



AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity 0235:Benaiciai-1 wind power project

Parties involved in the JI activity Lithuania
Netherlands

Project participants UAB Renerga

Coordinating entity (*applicable to JI PoA only*) N.A.

Type of JI activity: large-scale small-scale LULUCF PoA

Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 30/09/2010-31/12/2011

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO₂ eq.) 67812

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity Yes No

Applicable to JI PoA only

Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) N/A

Reference number of JPAs verified N/A

Sampling approach used to verify the PoA N/A Yes No

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

- Yes
 No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 1st periodic verification of the „Benaiciai-1 wind power project” in Lithuania, which applies the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of RENERGA, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 07. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 dated 10/08/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 30/09/2010 to 31/12/2011

Baseline emissions:	67812 t CO2 equivalents;
Project emissions:	0 t CO2 equivalents;
Emission Reductions (Year 2010):	9804 t CO2 equivalents;
Emission Reductions (Year 2011):	58008 t CO2 equivalents;
Total Emission Reductions:	67812 t CO2 equivalents


The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
---	---

If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	Reasons are explained in the Annex of the Monitoring report version 2, that monitoring period starting date is earlier than in the PDD document (01/01/2011), because the project succeeded in implementing earlier than anticipated. Determination opinion is that starting date of the crediting period can be earlier then specified starting date of the crediting period in the PDD, this is not restricted by any JI guidelines or procedures and Lithuanian national JI guidelines and does not alter determination opinion as per PROCEDURES REGARDING CHANGES DURING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION (Version 01). Also starting date of the crediting period is not defined nor in the investor Party LoA, nor in the Host Party LoA.
---	--

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
---	---

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
--	---

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:	
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<p>The <u>monitoring plan</u> was revised in the current monitoring period</p> <p><i>If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>List of documents attached to the verification report form</p> <p>Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly</p>	
<p>Verification report</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable:</p> <p>Letter of Approval, issued by NL Agency, Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture and Innovation on 05/06/2012</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other relevant documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met <input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans <input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents (please list): <p>Monitoring report version (version 2, dated 10/08/2012)</p> <p>Annex to Monitoring report (version dated 11/09/2012).</p>	
<p>The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE</p>	
<p>Operational manager signing for the AIE</p>	<p>Witold Dzugan</p>
<p>Date and signature</p>	<p>20/09/2012 </p>