emissions</b>	Emissions related to the equipment installed in the project	SF <sub>6</sub>	Excluded	Insulating gas (SF <sub>6</sub> ), used in circuit breakers and other equipment Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC is toxic and is listed as gas circulation and utilization of which is under the control of state environment organizations. Equipment containing Insulating gas is hermetically sealed and prevents leakage of gas into the atmosphere. In the case of it failure or decommissioning SF <sub>6</sub> will be collected and reused by filling in new similar equipment. In connection with all the above SF <sub>6</sub> emissions were excluded from the calculations.	
		Ukrainian ECO electric power stations that consume fossil fuel	CO <sub>2</sub>	Included	Emission is caused by burning of the fossil fuel by the Ukrainian ECO electric power stations to generate electricity which is necessary to make amends for technological power consumption in the electrical network of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC after the reduction of the technological power consumption volume as a result of the project activity.
			CH <sub>4</sub>	Excluded	Excluded for simplification
	N <sub>2</sub> O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification		

**B.4. Further baseline information, including the date of baseline setting and the name(s) of the person(s)/entity(ies) setting the baseline:**

**Finalization date of the baseline developing – 29/08/2012.**

Baseline was set by Ltd «EES»

Table 5. Project developer - personal information

Organisation:	<b>Ltd “ Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy ”</b>
Street/P.O.Box:	Sichovih striltsiv
Building:	12/9
City:	Lviv
State/Region:	Lviv
Postal code:	79000
Country:	Ukraine
Phone:	+380324 451601, +38032 2610776
Fax:	+380324 451601, +38032 2610776
E-mail:	<a href="mailto:ecoes@mail.ru">ecoes@mail.ru</a> , <a href="mailto:peklviv@meta.ua">peklviv@meta.ua</a>
Title:	Director
Last Name:	Shpak
First Name:	Yaroslav
Middle Name:	Fedorovych
Phone (direct):	+380324 451601, +38032 2610776
Fax (direct):	+380324 451601, +38032 2610776
Mobile:	+380504315929

Ltd «EES» is not Project Participant.

**SECTION C. Duration of the project / crediting period****C.1. Starting date of the project:**

On 29-th of January, 2002 was signed the decree of the Acting Chairman of "Prykarpattyaoblenergo" OJSC № 252 "On the establishment of a working group to reduce electricity losses during its transportation through electric grids and improvement of the reliable distribution of electricity to consumers of Ivano-Frankivsk region". .

**C.2. Expected operational lifetime of the project:**

25 years (300 months) or more – the program provides perpetual realization of the measures towards the reduction of technological power consumption in the electrical network of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC

**C.3. Length of the crediting period:**

Duration period is 22 years (264 months):

2004-2007 – Early crediting period (the project will qualify for an early test of quotas in accordance with Article 17 of the Kyoto Protocol);

2008-2012 – crediting period (the period of commitment);

2013-2025 – post-commitment period (period of credit extension beyond 2012 requires approval by the project Host country).

Period ERU generation will begin only on 01.01.2008 and will not exceed the lifetime of the project.

Date of the crediting period January 1, 2004 End Date December 31, 2025



**SECTION D. Monitoring plan**

**D.1. Description of monitoring plan chosen:**

The proposed project uses a specific approach for Joint Implementation projects on Methodological tool "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" (Version 04.0.0).

Data collected for monitoring will be stored electronically and/or paper form. All measurements carried used calibrated measuring equipment according to relevant industry standards.

The main parameter that objectively reflects CO2 emission reductions is reducing technological power consumption in electricity networks of the Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC. The rate of technological power consumption reflects electricity that being spent in total electricity received by the network.

The main parameters that are monitored during the crediting period and parameters to be determined once for the entire crediting period and not subject to monitoring are presented below. Other parameters not included in the monitoring are derived and should be calculated using the initial parameters.

**The monitoring project emission includes such parameters:**

Not applicable

**Parameters for the project emission that are calculated only once for the whole financing period:**

Not applicable

**Parameters for the baseline emission monitoring:**

Vy - Total reduction of technical power losses in the power grid during the period y of the project scenario compared with the baseline scenario

This parameter is defined according to the valid norms, rules and approved methodology based on the company's statistical data. This parameter is an objective quantitative representation of the project implementation results.

CEFy - Carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year y, t CO2e/MWh (kg CO2e/kWh).

This value objectively reflects specific carbon dioxide emissions due to power losses during transportation. Using such factors is a common practice when estimating JI projects. Only officially approved factors have been used for estimation.

**Parameters for the project emission that are calculated only once for the whole financing period:**

Not applicable

Scheme of data collection and data management is provided in Section D.3.

Emission reduction units verification will be based on annual data. Responsible for documentation and submission to Accredited Independent Entities (AIEs) is Ltd "EES".

**D.1.1. Option 1 – Monitoring of the emissions in the project scenario and the baseline scenario:**

**D.1.1.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emissions from the project, and how these data will be archived:**

ID number <i>(Please use</i>	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m),	Recording	Proamount of	How will the data be	Comment
------------------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------	---------------	-----------	--------------	----------------------	---------



<i>numbers to ease cross-referencing to D.2.)</i>				calculated (c), estimated (e)	frequency	data to be monitored	archived? (electronic/paper)	
1. $PE_y$	Greenhouse gasses project emission	Greenhouse gases emission monitoring	tCO2e	c	yearly	100 %	Electronic and paper	

**D.1.1.2. Description of formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

The mission reduction will be achieved by reducing power losses in the company's power grids which in its turn will be achieved as a result of the project implementation.

Since the baseline emissions are calculated based on difference between of power loss before and after the project implementation, consequently the project emission will equal zero.

$$PE_y = 0$$

**D.1.1.3. Relevant data necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources within the project boundary, and how such data will be collected and archived:**

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proamount of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/ hard copy)	Comment
2. $BE_y$	Baseline emissions	Greenhouse gases emission monitoring	tCO2e	c	annually	100 %	Electronic and paper	
3. $V_y$	Power loss reduction in power distribution system during period y	Greenhouse gas emission monitoring	MWh	c	annually	100 %	Electronic and paper	Calculated in line with approved methodology ( $V_y = \Delta A_{ALamount}^{emissions}$ , see Annex 3)



4.	$CEF_y$	Carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year y	Default value	t CO <sub>2</sub> e/MWh (kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/kWh)	e	annually	100%	Electronic and paper	
----	---------	--	---------------	--	---	----------	------	----------------------	--

**D.1.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

Therefore, the baseline emissions are:

$$BE_y = V_y \cdot CEF_y, \tag{1}$$

where

- $BE_y$  - baseline emissions (tCO<sub>2</sub>e);
- $V_y$  - total technical loss reduction in the power distribution system during the period y of the project scenario compared with the baseline, MWh;
- $CEF_y$  - carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year y, t CO<sub>2</sub>e/MWh (kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh);
- y - the year for which estimates are made.

**D. 1.2. Option 2 – Direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project (values should be consistent with those in section E.):**

Not applicable

**D.1.2.1. Data to be collected in order to monitor emission reductions from the project, and how these data will be archived:**

ID number (Please use numbers to ease croPS-referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proamount of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/paper)	Comment

**D.1.2.2. Description of formulae used to calculate emission reductions from the project (for each gas, source etc.;**



**emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

Not applicable

**D.1.3. Treatment of leakage in the monitoring plan:**

Increase of the greenhouse gas emission outside the project, which may be caused by the project activity is not anticipated.

**D.1.3.1. If applicable, please describe the data and information that will be collected in order to monitor leakage effects of the project:**

ID number (Please use numbers to ease croPS-referencing to D.2.)	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proamount of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (electronic/paper)	Comment

**D.1.3.2. Description of formulae used to estimate leakage (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

Not applicable

**D.1.4. Description of formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the project (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

Emissions reductions are defined by the following equation:

$$ER_y = BE_y - (PE_y + LE_y), \tag{2}$$

Where:

- $ER_y$  - emission reduction during the year y, t CO<sub>2</sub>e;
- $BE_y$  - baseline emission of the greenhouse gases in the year y, t CO<sub>2</sub>e;
- $PE_y$  - greenhouse gases emission caused by the project activity in the year y, t CO<sub>2</sub>e;
- $LE_y$  - escape emission in the year y, t CO<sub>2</sub>e.

**D.1.5. Where applicable, in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party, information on the collection and archiving of information on the environmental impacts of the project:**

The project implementation does not require gathering of information on the influence on the environment in excess of information collected at the company prior to the project inception.

**D.2. Quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures undertaken for data monitored:**

Data	Uncertainty level of data (high/medium/low)	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
Table D.1.1.3.4	low	Defined according to the approved methodology as in Appendix 3 based on the company's statistical data



<i>Table D.1.1.3.5</i>	low	Only officially approved factors have been used for estimation
------------------------	-----	--

**D.3. Please describe the operational and management structure that the project operator will apply in implementing the monitoring plan:**

Monitoring plan doesn't envisage taking any additional measures on installing of new measuring instruments or collecting additional measures other than those which are performing at the enterprise at the moment. The scheme of data collection according to the monitoring plan is shown on Figure 4.






Fig. 4 Scheme for collecting data for monitoring of project parameters

Reduction of power losses in grids of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC is annually calculated by the specialists of the technical counselor (Ltd «EES»), using the approved technology «Methodology of compiling of the power balance structure in the grids 0.38-150 kV, analysis of its components as well as the regulation of technological power losses GND 34.09.104-2003 on the basis of statistical data of the company.



The monitoring plan does not anticipate any additional measures on installation of any new measuring equipment or collecting any new parameters except those already existing in the company.

To calculate the monthly balance of power in Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC such steps should be taken:

1. During the calculated month the structural units of " Prykarpattyaoblenergo " PJSC determine the value of consumed energy by electricity users (on the basis of figures calculated with consumers counters that is the information given by consumers, counters indices , taken by Company's workers, AMR data). By the end of calculated month is formed a monthly value of profitable energy issue which is send to Electricity Selling Department of the Company.

2. During all calculated month Prykarpattyaoblenergo " PJSC on the basis of AMR data controls the value of electric power, purchased at the Wholesale Electricity Market(WEM) every day.

