JI	Verification			F -	JI - VRep	
No.	C) A	IE REPOR		M		
(to b	e completed by an AIE requestir	ng the publication of a det	ermination pursuant	to paragraph	37 of the JI guidelines)	
Accre	edited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas C	ertification H	lolding SAS	
		JI activ	vity			
			UA1000287, Modernization of electric power distribution system at OJSC "Odesaoblenergo"			
Parties involved in the JI activity			- Ukraine - Switzerland			
Project participants			OJSC "Odesaoblenergo"VEMA S.A.			
Coord	dinating entity (applicable	to JI PoA only)	N/a			
Туре	of JI activity: 🗹 large	scale 🗆 small	-scale 🛛 LU	LUCF	D PoA	
	Emission	reductions/Enha	incements of r	emovals		
Monit	coring period(s) (DD/MM/Y	01/01/2011 –31/12/2011				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)			789 870			
	resent verification is the f activity	irst with regard to	□ Yes	3	⊠ No	
to JI	Verification period (DD/M	M/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ N.A.			
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JP	As verified	☑ N.A.			
App	Sampling approach used	to verify the PoA	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
	Statements re	garding requirem	ents and poss	sible char	nges	
furthe to par	e <u>confirm that all requirem</u> er relevant requirements d ragraph 37 of the JI guidel 2 Yes No	efined by the CMP or				
Verifi	cation opinion					
docur	e provide a verification op nents attached), including dance with the Standard f	a statement regardi	ng materiality an	d level of a	ssurance, in	
The ve	erification opinion is provide	d in the attached Verif	ication Report, vei	r. 01, as of 1	17/04/2012	
monit	roject design was revised oring period, after the det deemed final		□ Y	es	⊠ No	

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N.A.	-	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	EI N.A.		
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period		□Yes	☑ No
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□ Yes	□ No	⊠n.a.
List of documents attached to the verification report	the second s		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verificatio	n process and m	ark below acco	ordingly
 Verification report Written approvals by the Parties involved, if Other relevant documents: 	fapplicable		
 Document listing all revisions to the project Determination that the revisions to the proj determination opinion and that the conditio are still met Revised monitoring plan, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the mor and/or applicability of information collected changing conformity with relevant rules and Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>) Any other documents (please list): Annex 1: Implementation of new and recon grid at substations; Annex 2: Quantity of installed electrical equit Annex 3: List of metering devices; Annex 4: Calculation of GHG emission reduction 	ect design, if app ns defined by pa <u>hitoring plan</u> , if ap , compared to th d regulations for struction of exis ipment units;	olicable, do not ragraph 33 of f oplicable, impro e original moni establishing m	the JI guidelines ove the accuracy toring plan without onitoring plans
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verif does not constitute a conflict of interest which is inc			
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov		1
Date and signature	17/04/2012	Hour	Certification
		- Ulaing	SAS