3. Every 1st day of the month following the calculated one, the workers of the Company take records of counters indices based on AMR data, which are calculated at WEM and counters, that measure electricity flows in the Company's networks;

4. On the basis of taken indices (with the help of AMR and data taken from substation) are calculated the overflow values for each unit and for the Company in a whole;

5. according to the data of electricity flows and monthly useful energy issue is being formed a reporting form 1Б-TBE on the structural unit, and is sent to the Management of Prykarpattyaoblenergo " PJSC ( energy losses analysis service);

6. In the Company Management based on 1Б-TBE of structural units there are formed the reporting forms 1Б-TBE and 2-HKPE for the Company in a whole.

Collected data should be sent to Ltd "EES" for the preparation of the monitoring reports.

The collected data are submitted to «EES» for preparing of Monitoring Reports.

All data that will be collected during the monitoring process must be kept on paper and electronic media in the archives of Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC for at least 2 years after the ending of period of transfer ERU to the purchaser, and along with the issue of an appropriate order on the regional energy companies and mentioning the persons that will be responsible for storage.

Actuality of the indirect coefficient of carbon dioxide specific emissions connected with the power losses in the process of its transmission to the power networks of Ukraine will be yearly checked by the representatives of the technical (Ltd «EES»). If needed the coefficient will be renewed.

<b>D.4. Name of person(s)/entity(ies) establishing the <u>monitoring plan</u>:</b>
--

<b>Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC(Project Participant)</b>	<b>Ltd "Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy" (not Project Participant)</b>
--	---

Semenchuk Olga	Prots Roman
----------------	-------------

Investment Director, Development and Information Technologies of	Technical director
--	--------------------

tel. +380342594230	tel: +38024451601
--------------------	-------------------

**SECTION E. Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions****E.1. Estimated project emissions:**

According to D.1.1.2

$$PE_y = 0$$

**E.2. Estimated leakage:**

Leakage is not expected.

**E.3. The sum of E.1. and E.2.:**

Since the leakage  $LE_y = 0$ , and the project emissions  $PE_y = 0$  the sum of the leakage and project emissions  $PE_y + LE_y = 0$ .

**E.4. Estimated baseline emissions:**

Estimate of the baseline emissions was done according to the formulae shown in D.1.1.4.

Results of the calculation are shown in the table below. The calculations are in the «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.» file, attached hereto.

For usability of calculation of emission reductions in the Excel file «IF-1BTWE-2002-2011-03-12-2012-Km-ok-KP-CO-PDD-ENG.» , all values are rounded to integers. Therefore, the summation of the emission reductions that are listed in Tables № № 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, may slightly differ.

In Table 6, 7, 8 estimated baseline emissions are shown.

*Table 6 Baseline emissions by 01/01/2004 - 31/12/2007*

Year	Estimated baseline emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)
2004	165772
2005	187470
2006	189480
2007	182857
<b>Total for :</b>	<b>725579</b>
<b>Average amount of emissions:</b>	<b>181395</b>

*Table 7 Baseline emissions by 01/01/2008 - 31/12/2012*

Year	Estimated baseline emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)
2008	219940
2009	180841
2010	215216
2011	315770
2012	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>1164720</b>
<b>Average amount of emissions:</b>	<b>232944</b>

*Table 8 Baseline emissions by 01/01/2013 - 31/12/2025*

Year	Estimated baseline emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)
2013	232953
2014	232953
2015	232953
2016	232953
2017	232953
2018	232953
2019	232953
2020	232953



2021	232953
2022	232953
2023	232953
2024	232953
2025	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>3028390</b>
<b>Average amount of emissions:</b>	<b>232953</b>

**E.5. Difference between E.4. and E.3. representing the emission reductions of the project:**

Emission reductions are calculated according to the formula (2) hereinbefore. The results are shown in the table 9,10,11 hereinafter.

*Table 9 Emission reductions by 01/01/2004 – 31/12/2007*

Year	Sum of the project leakage and emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated baseline emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated emission reductions tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2004	0	165772	165772
2005	0	187470	187470
2006	0	189480	189480
2007	0	182857	182857
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>725579</b>	<b>725579</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>181395</b>	<b>181395</b>

*Table 10 Emission reductions by 01/01/2008 - 31/12/2012*

Year	Sum of the project leakage and emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated baseline emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated emission reductions tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2008	0	219940	219940
2009	0	180841	180841
2010	0	215216	215216
2011	0	315770	315770
2012	0	232953	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1164720</b>	<b>1164720</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>232944</b>	<b>232944</b>

*Table 11 Emission reductions by 01/01/2013 - 31/12/2025*

Year	Sum of the project leakage and emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated baseline emissions tCO <sub>2</sub> e	Estimated emission reductions tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2013	0	232953	232953
2014	0	232953	232953
2015	0	232953	232953
2016	0	232953	232953
2017	0	232953	232953
2018	0	232953	232953
2019	0	232953	232953
2020	0	232953	232953
2021	0	232953	232953
2022	0	232953	232953
2023	0	232953	232953
2024	0	232953	232953
2025	0	232953	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3028390</b>	<b>3028390</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>232953</b>	<b>232953</b>

**E.6. Table providing values obtained when applying formulae above:***Table 12 Emission reductions by 01/01/2004 – 31/12/2007*

Year	PEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	LEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	BEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	ERy tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2004	0	0	165772	165772
2005	0	0	187470	187470
2006	0	0	189480	189480
2007	0	0	182857	182857
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>725579</b>	<b>725579</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>181395</b>	<b>181395</b>

*Table 13 Emission reductions by 01/01/2008 - 31/12/2012*

Year	PEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	LEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	BEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	ERy tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2008	0	0	219940	219940
2009	0	0	180841	180841
2010	0	0	215216	215216
2011	0	0	315770	315770
2012	0	0	232953	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1164720</b>	<b>1164720</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>232944</b>	<b>232944</b>

*Table 14 Emission reductions by 01/01/2013 - 31/12/2025*

Year	PEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	LEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	BEy tCO <sub>2</sub> e	ERy tCO <sub>2</sub> e
2013	0	0	232953	232953
2014	0	0	232953	232953
2015	0	0	232953	232953
2016	0	0	232953	232953
2017	0	0	232953	232953
2018	0	0	232953	232953
2019	0	0	232953	232953
2020	0	0	232953	232953
2021	0	0	232953	232953
2022	0	0	232953	232953
2023	0	0	232953	232953
2024	0	0	232953	232953
2025	0	0	232953	232953
<b>Total for:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3028390</b>	<b>3028390</b>
<b>Average number of cuts:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>232953</b>	<b>232953</b>

**SECTION F. Environmental impacts****F.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party:**

The analysis of the environmental impacts of the project is done by the specialized companies. They also issued documents in which there is the estimation of emissions into the atmosphere by permanent sources - industrial areas (mechanical, welding, woodworking enterprises etc). According to the expert's report this enterprise belongs to the 3rd group, as its emissions do not exceed emissions limit. Due to the low level of emissions, the enterprise meets the pollution standard and its risk level is considered as harmless to the environment.

Aiming at increasing efficiency of the operating plans of harmful environmental impacts restriction, every year all the energy objects of the enterprise are subjected to complex verification, held by the State Ecological Inspection in Ivano-Frankivsk Region, as to check whether they abide the environmental legislation, to estimate the technical condition of the power plants and the general condition of the environmental protection, to check whether they take appropriate measures to minimize emissions, water discharge and wastes

Ecological audit of the enterprise is submitted to: The State Department of water economy in Ivano-Frankivsk – quarterly and yearly report on water usage; The State Statistics Department - the report on the environmental protection expenses and the ecological payments for the year (№1-Ecological expenses), report on wastes management for the year (№1-Wastes).

In order to comply with legislation on environmental protection in the structural units, in accordance with the Law of Ukraine "On Environmental Protection» № 1268-XII of 26.06.91, since 2007 in the Company are developed and approved by the orders of the Chairman of the Board "Plans for the environment protection measures ", appointed persons, responsible for the organization of work.

According to the Law of Ukraine "On Fire Safety", for the organization and monitoring of requirements execution of regulatory documents on fire safety, taking organizational and other measures to prevent fires, coordination and improvement of the work related to providing fire safety at Company's units, the Labour Protection Service performs the following functions:

- Conducting internal audits of fire safety in the Company's management and the MIC for compliance with regulations;
- Coordination of fire prevention activities, organization of the complex measures development for improvement of fire safety and monitoring of its implementation;
- The implementation of the methodological guidance and control in fire safety;
- Fires accounting, analysis of their causes and their prevention;
- Elaboration of an effective system of fire safety;
- Introduction of science and technology achievements;
- Instructing on fire safety of the persons taken on a permanent or temporary work, their training and testing throughout their labor activity;
- Providing national, sectoral and inter-sectoral regulations on fire safety;
- Organization and development of complex measures to ensure the improvement of fire safety and monitoring their implementation;
- Preparation of draft orders, informational materials on fire safety and bringing them to units;
- Promotion of fire safety measures;
- Monitoring the execution of laws and regulations on fire safety, as well as the execution of orders, guidance on these issues and implementation of requirements and regulations of the State and institutional control;
- The organization of official fires investigations;
- Reporting on the prescribed form;



- Ensuring proper execution and storage of documentation in accordance with established procedure.

The project will not result in significant environmental impacts in addition to reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The project activities will not have transboundary environmental impacts.

**F.2. If environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, please provide conclusions and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party:**

Economic activities in the field of waste management in the company are conducting according to the limits on amount of waste generation and disposal. Waste quarterly is transferred for disposal or removal in accordance with signed agreements with organizations that have licenses for operation in the treatment of hazardous waste. The company doesn't have facilities for recycling.

According to the regulations of threshold mass of hazardous substances for identification of high-risk defined by CMU on July 11, 2002 № 956 specialized organization defined categories of equipment that have properties that allow them to be attributed to dangerous substances (Form ARF -1). They are toxic substances-3 (harmful to humans and the environment), namely, nickel compounds (fines powder), mercury and its compounds, lead and its compounds, sulfuric acid contained in fluorescent lamps and batteries, and group 1 (explosives), namely, flammable gases and flammable liquids contained in the apparatus and piping equipment.

The company specified and equipped special storage for this equipment, which is inspected and approved by relevant decisions of the Sanitary control and environmental protection.

The main part of scrap metal at the company is formed as a result of replacement of air lines and operation of transformer substations, exhausted transformer, motor oils that are not suitable for use, are utilized at specialized at special enterprises under agreement

**SECTION G. Stakeholders' comments****G.1. Information on stakeholders' comments on the project, as appropriate:**

Stakeholders in the Project are represented by population of Ivano-Frankivsk region, which has been informed about the project through local and nationwide electronic, print and other kinds of media.

The Company's TCE reduction program is regularly covered in the regional press and on television.

Work of the Company on equipment upgrading is covered during direct television and radio broadcasts. Achievements and experience of the Company in TCE reduction is covered in local and national media.

In particular, the regional newspaper: "Galicia", "Reporter", "Western Courier", "Evening Ivano-Frankivsk", "Galician correspondent" regional newspaper: "The word of the people", "Galician word", "land", "Candle", "Hutsul region", "Kolomiyski Visti", "Free Voice", "People's Will", "Voice of Opole", "News of Pidgir'ya", "Vpered", "Yaremchanskiy Journal", "Proceedings Kalushchyna News", "Vikna", "Zlagoda". Ukrainian newspaper "Express", through nationwide television: "1 +1", "TRK" Ukraine, "STB", "First National", "5 channel" through local television: "TRK 3 studio", "TRK Tower "OTB" Galicia "TV" 24 hours - Ivano-Frankivsk. Local and nationwide radio: ODTRK, "ERA", "West Pole" corporate newsletter "Energy Matters," local and nationwide websites: [www.ifportal.net](http://www.ifportal.net), [www.briz.if.ua](http://www.briz.if.ua), [www.report.if.ua](http://www.report.if.ua), [www.firtka.if.ua](http://www.firtka.if.ua), [www.pravda.if.ua](http://www.pravda.if.ua), [www.zahid.net](http://www.zahid.net), [unian.net](http://unian.net).

Information on the TCE reduction is covered on the official website of PJSC "Prykarpattyaoblenergo» [www.oe.if.ua](http://www.oe.if.ua).



**Annex 1****CONTACT INFORMATION ON PROJECT PARTICIPANTS****Project owner:**

Organization:	<b>Prykarpattyaoblenergo PJSC (project owner)</b>
Street/P.O.Box:	Industrialna
Building:	34
City:	Ivano-Frankivsk
State/Region:	Ivano-Frankivsk
Postal code:	76014
Country:	Ukraine
Phone:	+38(0342)594230
Fax:	+38(0342)594451
URL:	<a href="http://www.oe.if.ua">www.oe.if.ua</a>
Represented by:	
Title:	
Salutation:	Investment Director, Development and Information Technologies of
Last name:	Semenchuk
Middle name:	Olga
First name:	Vasylivna
Department:	Department on Investment, of development and of information technologies
Fax (direct):	+38 (0342)594230
Phone (direct):	+38 (0342)594451
Mobile:	+38050 4346830
Personal e-mail:	<a href="mailto:Olga.Semenchuk@if.energy.gov.ua">Olga.Semenchuk@if.energy.gov.ua</a>

**Project partner:**

Organisation:	<b>“Imex Energo” Sp.z o. o. (potential buyer)</b>
Street/P.O.Box:	Przemyslowa
Building:	14
City:	Rzeshow
State/Region:	
Postal code:	35-105
Country:	Poland
Phone:	+48 603366 67 00
Fax:	+48 1 777 88 408
URL:	
Represented by:	
Title:	Director
Salutation:	
Last name:	Warchol
Middle name:	Janusz
First name:	
Department:	
Fax (direct):	+48 1 777 88 408
Phone (direct):	+48 603366 67 00
Mobile:	+48 603366 67 00
Personal e-mail:	<a href="mailto:jwarchol@wp.pl">jwarchol@wp.pl</a>

Annex 2BASELINE INFORMATION

See Section B

**1. Key information and data used for baseline setting**

<b>ID number</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Data variable</b>
<i>Table D.1.1.3.3.</i>	Total reduction of technical power losses in the power grid during the period y of the project scenario compared with the baseline scenario	$V_y$
<i>Table D.1.1.3.4.</i>	Carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year y	$CEF_y$



## 2. Carbon dioxide emission factor for projects of power loss reduction in power transport networks of Ukraine in the year 2010



**NATIONAL  
INVESTMENT AGENCY OF UKRAINE**

**ENVIRONMENTAL**

### **ORDER**

Kyiv

28.03.2010      № 43

About indices confirmation,

of carbon dioxide specific emissions in 2010

In order to execute clause 2.1 of the decree dated 21.03.2011 № 39 “ About the carbon dioxide specific emissions, during power production on power plants and its consumption , calculation methodology confirmation” and to normalize the calculation of carbon dioxide specific emissions, during power production on power plants and its consumption,

Hereby I decree:

- 1) To establish the following indices of carbon dioxide specific emissions in 2010:
  - carbon dioxide specific emissions, during power production on the thermal electric power station, that are linked with the Unified Energy System of Ukraine - 1,067 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kW\*h;
  - indirect carbon dioxide specific emissions during power consumption by users that refer to the 1class according the Users class determination arrangement, approved by the NERC of Ukraine decree of 13.08.1998 № 1052 - 1,093 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kW\*h;
  - indirect carbon dioxide specific emissions during power consumption by users that refer to the 2class according to the Users class determination arrangement, approved by the NERC of Ukraine decree of 13.08.1998



- № 1052 - 1,225 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kW\*h;
  - indirect carbon dioxide specific emissions, connected with the power losses during its transmission by the local networks - 1,093 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kW\*h.
- 2) Carbon dioxide specific emissions indices in 2010, that were indicated in the clause № 1 of the given decree, are recommended to be used during the preparation of:
- project proposal concerning anthropological GHG emissions reduction, project technical documentation, emissions reduction scopes annual reports, that are worked out according to “Projects, directed on the anthropological GHG emissions reduction preparation, examination, approval and realization order”, sanctioned by the Ukraine Cabinet of Ministers decree of 22.02.2006 № 206 ;
  - GHG planned emissions reduction calculations, that are worked out according to the Order of projects examination, approval and realization, directed on the ecological investments, and proposals concerning suchlike projects, and obligations discharge by the parties of Kyoto protocol to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, sanctioned by the Ukraine Cabinet of Ministers decree of 22.02.2008 № 221, and actual GHG emissions, as the result of suchlike projects realization, reduction calculation.
- 3) Kyoto protocol flexible mechanisms administration (Shevchenko O.V) and Ecological investments and market development scheme administration (Yermakov V.M.) are to be directed by this decree during the JI and ecological investments projects documents verification.
- 4) GHG national accounting system administration (Khabatu’k O.P.) is to be directed by this decree during the JI projects, that are verified according the Instruction about the procedures concerning JI documents examination and arrangement, sanctioned by the Nacecoinvestagenstvo decree of 08.12.2010 № 184.
- 5) Public relations and mass media department (Zaets’ I.V.) is to ensure this decree placement on the Nacecoinvestagenstvo web site.

Reorganization commission chairman



I. Varga



### Annex 3

## MONITORING PLAN

Detailed description of the monitoring plan presented in Section D of this PDD. The «Methodology of technical power losses amount determination, in 0.38-150 kV power grids power supply company for the indirect carbon dioxide emission estimation» with alteration №1, that has been used to monitoring plan develop presented below.



**Ltd “ EKOLOGICHNI ENERGETYCHNI SYSTEMY ”**

**THE METHODOLOGY**  
**OF TECHNICAL POWER LOSSES AMOUNT DETERMINATION, IN 0,38-150 kV**  
**POWER GRIDS POWER SUPPLY COMPANY FOR THE INDIRECT CARBON DIOXIDE**  
**EMISSION ESTIMATION**

**Lviv 2011**



## PREFACE

- |   |             |  |
|---|-------------|--|
| 1 | ORDERED     | Ltd “Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy”   |
| 2 | DEVELOPED   | OJSC “LvivORGRES”  |
| 3 | EXECUTOR    | Y.Koval  |
| 4 | COORDINATED | Energy conservation and management institution    National technical university of Ukraine “KPI”, A.Prakhovnyk |

Chief executive officer OJSC “LvivORGRES”	I.Kavych
Chief operative officer OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	V.Kondratenko
Quality manager OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	V.Kaminskyi
Electrical department manager OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	I.Lutsyk
Electrical department sector supervisor OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”,	
Responsible executor	Y.Koval

APPROVED

Ltd “ Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy ” director  
Energy conservation and management institution

\_\_\_\_\_ Y.Schpak

“ \_\_\_\_ ” \_\_\_\_\_ 2011

APPROVED

National technical university of Ukraine “KPI”

\_\_\_\_\_ A.Prakhovnyk

“ \_\_\_\_ ” \_\_\_\_\_ 2011

Right of ownership for this document belongs to OJSC “LvivORGRES” and Ltd “Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy”.

Reproduce, duplicate and distribute it fully or partially on any data medium without official permission is forbidden.



## CONTENTS

	p.
1 Application .....	40
2 Normative references .....	40
3 Terms and notions .....	41
4 Abbreviations .....	44
5 Main principles .....	44
6 Reduction of power balance structure during the estimated periods to equal conditions, and RVTVE adjustment in the 0,38-150 kV power grid of power supply company .....	49
6.1 Structure and principal power balance equations .....	49
6.2 Power supply company official statistical reporting list containing input data for the RVTVE correction .....	50
6.3 Input data for the RVTVE correction .....	51
6.4 Reduction to equal conditions of power balance structure during the estimated periods in 1B-TVE form, for the periods prior to 2010.....	51
6.5 Reduction to equal conditions of power balance structure during the estimated periods in 1B-TVE form, for the periods following 2010.....	53
6.6 RVTVE correction procedure.....	54
7 Monitoring of TVE amount calculation results in 0,38-150 kV power grid in order to estimate indirect CO <sub>2</sub> emissions.....	59
Bibliography .....	60





**THE METHODOLOGY  
OF TECHNICAL POWER LOSSES AMOUNT DETERMINATION IN 0,38-150 kV POWER GRIDS  
OF POWER SUPPLY COMPANY FOR THE INDIRECT CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSIONS  
ESTIMATION**

## **1 APPLICATION**

The methodology of technical power losses amount determination, in 0,38-150 kV power grids power supply company for the indirect carbon dioxide emissions estimation (hereafter – Methodology) is used for the determination of technical power losses amount in 0,38-150 kV power grids power supply company for the indirect carbon dioxide emissions estimation during the transportation and supply of power.

## **2 NORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Law of Ukraine «On electric power engineering» as of October 16, 1997, № 575/97-VR with subsequent amendments.

NST 6570-96 Electric counters of active and reactive power, motor meter. General technical conditions.

ISO 14064-1:2006 Greenhouse gases - Part 1: Specification with guidance at the organizational level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals.

Electrical equipment arrangement regulations. Chapter 1.5 Electric power calculation.

Regulations of electric power usage, are sanctioned by Electric power engineering regulation national committee decree as of 31.07.96 №28 (with supplements and changes as of 17.10.2005 № 910)

The methodology of carbon dioxide specific emissions, during power production and its consumption, estimation is sanctioned by the National agency of ecological investments decree of March, 21 2011 № 39



GND 34.09.104-2003 Power balance structure in the 0,38-150 kV power grids, arrangement methodology, balance components analyses and technological power losses normalization.

Alteration № 1 to GND 34.09.104-2003 Power balance structure in the 0,38-150 kW power grids, arrangement methodology, balance components analyses and technological power losses normalization.

GND 34.09.203-2004 Power losses for 35-750 kW substations personal needs normalization and 6-10 kW distributive points.

Instructions to the power accounting procedure (Appendix 10 to the Contract between Wholesale Power Market members) approved by the Annual assembly on 21.02.2003 and by NCER Decree dated 30.05.2003 with subsequent amendments.

Instruction on compilation, reporting, and analyses of departmental 1B-TVE reporting form data “Power balance structure and technological power losses for the transition on power grids”, sanctioned by the Ministry of electrification and power engineering of Ukraine, on September, 09 1997.

Form № 67 – “Report on consumers electric power accounting system organization as of 01.01.20\_\_ and about establishment in the consumers power grids and power transition organizations of automated power accounting systems and local data collecting and processing equipment (LDCPE)”, sanctioned by the Ministry of fuels and energy of Ukraine decree of July, 01 2008 № 352 in the concordance with Ukrainian State Committee on Statistics.

### **3 TERMS AND NOTIONS**

The following are terms used in this method and definition of concepts designated by them:

#### **3.1 power return**

The electric energy amount, that is returned by the licensee transferor and consumer and also lost for the economic needs

#### **3.2 power issue (consolidated income) into the grid**

The electric energy amount, which consists of the values sum of power for consumers return, for economic needs and reporting value of technical power losses, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

#### **3.3 power losses for economic needs of local grids**

Power consumption under the average purchase price for the ensuring of licensed activity on the transmitting and supply of power (power supply under the regulated tariff according agreement, concluded between the supplier and consumer (legal entity) respectively with the requirements of “Rules of power usage”, is not realized), according to the GND 34.09.104-2003

#### **3.4 power transmitting organization (licensee-transferor)**

Economic entity, that received the NERC license for the right to go into business of power transmitting by the transnational power grids or by local grids, as well as power suppliers under the regulated tariff, that carry out their activity on the settled territory, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

#### **3.5 power characteristics**

The aggregate data reflecting the power balance structure of a power supply company in the reported year.

#### **3.6 power supply company**

A power transporting company transporting power by local power grids and supplying it at regulated tariff in a fixed area.

**3.7 reported relative technological power losses in power grids**

Quotient determined in the percent from the division of reporting value of technological power consumption and issue value of power into the grid, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.8 reported value of technological power losses in power grids**

Power amount, that is determined by the difference between the sum of income value and sum of power return value, that are determined under the indices of calculated power account means, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.9 reported value of technological power consumption for substation and distributive points own needs**

Constituent part of reporting value of technological power consumption that is equal to the power amount, lost for the substation and distributive points personal needs, that is determined under the indices of calculated power account means, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.10 Value of nontechnical power losses in the power grids**

Constituent part of reporting value of technological power consumption (for the – conditionally reporting value of technological power consumption ), that is equal to the difference between reporting value of technological power consumption and normative value of technological power consumption according GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.11 reduction factor (scaling)**

The quotient of the division of a power sale total for the reported year by that of the previous or following year.

**3.12 conservative approach**

The approach when selecting the TVE component calculation option used for CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction estimation only those options are taken into account aimed at reducing the resulting amounts of TVE components.

**3.13 main power grid**

Power grid, used for power transmitting from the producer to the linking points of local grids, according to the Law of Ukraine «On electric power engineering»

**3.14 power grid**

Collection of electrical equipment for power transference and distribution, according the Law of Ukraine «On electric power engineering»

**3.15 metrological power losses**

Constituent of the nontechnical power losses, stipulated by the power income and return measuring errors, according GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.16 local power grid**

Joined power grid, aimed at power transfer from the transnational power grid to the consumer, according the Law of Ukraine «About electric power engineering»

**3.17 power receipt**

Power amount, received by the licensee-transmitter under the balance belonging from the neighboring licensee-transmitters, generating sources and power consumers substations, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

**3.18 GHG indirect emissions (energetic mediated GHG emissions)**



GHG emissions during power production, that is used by the economic entity or physical entity from the power grid, according to ISO 14064-1:2006

### **3.19 Non-technical power losses in the power grids**

Part of the reporting technological power consumption, that arises during the power supply and is stipulated by the errors of income and power return measurement by the accounting devices, as well as power return understatement for the consumers at the actual payment with the use of retail prices for the electricity, errors during the determination of power consumption amount for the calculated month at the weighted values of power consumption by the consumers without power accounting devices indices taking down, in this calculated period, nonsimultaneous power accounting devices taking down, seasonal component presence and accounting devices with the estimation errors work, that excel their standard values, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

### **3.20 normative value of technological power consumption in the power grids**

Reporting value of technological power consumption constituent, that is equal to the sum of technical calculated values in the power grids elements and normative power losses for the substations and distributive points personal needs, according to GND 34.09.104-2003

### **3.21 relative normative technological power consumption in the power grids**

Quotient determined in the percent from the division of normative value of technological power consumption and issue value of power into the grid, according to GND 34.09.104-2003;

### **3.22 power transfer**

Power transmitting with the help of grids under the agreement, according the Law of Ukraine «About electric power engineering»;

### **3.23 power supply**

Consumer providing with the power with the help of technical means of power transportation and distribution under the agreement, according the Law of Ukraine «About electric power engineering»;

### **3.24 reference year**

The year for which the components of the reported power balance structure are defined in accordance with the norms and used to reduce the power balance structure of the previous or following years to the same power characteristics

### **3.24 calculated period**

Calendar section of time (month, quarter, year), predictable or reporting, for which the calculations of normative technological power consumption values, are carried out, according to GND 34.09.104-2003;

### **3.25 power accounting devices**

Power accounting means, that are used for commercial calculations, according the Regulations of power usage;

### **3.26 power consumer**

Economic entities and legal entities, that use power for their personal needs, under the agreement on its sell and purchase, according the Law of Ukraine «About electric power engineering»;

### **3.28 power balance structure**

Licensee-transmitter indices system for the calculate period, that is composed on the ground of accounting devices indexes, and characterizes total power income, return, and transformation values with the spaces on every level and reporting value of technological power consumption structure, according to GND



34.09.104-2003;

### **3.29 neighboring power transmitting organization (neighboring licensee-transmitter)**

Power transmitting company, that is the subject number two, on the balance belonging scale, between power transmitting organizations, according to GND 34.09.104-2003;

### **3.30 technical calculated power losses in the elements of power grids**

Power amount, that is lost for the physical processes of grids elements current supply parts heating, during current running through them, magnetic wires heating and electric equipment elements constructions separate parts, and electricity line crown discharge, that is determined by calculation, taking into account definite conditions and assumptions, that are established by this normative document, according to GND 34.09.104-2003;

### **3.31 technological power consumption in the power grids**

Power amount, that is equal to the sum of power losses in the power grids elements, that arise in them while power transfer, power losses for the substations and distributive points personal needs, and power losses for the glazed frost melting, accordint to GND 34.09.104-2003;

### **3.32 power transformation**

Power amount that is transferred through the transformers from one level to the other in the scale of licensee-transferor grids, according to GND 34.09.104-2003.

## **4 ABBREVIATIONS**

The following abbreviations were used in this methodology:

RVTVE	–	reporting value of technological power consumption in the power grids;
NPL	–	nontechnical power losses value in the power grids;
EM	–	electricity meter
LEP	–	transmission line;
NVTVE	–	normative value of technological power consumption in the power grids;
NERC	–	National Electricity Regulation Commission of Ukraine;;
NCTVE	–	normative characteristic of technological power consumption in the power grids;
PUE	–	Rules for electrical installation
TVE	–	technological power consumption in the power grids;
CT	–	current transformer;
VT	–	voltage transformer;

## **5 MAIN PRINCIPLES**

5.1 Methodology of the specific emissions of carbon dioxide in the process of the power production by the thermal electric power stations and its consumption (hereinafter – NAEI Methodology) determines the procedure and is used for carbon dioxide in the process of the power production by the thermal electric power station and its consumption by the final consumer specific emissions estimation, is lost while transmitting and supplying during GHG emission reduction estimation in the course of JI projects realization according the article 6 of the Kyoto protocol to UN framework convention on climate changes and other projects, directed on the GHG emissions reduction.

NAEI Methodology contains directions as to the indicated indices values calculation arrangement and output data sources that are used in these calculations. Calculation of the indicated indices is carried out



according thermal power stations activity actual results, transnational power grids operator, and power supply companies. Carbon dioxide emissions in the process of power consumption calculation or while its transfer and supply is based on the data concerning technological power consumption in the power grids.

According to NAEI Methodology the data concerning technological power consumption in the power grids are accepted on the bases of annual report according the form 1B-TVE "Power balance structure and technological power consumption for the power transfer through the grids " (1B-TVE form).

Specific indirect carbon dioxide emissions for the electric power unit calculation that is used by the consumer and is lost for its transfer and supply should be carried out separately.

Specific indirect carbon dioxide for the electric power unit, that are lost for the its transfer and supply by the power supply company, are determined according the formula (6) of NAEI Methodology, in which the data as to the TVE in the 800-220 kV transnational grids are used, in the percent to the issue (consolidated income) of power into the network and data concerning TVE in the 0,38-150 kV power grids of power supply companies in the percent to the issue (consolidated income) of power into the grid according the form data 1B-TVE form for the respective year. So the use of the data of line 19 is expected "RVTVE percent from the power issue into the grid" form 1B-TVE.

5.2 GHG emission reduction estimation in the course of JI projects realization for the current calculated period (year) according to NAEI Methodology is made through the means of difference calculation between the basic emissions (for the basic year) and project emissions (for the current calculated year).

The peculiarity of the process of transmission and distribution is that the balance of power structure indicators (income and output power (total value and significance of voltage levels), transformation of energy between degrees of , RVTVE (total value and significance of voltage levels), NVTVE (total value and significance levels of voltage), calculated on the bypass power LEP and transformers for voltage levels and releasing (saldovane revenues) in the electricity network in different accounting periods (baseline and current) differ significantly among themselves, because changing the supply of electricity to consumers and the mutual flow of electricity between neighboring distribution companies. During the insignificant changes of technical characteristics of grids in the calculated periods, the scopes of transfer and power supply structure can change essentially, that is energetic characteristics of the object, concerning which the estimation of GHG emissions reduction in the course of JI projects realization should be made. Such estimation may be done correctly only under condition of object energetic characteristics providing, for the calculated periods to equal conditions. Object energetic characteristic, that is to be provided is the power balance structure according the form 1B-TVE "Power balance structure and TVE for the power transfer through the grids" for the respective year.

That important to note that chapter 8 data "Technical calculated power losses", chapter 9 "Normative power losses for substations personal needs", and chapter 10 "Normative TVE value" of forms 1B-TVE in different calculating periods were figured out according to requirements, that were in effect on that time, normative documents and should be adjusted to the normative base that is on effect nowadays.

Chapter 8 data "Technical calculated power losses" to March 31, 2004 were calculated according the requirements GKD 34.09.104-96 "TVE normalization for transference through 154-0.38 kV power grids. Methodical directions". In the period since April, 1 2004 till March, 31 2009 – according the requirements GND 34.09.104-2003, since April, 1 2009 and till present day - according the requirements GND 34.09.104-2003 with the consideration Change № 1 to GND 34.09.104-2003.

Chapter 9 data "Normative power losses for substations personal needs" to March 31, 2004, calculated according the requirements RD 34.09.208-81 «Instruction on the power consumption for 35-500 kV substations personal needs normalization», since April, 1 2004 and till present day - according the requirements GND 34.09.203-2004.

So, power balance structure on the form 1B-TVE for the respective years should be made according the data of form 1B-TVE 2010.

Power balance structure bringing to equal conditions on the form 1B-TVE should be made with the use of scoping coefficient, that is equal to 1 for the year 2010, being the reference year.



5.3 Applying the conservative approach, while it is evident, that grids technical characteristics in 2010, from the TVE point of view are more perfect, than in any previous calculated year, and to proceed from the fact that to calculate indices of chapters 8 and 9 of 1B-TVE forms for the previous calculated years with the use of data provided in chapters 1,2 and 3 and provided normative TVE (NCTVE) characteristics that is practically impossible because of necessity to develop for each previous year NCTVE according to normative bases that is in effect at present day, data providing in chapters 8 and 9 should be made in the following way:

5.3.1. Variable technical calculated power losses in LEP (line 8.1) are equal to the value for 2010 on the respective power level multiplied by the calculated overplus transfused square through LEP for the respective previous year on the respective voltage level and divided by the square of calculated overplus transfused through LEP for 2010 on the respective voltage level;

5.3.2. Variable technical calculated power losses in transformers (line 8.3.1) are equal to the value for 2010 on the respective voltage level multiplied on the calculated overplus transfused square on transformers for the respective previous year on the respective level and divided on the square of calculated overplus transfused on transformers for 2010 on the respective voltage levels;

5.3.3. Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers (line 8.3.2) for the respective previous year is considered equal to the value of 2010 on the respective voltage level; (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

5.3.4. Other conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in other elements (line 8.4.3) for the respective previous year is considered equal to the value of 2010 on the respective voltage level; (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

5.3.5. Normative power losses for substations personal needs (chapter 9) for the respective previous year is considered equal to the value of 2010 on the respective voltage level (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

5.4. Reduction to equal conditions of the data in chapters 1, 2, 3 of the balance structure shall be made by using the reduction factor equal to 1 for 2010 and for other years the quotient from the division of the power sale in 2010 by the sale in the respective year. Such reduction, made by dividing the values in Chapters 1, 2, 3 for each year by the reduction factor, will be correct only if the following two conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

- relative values of power sale structure on voltage levels, calculated by Chapter 3 data as percentage of the power sale in 2010 and each other year are similar and do not have significant differences;
- reduction factor trend in 2010 and the previous years is stable, without sharp fluctuations and tends to decrease starting with 2010;

The decision to reduce the data in Chapters 1, 2, 3 of power balance structure to equal conditions is taken when the above conditions are satisfied. If not, only the data in Chapters 8 and 9 of 1B-TVE shall be reduced.

If the decision is made to reduce the data in Chapters 1, 2, 3 of the power structure balance to equal conditions the data are divided by the reduction factor. All other data of 1B-TVE form, besides those of Chapters 8 and 9 are calculated by the formulae given in the Instructions to reporting and analysis of the 1B-TVE form data.

The criteria of the 1B-TVE form data providing correction verification are as follows:

- equality of power sale into the grid values (chapter 18) for 2010 and respective previous years;
- equality of reporting TVE percentage from the power issue into the grid (line 19) in forms 1B-TVE for the respective year before and after 1B-TVE form data providing.



5.5. In case of a change the territory of the energy supply company licensed to supply electricity at regulated tariffs, which took place as a result of its division and the formation of her new utility company in accordance with NERC's decisions and changes as a result, the structure of the balance of power in form 1B-TVE for the year in which was a division, and subsequent years after separation, may be required during the calculation of indirect carbon dioxide emissions, accounting reporting forms 1B-TVE utility company for part of the settlement period (the year in which the division took place, and prior to the year in which the division occurred).

5.6. For the years after 2010 the reduction of the data in Chapters 1,2,3 of 1B-TVE form to equal conditions is made using the reduction factor if such reduction was made for the years prior to 2010.

If the data in Chapters 1,2,3 of 1B-TVE form are reduced to equal conditions after 2010. the data in Chapters 8 and 9 are reduced as follows:

5.6.1. Variable technical calculated power losses in LEP (line 8.1) are equal to the value for the subsequent period on the respective power level multiplied by the calculated overplus transfused square through LEP for the respective previous year on the respective voltage level and divided by the square of calculated overplus transfused through LEP for the subsequent period on the respective voltage level;

5.6.2. Variable technical calculated power losses in transformers (line 8.3.1) are equal to the value for the subsequent period on the respective voltage level multiplied on the calculated overplus transfused square on transformers for the respective previous year on the respective level and divided on the square of calculated overplus transfused on transformers for the subsequent period on the respective voltage levels;

5.6.3. Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers (line 8.3.2). other conditionally-stable power losses in other elements (line 8.4.3) and normative losses for substation own usage (Chapter 9) are taken as equal to reported values.

5.7. If the data in Chapters 1, 2, 3 of 1B-TVE form for the years after 2010 are equal to reported values than the data in Chapters 8 and 9 are also equal to reported values.

5.8 Total value in section 8, "Technical estimated energy losses" for all billing periods should be multiplied by coefficient of deterioration in electrical indexes over time, the value of which according to statements presented in [1], and Recommendations Appendix A [1] is taken no more than 1,15.

5.9. Structure of RVTVE in the main power grids 800-220 kV, relative value of which is used in the interest of power transmission to the grid (consolidated income) according to the formula (6) "Methodology of the specific emissions of carbon dioxide in the process of the power production by the thermal electric power stations and its consumption" to calculate specific indirect emissions of carbon dioxide for the power unit, contains the technical calculated power losses in the grids, power consumption by the substations as well as the untechnical losses. Untechnical power losses in the main grids are conditioned by the metrological losses, which under the quantitative estimation of the structure of the untechnical losses are to be calculated on the basis of the actual metrological characteristics of the accounting devices. Thus RVTVE in the main power grids are such that are calculated by the power registration system with the actual metrological error and are considered as the indirect emissions of carbon dioxide.

The structure of the RVTVE in the local power grid 0,38-150 kV of the power supply company contains the technical calculation power losses in the grids, power consumption by the substations as well as the untechnical losses. Nontechnical losses in the local power grid of the power supply company are conditioned by the metrological losses as well as by others factors conditioned by the understatement





(overstatement) of the power transmission to the consumers.

Metrological power losses in the process of the quantitative estimation of the structure of the untechnical losses are to be calculated on the basis of the actual metrological characteristics of the accounting devices. Peculiar feature of the system of power registration in the local grid 0,38-150kV of the power supply company is the existence of hundreds of thousands of the accounting devices, actual metrological characteristics of which are unknown. That is why in the process of the quantitative estimation of the metrological losses only fixed metrological characteristics of the accounting devices must be used. The calculated metrological losses should be corrected taking into consideration actual metrological characteristics of the accounting devices of the same type, which are defined with the certain assumptions. As the result we obtain the component of the fixed metrological power losses.

The components of the untechnical losses, conditioned by the understatement (overstatement) of the power transmission to the consumers, arise:

- due to the power theft and to the errors in the process of calculation of the amount of the supplied power and compiling pay-lists;
- due to the technological reasons, connected with the procedure of the estimation of the amount of power supplied to the consumers, i.e. due to the calculation of the amount of power supplied to the consumers at the actual payment using the power retail prices without the pay-lists; usage of the average amounts while compiling the pay-lists; unsumultaneous taking of indexes from the accounting devices as well as the existence of the seasonal component;
- due to the technical reasons, i.e: due to the errors of the accounting devices, which exceed their fixed indexes.

The first two components of the non-technical losses are conditioned by the power theft and by the drawbacks in the organization of the control over the power consumption and the payment. These losses form the part of RVTVE, conditioned by the faults of power supply organization. They are very difficult to formalize as this component of the nontechnical power losses is predetermined by the social and organizational factors.

The component of the nontechnical power losses, conditioned by the faults of power supply organization cannot be considered as the indirect carbon dioxide emissions, because it is of untechnical nature.

The third component of the nontechnical power losses, connected with the work of the accounting devices with the measuring errors that exceed their fixed indexes, are to be estimated separately, according to the actual metrological characteristics of the accounting devices of the same type, which are defined with the certain assumptions.

Thus, RVTVE in the local power grid of the power supply company (data of the line 19 “The percentage of reporting TVE (RVTVE) from the power supply to the grid” form 1B-TVE) in the process of calculation should be transferred to the indirect carbon dioxide emissions taking into consideration the fixed metrological power losses and the component of the nontechnical power losses, conditioned by the faults of power supply organization.

5.10 According to the above mentioned the scheme of the putting of the power balance structure of the form 1B-TVE for the accounting periods to the equal condition and the scheme of RVTVE correction in the local power grid 0,38-150 kV of the power supply company to ensure the possibility of usage of the provided

corrected RVTVE for the transmission of them to the indirect losses of carbon dioxide are to be worked out.

5.11 In the process of the development of the RVTVE correcting scheme the fact that NPL cannot be measured should be taken into consideration. They may be only calculated but with some error. The error depends not only of the power supply and transmission measurement errors in the process of RVTVE calculation, of the component of the nontechnical power losses, conditioned by the faults of power supply organization, etc, but also of the NVTVE calculation errors, and of the technical calculation power losses in the grids. The technical calculation power losses in the grids as well as the losses, conditioned by the measurement errors are the components of the power balance, and in the process of their analysis the interval estimations, which are based on the precision grade of registration devices are used. The literature review affirms that the defining of the errors of this or that measuring device of power losses accounting is usually used for the qualitative estimation of its acceptability. In the practical calculations, including the process of the NVTVE structure calculation, the results are provided as the determined indexes.

## 6. REDUCTION OF POWER BALANCE STRUCTURE DURING THE ESTIMATED PERIODS TO EQUAL CONDITIONS, AND RVTVE ADJUSTMENT IN THE 0,38-150 KV POWER GRID OF POWER SUPPLY COMPANY

### 6.1 Structure and principal power balance equations

6.1.1 According to the principles of GND 34.09.104-2003 the power balance structure is the system of the indexes of the power transmission organization (licensed-transmitter) within the calendar (computation) period, compiled according to the indexes of the accounting devices and characterizes the amounts of delivery, transmission and transformation of power and RVTVE structure.

6.1.2 RVTVE  $\Delta A_{AL}$  is equal to the difference between the sum of the power delivery and the sum of power transmission to the licensed-transmitters (neighboring structural units), consumers as well as to the power for the proper needs, measured by the power accounting devices:

$$\Delta A_{AL} = A_D - A_T^{Neig} - A_T^C, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta A_D$  - Amount of power delivered to the grid;

$A_T^{Neig}$  - Amount of power transmitted to the neighboring licensed-transmitters;

$A_T^C$  - Amount of power transmitted to the consumers and power used for the proper needs.

6.1.3 Delivery (consolidated delivery) of power to the grid ADG is the amount of power transmitted to the consumers, used for the proper needs and for RVTVE

$$A_{DG} = A_T^C + \Delta A_{AL} \quad (2)$$

6.1.4 Reported relative technological power losses in the grids  $\Delta A_{AL}^*$  are calculated in the interest as the quotient from the division of RVTVE and the amount of power delivery to the network:

$$\Delta A_{AL}^* = (\Delta A_{AL} | A_{DG}) \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

6.1.5 Untechnical power losses  $\Delta A_{UT}$  is equal to the difference between RVTVE and NVTVE:

$$\Delta A_{UT} = \Delta A_{AL} - \Delta A_{NATPL} = \Delta A_M + \Delta A_K, \quad (4)$$

and consists of:

- amount of metrological power losses  $\Delta A_M$ , calculated by the formula

$$\Delta A_M = \pm UB_D \cdot A_{DG}, \quad (5)$$

wh  $UB_D$  - assumptive power unbalance in the  
ere grid;

- amount of the nontechnical power losses  $\Delta A_K$ , produced in the process of power transmission and is equal to the difference between the amount of nontechnical power losses and the amount of metrological power losses:

$$\Delta A_K = \Delta A_{UT} - \Delta A_M. \quad (6)$$

## 6.2 Power supply company official statistical reporting list containing input data for the RVTVE correction

6.2.1 According to the principles of NAEI Methodology the calculation of indexes is carried according to the actual results of activity of the thermal electric power stations, of the main power grids operator as well as of the power supply companies. That is why in the process of calculation of RVTVE amount in the power grids of the company, that are transferred to the indirect carbon dioxide emissions only the data of the official statistical report should be used.

6.2.2 Reduction to equal conditions of the power structure balance is carried out using the data of the official statistical report of the power supply company according to:

- report form 1B-TVE "Structure of the power balance and the technological power losses in the process of its transmission in the power grids " within the year, which is compiled according to the Regulations of compiling and provision of reports and analysis of the data of the report form 1B-TVE;

- form No 67 – energo "Report of the organization of the active power registration system of the consumers as of 01.01.20\_\_ and of the installation of the systems of the automatized power registration and the local devices of the data collection and processing in the consumers' power grids and in the power transmission companies" within the year.

6.2.3 In case of absence of the data of the official statistical report of the year the usage of the estimated amounts, calculated by the substantiated assumptions is admissible.



6.2.4 Annual report data from the power supply company of the quantity of domestic power consumers.

### **6.3 Input data for the RVTVE correction**

6.3.1 From the report form 1B-TVE "Structure of the power balance and the technological power losses in the process of its transmission in the power grids" data is used according to:

- power delivery to the grid, MW·h;
- power transmission to the consumers, MW·h;
- power transmission to the neighboring licenced-transmitters, MW·h;
- amount of RVTVE in the grid, MW·h;
- amount of NVTVE in the grid, MW·h;
- amount of NPL in the grid, MW·h;
- delivery (consolidated delivery) of power to the grid, MW·h;
- relative amount of RVTVE in the grid before delivery (consolidated delivery) of power to the grid, %.

6.3.2 From the form No 67 – energo “Report of the organization of the active power registration system of the consumers as of 01.01.20\_\_ and of the installation of the systems of the automatized power registration and the local devices of the data collection and processing in the consumers’ power grids and in the power transmission companies” the data of the amount of meters installed for the domestic users is used:

- single-phase induction meters of the appropriate accuracy class;
- three-phase induction meters of the appropriate accuracy class;
- single-phase electronic meters of the appropriate accuracy class;
- three-phase electronic meters of the appropriate accuracy class.
- data of the total amount of all the types of meters with the overdue term of the state control.

### **6.4 Reduction to equal conditions of power balance structure during the estimated periods in 1B-TVE form, for the periods prior to 2010**

6.4.1 The reduction factor is calculated which is equal to one in 2010, for others previous computation years it is equal to the quotient of the division of the delivery (consolidated delivery) of power to the grid in 2010 (chapter 18 total) and the delivery (consolidated delivery) of power to the grid for the previous computation year (chapter 18 total).

6.4.2. The relative values of the power sale structure on voltage levels, calculated by Chapter 3 data as percentage of power sale of the respective year, are calculated for 2010 and each computation period.

6.4.3. Based on the analysis of the data calculated under 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 if the conditions of 5.4 are satisfied, the decision is taken on the reduction to equal conditions of the data from Chapters 1,2,3 of the power structure balance.

6.4.4. If the reduction to equal conditions of data in Chapters 1,2,3 and chapters 8 and 9 is to be made, then:

6.4.4.1 Amount of power delivery to the grid for the previous computation year in the chapter 1 (lines 1.1 – 1.12 in columns 10-15) is divided by the reduction factor.

6.4.4.2 Amount of the power transformation for the corresponding previous computation year in chapter 2 (lines 2.7 – 2.12 in columns 10-14) is divided by the reduction factor.

6.4.4.3 Amount of the effective power transmission for the corresponding previous computation year in chapter 3 (lines 3.1.1 – 3.1.10 and 3.2.1 – 3.1.11 in columns 10-16) is divided by the reduction factor.



6.4.4.4 Variable technical power computation losses in LEP (line 8.1 in columns 10-15 ) for the corresponding previous computation year are equal to the amount in 2010 (line 8.1 in columns 10-15) with the corresponding voltage degree multiplied by the square of the computation transference in LEP for the corresponding previous year (chapter 12 in columns 10-15) with the corresponding voltage degree divided by the square of the computation transference in LEP in 2010 with the corresponding voltage degree (chapter 12 in columns 10-15);

6.4.4.5 Variable technical power computation losses in the transformers (line 8.3.1 in columns 10-14 ) for the corresponding previous computation year are equal to the amount in 2010 (chapter 8.3.1 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree multiplied by the square of the computation transference in the transformers for the corresponding previous year (chapter 13 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree divided by the square of the computation transference in the transformers in 2010 with the corresponding voltage degree (chapter 13 in columns 10-14);

6.4.4.6 Conditionally-constant technical computation power losses in the transformers (line 8.3.2 in columns 10-14) for the corresponding previous computation year with the corresponding voltage degree are equal to the amount in 2010 (line 8.3.2 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree; (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

6.4.4.7 Other conditionally-constant power losses in others units (line 8.4.3 in columns 10-15) for the corresponding previous computation year with the corresponding voltage degree are equal to the amount in 2010 (line 8.4.3 in columns 10-15) with the corresponding voltage degree; (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

6.4.4.8 Normative power losses for the proper needs of the substations (chapter 9 in columns 10-14) for the corresponding previous computation year with the corresponding voltage degree are equal to the amount in 2010 (chapter 9 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree (for a leap year the Conditionally-stable technical calculated power losses in transformers shall be multiplied by 8784 hours and divided by 8760 hours).

6.4.4.9 The amount of power delivery (consolidated delivery) to the grid is verified (chapter 18) for the corresponding previous computation year, which should be equal to the amount of power delivery (consolidated delivery) to the grid (chapter 18) in 2010. If this condition is not fulfilled the error should be found.

6.4.4.10 The equality of the report TVE percentage of the power delivery to the grid is verified (line 19) in the forms 1B-TVE for the corresponding previous year before the reduction and after the reduction.

6.4.5. If only the data of Chapters 8 and 9 are to be reduced to equal conditions the calculation is done according to 6.4.4.4-6.4.4.8/

6.4.6 Technical calculated energy losses (line 8 in column total) is multiplied by a coefficient of deterioration in electrical indexes over time.

6.4.7 Data of the implemented forms 1B-TVE for the prior to 2010 calculation periods is used for the calculations in 6.6.



### **6.5. Reduction to equal conditions of power balance structure during the estimated periods in 1B-TVE form, for the periods following 2010**

6.5.1 If bringing data to the same conditions in chapters 1, 2 and 3 forms of accounting 1B-TVE is accomplishing for calculation periods until 2010 year, then for the following after 2010 year calculation periods one should calculate the coefficient of adjustment (ranging), which is equal to the share of a Division leave (surplus) of electricity into network for the corresponding following calculation year (chapter 18, table cell “total”) and leave (surplus) of electricity into network for 2010 year. (Chapter 18, table cell “total”).

6.5.2. The data in Chapters 1.2.3 and Chapters 8 and 9 are reduced to equal conditions as follows:

6.5.2.1. Amount of power delivery to the grid for the subsequent computation year in the chapter 1 (lines 1.1 – 1.12 in columns 10-15) is divided by the reduction factor.

6.5.2.2. Amount of the power transformation for the corresponding subsequent computation year in chapter 2 (lines 2.7 – 2.12 in columns 10-14) is divided by the reduction factor.

6.5.2.3. Amount of the effective power transmission for the corresponding previous computation year in chapter 3 (lines 3.1.1 – 3.1.10 and 3.2.1 – 3.1.11 in columns 10-16) is divided by the reduction factor.

6.5.2.4. Variable technical power computation losses in LEP (line 8.1 in columns 10-15 ) for the corresponding subsequent computation year are equal to the amount in 2010 (line 8.1 in columns 10-15) with the corresponding voltage degree multiplied by the square of the computation transference in LEP for the corresponding previous year (chapter 12 in columns 10-15) with the corresponding voltage degree divided by the square of the computation transference in LEP in 2010 with the corresponding voltage degree (chapter 12 in columns 10-15);

6.5.2.5. Variable technical power computation losses in the transformers (line 8.3.1 in columns 10-14 ) for the corresponding subsequent computation year are equal to the amount in 2010 (chapter 8.3.1 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree multiplied by the square of the computation transference in the transformers for the corresponding previous year (chapter 13 in columns 10-14) with the corresponding voltage degree divided by the square of the computation transference in the transformers in 2010 with the corresponding voltage degree (chapter 13 in columns 10-14);

6.5.2.6. Conditionally-constant technical computation power losses in the transformers (line 8.3.2 in columns 10-14), other conditionally-constant power losses in others units (line 8.4.3 in columns 10-15) and normative power losses for the proper needs of the substations (chapter 9 in columns 10-14) are taken as equal to the reported values.

6.5.2.7. The amount of power delivery (consolidated delivery) to the grid is verified (chapter 18 total) for the corresponding subsequent computation year, which should be equal to the amount of power delivery (consolidated delivery) to the grid (chapter 18 total) in 2010. If this condition is not fulfilled the error should be found in the reduction of receipts, transformation and effective power transmission in 6.5.2.1-6.5.2.3.

6.5.2.8. The equality of the report TVE percentage of the power delivery to the grid is verified (line 19) in the forms 1B-TVE for the corresponding subsequent year before the reduction and after the reduction.

6.5.3. If the data in Chapters 1, 2, 3 of 1B-TVE form for the years after 2010 are equal to reported values. i.e. reduction using the reduction factor is not applied than the data in Chapters 8 and 9 are also equal to reported values.

6.5.4 Technical calculated energy losses (line 8 in column total) is multiplied by a coefficient of deterioration in electrical indexes over time.

6.5.5. The reduced data in 1B-TVE form for the subsequent to 2010 periods are used for calculation according to 6.6.

### 6.6 RVTVE correction procedure

6.6.1 Calculation of the admissible normative unbalance of power in the grid

6.6.1.1 The amount of the admissible non-balance of power in the grid  $UB_D$  is calculated according to the annex E GND 34.09.104-2003 using the formula:

$$UB_D = \pm \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{hi}} \delta_{hi}^2 d_{hi}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ei}} \delta_{ei}^2 d_{ei}^2}, \quad (7)$$

- where
- $\delta_{hi}^2$  ( $\delta_{ei}^2$ ) - Correspondingly total relative error of the first (j) measuring complex which consists of the measuring current and transformers and a meter, which measures power delivery (transmission);
  - $d_{hi}^2$  ( $d_{ei}^2$ ) - Amount of power, delivered (transmitted) through the first (j) measuring complex;
  - $N_{hi}$  - Amount of measuring complexes, used to measure the amount of power delivered;
  - $N_{ei}$  - Amount of measuring complexes, used to measure the amount of power transmitted.

While calculating the amount of power unbalance the calculation scheme provided in “Directions of the commercial power registration scheme” should be used.

6.6.1.2 The total relative error of the first (j) measuring complex is calculated using the formula:

$$\delta_i = \sqrt{\delta_{CTi}^2 + \delta_{TTi}^2 + \delta_{met}^2}, \quad (8)$$

- where
- $\delta_{CTi}$  - Relative error for the measuring current transformers of the first (j) measuring complex, which corresponds to the appropriate precision class, %;
  - $\delta_{TTi}$  - Relative error for the measuring current transformers of the first (j) measuring complex, which corresponds to the appropriate precision class, %;
  - $\delta_{met}$  - Relative error for the meter of the first (j) measuring complex, which corresponds to the appropriate precision class, %;

6.6.1.3 Portion of power delivered (transmitted) through the first (j) measuring complex on every current degree is calculated according to the data of the report form 1B-TVE of the power delivery and transmission to the neighboring licensed-transmitters and to the consumers with the with the corresponding degree.

6.6.1.4 Normative precision classes of the measuring complexes which are used for the registration of the power delivery and transmission to the neighboring licensed-transmitters and consumers while calculating the amount of the admissible normative unbalance are used according to the requirements of “ Directions of the commercial power registration scheme” and chapter 1.5 PUE and are provided in the table 1.

Table1 - Set precision classes of the measuring complexes

ID number	Index	Name of equipment	Precision class, %
1	Set precision classes of the measuring complexes, used to register power delivery (all the degrees)	CT	0,5
		TT	0,5
		Meter	0,5
2	Set precision classes of the measuring complexes, used to register power transmission to the neighboring licensed-transmitters (all the degrees)	CT	0,5
		TT	0,5
		Meter	0,5
3	Set precision classes of the measuring complexes, used to register power transmission to the consumers with the degree 110 (150) kV	CT	0,5
		TT	0,5
		Meter	0,5
4	Set precision classes of the measuring complexes used to register power transmission to the consumers with the degree 35 kV	CT	0,5
		TT	0,5
		Meter	1,0
5	Set precision classes of the measuring complexes, used to register power transmission to the consumers with the degree 10(6) kV	CT	0,5
		TT	0,5
		Meter	2,0
6	Set precision class of the meters, used to register power transmission to the consumers with the degree 0,38 kV	Meter	2,0

6.6.1.5 The amount of the admissible normative power unbalance in the grid  $UB_{Dnorm}$  is calculated using the formula (7) under the condition that the metrological characteristics of the measuring complexes correspond to those provided in the table 1.

**6.6.2 Calculation of the power non-technical losses component, conditioned by the existence of groups of the accounting devices of the same type, actual metrological characteristics of which differ from the normative ones**

6.6.2.1 According to the data, provided in [2,3], the verification of the induction meters has shown that they have a low metrological reliability and exceed the bounds of the precision classes even during the interverification period.

Interverification period for the single-phase induction meters is 8 years, for the three-phase meters - 4 years.

6.6.2.2 Presuming that the average term of meters after the last repair is about 4 and 2 years, the average systematized error trend according to chapter [2,3] is about 0,2 relative units per year for both types of meters. Thus, the systematized error  $\Delta_{met}$  for the induction meters of the corresponding type and precision class is calculated by the formula:

$$\Delta_{met} = -0,2T_{ver}K_{met}, \text{ where} \tag{9}$$





$T_{ver}$  - Meter service term after the last verification, years;

$K_{met}$  - Meter precision class.

6.6.2.3 Systematized error for the electronic (statistic) meters  $\Delta_{met} = 0$ .

6.6.2.4 Using the conservative approach, the misregistration of power transmission is calculated only for the domestic consumers. Misregistration of power transmission for legal entities (industrial and non-industrial consumers) is equal to zero.

6.6.2.5 Misregistration of power transmission to the domestic consumers on one induction meter of the corresponding precision class,  $A_i^{misregistrat ion}$  per year is equal:

$$A_i^{misregistrat ion} = (\Delta_{met} / 100) A_{aver}, \text{ where} \quad (10)$$

$A_{aver}$  - Average annual power transmission to one domestic consumer.

6.6.2.6 Misregistration of power transmission to the domestic consumers, conditioned by the existence of accounting devices of the same type, the actual metrological characteristics of which differ from the fixed is equal to:

$$A_{misregistrat ion} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_H} A_i^{misregistrat ion} N_i, \text{ where} \quad (11)$$

$N_i$  - Amount of induction meters of the corresponding type and precision class.

### 6.6.3 Calculation of the power non-technical losses component, conditioned by the existence of the sensitivity threshold in the inductive meters

6.6.3.1 Using the conservative approach, power misregistration is conditioned by the existence of the sensitivity threshold in the inductive meters, it is calculated only for the domestic consumers, where the most widespread meters of the precision class 2,5 are installed. According to the expert appraisal, it is presumed that the nominal current for 90% of the total number of such meters is 5A, and for the rest 10% - 10A. Misregistration of power transmission for the domestic consumers, equipped by the induction meters of precision 2,0 and 1,0, as well as for the legal entities (industrial and non-industrial consumers) is equal to zero.

6.6.3.2 Misregistration of power is conditioned by the existence of the sensitivity threshold in the inductive meters that is the minimal amount of current when the meter disc turns round constantly. Sensitivity threshold at State standard 6570 for the most widespread single-phase inductive meters of the precision class 2,5 is 0,85%. Total capacity of the devices of the domestic consumer (radiotelephones, radio and television equipment, etc, which are in the waiting mode) do not surpass the sensitivity threshold. The time, during

which only the equipment which consume less than the sensitivity threshold is connected to the grid is more than 12 hours per day (night hours and the period when people are not at home during the working day). It is 4380 hours per year.

According to such assumptions, the misregistration of power for one single-phase meter of precision class 2,5 per year will be[3]:

$$\Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation 2.5}} = -(U_{\text{nom}} I_{\text{nom}} T_t (0,85 / 100) ), \text{ where} \quad (12)$$

$U_{\text{nom}}$  - Nominal current of the meter, 220 W;

$I_{\text{nom}}$  - Nominal current of the meter, A;

$T_t$  - Time, during which only the equipment which consume less than the sensitivity threshold is connected to the grid.

6.6.3.3 Misregistration of power transmission to the domestic consumers, equipped by the induction meters of the corresponding type ,  $\Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation 2.5 sum}}$  per year will be:

$$\Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation 2.5 sum}} = \Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation 2.5}} N_{\text{met 2.5}} , \text{ where} \quad (13)$$

$N_{\text{met 2.5}}$  - Amount of single-phase inductive meters of precision class 2,5, for which the assumptions provided in chapter 6.4.3.1. are used.

If the data on 2.5 accuracy induction meters with nominal current 5A and 10A are missing, it shall be assumed that the amount of 5A meters is 90% while 10A meters are 10%.

#### 6.6.4 Calculation of RVTVE amounts and untechnical losses

6.6.4.1 RVTVE amount  $\Delta A_{\text{ALamount}}$  , is calculated having reduced it by the absolute amounts of the misregistrations of power transmission to the consumers, conditioned by the existence of accounting devices of the same type, the actual metrological characteristics of which differ from the fixed and by the existence of the sensitivity threshold in the inductive meters:

$$\Delta A_{\text{ALamount}} = \Delta A_{\text{AL}} - \Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation}} - \Delta A_{\text{misregistr ation 2.5}} . \quad (14)$$

6.6.4.2 The amount of the relative RVTVE  $\Delta A_{\text{ALamount}}^*$  is calculated using formula:

$$\Delta A_{\text{ALamount}}^* = (\Delta A_{\text{ALamount}} / A_{\text{DG}}) \cdot 100\% . \quad (15)$$

6.6.4.3 The amount of the relative NPL, is equal to the difference between the relative amounts of RVTVE and NVTVE:

$$\Delta A_{\text{UTamount}}^* = \Delta A_{\text{ALamount}}^* - \Delta A_{\text{NATPL}}^* \quad (16)$$

### 6.6.5 Calculation of the power untechnical losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization

6.6.5.1 The relative amount of power untechnical losses component  $\Delta A_{AUPLog}^*$ , conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization, is calculated as the difference between the amount of the report relative TPL and the top bound of the admissible unbalance:

$$\Delta A_{AUPLog}^* = \Delta A_{UTamount}^* - UB_{Dnorm} \quad (17)$$

6.6.5.2 If the relative amount of the power untechnical losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization  $\Delta A_{AUPLog}^*$ , is more than zero, the amount of the of the power untechnical losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization, MW·h. Is equal:

$$\Delta A_{AUPLog} = A_{DG} (\Delta A_{AUPLog}^* / 100) \quad (18)$$

6.6.5.3 If the relative amount of the power untechnical losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization  $\Delta A_{AUPLog}^*$ , is less or equals to zero, the amount of the of the power untechnical losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization, MW·h. Should be equal to zero:

$$\Delta A_{AUOPLorg} = 0 \quad (19)$$

### 6.6.6 Calculation of RVTVE for its transition to the indirect carbon dioxide emissions

RVTVE for its transition to the indirect carbon dioxide emissions, which is corrected according to the amount of the nontechnical power losses, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization; is equal to the difference between the amounts of RVTVE, calculated by the formula (14) and the amount of the nontechnical power losses component, conditioned by the faults in the power transmission organization, calculate using the formula (18) or (19):

$$\Delta A_{ALamount}^{emissions} = \Delta A_{ALamount} - \Delta A_{AUPLog} \quad (20)$$



## **7. MONITORING OF TVE AMOUNT CALCULATION RESULTS IN 0,38-150 KV POWER GRID IN ORDER TO ESTIMATE INDIRECT CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS**

The monitoring of TVE amount in 0,38-150 kV power grids of a power supply company used for estimation of indirect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for respective periods is performed by checking:

- compliance of the official statistic reports of the power supply company in 1B-TVE form, form No. 67 as well as annual report of the company for the respective year with the data used for calculation;
- compliance of the TVE amount calculation procedure to that of the given methodology.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Report on the scientific and technical work "Assessment of greenhouse gas emission reduction at cost of reducing technology expenses in the distributive networks of Ukraine" (final) under the contract №3 / 11 from 04.04.2011, of the Institute of General Energy of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 2011.p.111.
2. On improving the accuracy of commercial and technically accounting of electricity. Circular № 01-99 (E) // Department of strategy development and science and technology policy. - Moscow: RAO "UES of Russia" on 23 February 1999,
3. Glandule YS, Artemyev AV, Savchenko OV Calculation, analysis and loss of electricity in normyrovanye of electrical setyah: Guide for virtually raschetov. - Moscow: Izd ENAS SC, 2002. 280 p.
4. GOST 19431-84 energy and elektryfykatsyya. Of Terms and determining
5. GOST 30206-94 Statycheskye counters watt-hours of energy reactor AC (accuracy classes 0,2 S and 0,5 S)
6. GOST 30207-94 Statycheskye counters watt-hours of energy reactor AC (accuracy classes 1 and 2)
7. DSTU 2681-94 Metrology. Terms and definitions
8. SOU-H EE 11.315:2007 (IDPs 031/08-2007) Number of electric energy and electric power. A typical method of measurement



Ltd “ EKOLOGICHNI ENERGETYCHNI SYSTEMY ”

**ALTERATION №1 TO**

**THE METHODOLOGY**

**OF TECHNICAL POWER LOSSES AMOUNT DETERMINATION IN 0,38-150 kV POWER GRIDS**

**OF POWER SUPPLY COMPANY FOR THE INDIRECT CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION**

**ESTIMATION**

Lviv, 2012



## PREFACE

- |   |           |  |
|---|-----------|--|
| 1 | ORDERED   | Ltd “ Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy ” |
| 2 | DEVELOPED | OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”                      |
| 3 | EXECUTOR  | Y.Koval                                  |

Chief executive officer OJSC “LvivORGRES”	I.Kavych
Chief operative officer OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	V.Kondratenko
Quality manager OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	V.Kaminskyi
Electrical department manager OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”	I.Lutsyk
Electrical department sector supervisor OJSC “ LvivORGRES ”,	
Responsible executor	Y.Koval

## APPROVED

Ltd “ Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy ” director

\_\_\_\_\_ Y.Schpak

“ \_\_\_\_\_ ” \_\_\_\_\_ 2012

---

Right of ownership for this document belongs to OJSC “ LvivORGRES ” and Ltd “ Ekologichni Energetychni Systemy ”.

Reproduce, duplicate and distribute it fully or partially on any data medium without official permission is forbidden.

Alteration №1

This template shall not be altered. It shall be completed without modifying/adding headings or logo, format or font.



Page 1

Pages 2

**THE METHODOLOGY  
OF TECHNICAL POWER LOSSES AMOUNT DETERMINATION  
IN 0,38-150 kV POWER GRIDS  
OF POWER SUPPLY COMPANY  
FOR THE INDIRECT CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION ESTIMATION**

Paragraph 5.8 (p.15). Should be put in new version:

5.8 The total value in Chapter 8 "Technical calculated power losses" for all billing periods (baseline and current years of settlement) should be multiplied by coefficient of deterioration of electrical indices of electrical equipment over time (KP).

According to the above in Appendix A [1] deterioration coefficient of electrical performance of electrical equipment over time KP can reach thirty percent or more of passport values ( $KP \geq 1,3$ ). In order to ensure a conservative approach:

for the base year N ( $N=0$ ) coefficient value of electrical equipment deterioration index over time of KPN is taken equal to 1,25;

coefficient value of electrical equipment deterioration index over time of KPN+1 for the current calculated year t, which is the baseline ( $N=0, t=1$ ) is taken equal to 1,15;

coefficient value of electrical equipment deterioration index over time  $KPN+t = KPN+1 - 0,01 \cdot t$  for the next calculated years N+t ( $N = 0, t \geq 2$ ). If the calculated value of the coefficient  $KPN+t < 1,05$ , then for these years it is taken equal to 1,05

Alteration №1





Page 2

2 Pages

Section 6.2 (p.20). To add a new paragraph 6.2.5:

6.2.5 To enable the calculation of the carbon dioxide emissions reduction over the estimated period, that is less than one year (monitoring period), the amount of RVTVE in electric power grids of the company, that is transferred into the indirect carbon dioxide emissions for the current year shall be calculated using the following official data of statistical reporting of the power supply company:

- estimated departmental reporting form 1B-TVE "Structure of the balance of electricity and technological electricity consumption for transmission over electrical networks" for the current year, which is the sum of reportable balances of energy under the form 1B-TVE for previous months, which are included in the monitoring period and reporting balance of power under the form 1B-TVE for not included in the monitoring period corresponding months of the year prior to the year of monitoring;

- reporting data at the end of the monitoring period contained in the form № 67 - energeo "Report on the organization of accounting systems of active electrical energy for consumers and installing in electrical grids for consumers and electricity supplying organizations the automated electricity metering and local equipment for data collection and processing (ASECCA)

- Reporting data on the number of household electricity consumers by the end of the monitoring period contained in the form of number 68 – energeo.

The above data are used for calculation in accordance with the provisions 6.3 - 6.6 of RVTVE amount in electric grids of power supply company that are transferred into the indirect carbon dioxide emissions, and calculation of the estimated amount of emission reductions for the monitoring year.

The actual amount of carbon dioxide emission reductions during the monitoring period that is less than one year is calculated by multiplying the estimated amount of emission reductions per year of monitoring by a coefficient equal to the percentage by dividing the number of hours in the monitoring period and the number of hours per year of monitoring. When performing calculations, this ratio can be taken 1/12 for the month, 1/4 for the quarter, 1/2 to six months etc.

The actual amount of carbon dioxide emissions reductions during the monitoring period, consisting of months that are not included in the monitoring period in the future during monitoring shall be calculated as the difference between the actual number of emission reductions for the monitoring year, calculated on the basis of the data listed in paragraphs 6.2 .2 and 6.2.4, and actual emission reductions during the monitoring period, consisting of months that have already been included in the monitoring period.