

# VERIFICATION REPORT SIA "VIDZEME EKO"

# VERIFICATION OF THE DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE

### INITIAL AND FIRST PERIODIC FOR 12/05/2008-30/09/2012

### BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION

REPORT NO. UKRAINE-VER/0770/2012 REVISION NO. 01



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE

Date of first issue:	Organizational unit:
19/10/2012	Bureau Veritas Certification
	Holding SAS
<sup>Client:</sup>	Client ref.:
SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	Victor Tkachenko

Summary:

Bureau Veritas Certification has made the initial, 1<sup>st</sup> periodic, verification of the "Dismantling of waste heap #12 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine", project of SIA "Vidzeme Eko" located in Bryanka town, Luhansk Region, Ukraine, and applying JI specific approach, on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the JI, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

The verification scope is defined as a periodic independent review and ex post determination by the Accredited Entity of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions during defined verification period, and consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

The first output of the verification process is a list of Clarification, Corrective Actions Requests, Forward Actions Requests (CR, CAR and FAR), presented in Appendix A.

In summary, Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions. The GHG emission reduction is calculated accurately and without material errors, omissions, or misstatements, and the ERUs issued totalize 2 292 159 tonnes of CO2 equivalent for the monitoring period from 12/05/2008 to 30/09/2012 (359863 tCO2eq for 12/05/2008-31/12/2008, 522297 tCO2eq for 01/01/2009-31/12/2009, 525515 tCO2eq for 01/01/2010-31/12/2010, 520419 tCO2eq for 01/01/2011-31/12/2011, 364065 tCO2eq for 01/01/2012-30/09/2012).

Report No.: UKRAINE-ver/0770/2012	Subject Group:	]	
Project title: Dismantling of waste "Dzerzhynskogo" mine	heap #12 at former		
Work carried out by:		1	
Vyacheslav Yeriomin	- Team Leader, Lead		
verifier			
Volodymyr Kulish – Tea	im Leader, Verifier		
Work reviewed by:		-	
Ivan Sokolov - Techni	ical Reviewer		No distribution without permission from the
Dmytro Balyn - techn			Client or responsible organizational unit
	Bureau Veritas	Certific	ation
Work approved by:	V /		
Ivan Sokolov - Operat	tional Manager Helding		Limited distribution
Date of this revision: Rev. N		>	
25/10/2012 01	21		Unrestricted distribution

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE

#### Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Objective	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Verification Team	3
2	METHODOLOGY	4
2.1	Review of Documents	4
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	4
2.3	Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests	5
3	VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS	6
3.1	Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications	6
3.2	Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)	6
3.3	Project implementation (92-93)	6
3.4	Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology (94-98)	8
3.5	Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)	8
3.6	Data management (101)	8
3.7	Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)	9
4	VERIFICATION OPINION	9
5	REFERENCES 1	2
APPEN	IDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL	4



#### Page

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

SIA "Vidzeme Eko" has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to verify the emissions reductions of its JI project "Dismantling of waste heap #12 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine" (hereafter called "the project") at Bryanka town, Rovenky borough council, Luhansk region, Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the verification of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

#### 1.1 Objective

Verification is the periodic independent review and ex post determination by the Accredited Independent Entity of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions during defined verification period.

The objective of verification can be divided in Initial Verification and Periodic Verification.

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

#### 1.2 Scope

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study, monitoring plan and monitoring report, and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The verification is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications, corrective and/or forward actions may provide input for improvement of the project monitoring towards reductions in the GHG emissions.

#### **1.3 Verification Team**

The verification team consists of the following personnel:

Vyacheslav Yeriomin Bureau Veritas Certification Team Leader, Climate Change Verifier

Volodymyr Kulish Bureau Veritas Certification Climate Change Verifier

This verification report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov Bureau Veritas Certification, Internal Technical Reviewer

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



#### Dmytro Balyn

Bureau Veritas Certification, Technical Specialist

#### 2 METHODOLOGY

The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a verification protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from verifying the identified criteria. The verification protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent verification process where the verifier will document how a particular requirement has been verified and the result of the verification.

The completed verification protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

#### 2.1 Review of Documents

The Monitoring Report (MR) submitted by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Project Design Document (PDD), and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Host party criteria, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Verification Requirements to be Checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

The verification findings presented in this report relate to the Monitoring Report version(s) 2.0 and project as described in the determined PDD.

#### 2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 16/10/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of "Inter-Kompaniya" Llc and SIA "Vidzeme Eko" were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE

#### Table 1 Interview topics

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
"Inter-Kompaniya" Llc CONSULTANT SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	<ul> <li>Organizational structure</li> <li>Responsibilities and authorities</li> <li>Roles and responsibilities for data collection and</li> <li>processing</li> <li>Installation of equipment</li> <li>Data logging, archiving and reporting</li> <li>Metering equipment control</li> <li>Metering record keeping system, database</li> <li>IT management</li> <li>Training of personnel</li> <li>Quality management procedures and technology\</li> <li>Internal audits and check-ups</li> <li>Baseline methodology</li> <li>Monitoring plan</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>Monitoring report</li><li>Excel spreadsheets</li></ul>

### 2.3 Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the verification is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the GHG emission reduction calculation.

If the Verification Team, in assessing the monitoring report and supporting documents, identifies issues that need to be corrected, clarified or improved with regard to the monitoring requirements, it should raise these issues and inform the project participants of these issues in the form of:

(a) Corrective action request (CAR), requesting the project participants to correct a mistake that is not in accordance with the monitoring plan;

(b) Clarification request (CL), requesting the project participants to provide additional information for the Verification Team to assess compliance with the monitoring plan;

(c) Forward action request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue, relating to the monitoring that needs to be reviewed during the next verification period.



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



The Verification Team will make an objective assessment as to whether the actions taken by the project participants, if any, satisfactorily resolve the issues raised, if any, and should conclude its findings of the verification.

To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the verification protocol in Appendix A.

#### **3 VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS**

In the following sections, the conclusions of the verification are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original monitoring documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A. The verification of the Project resulted in 8 Corrective Action Requests, 0 Clarification Requests, and 0 Forward Action Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section corresponds to the DVM paragraph.

#### 3.1 Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications

There is no FAR available from determination process, provided by Bureau Veritas Certification.

#### 3.2 **Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)**

The project was approved by both Parties Involved. Letter of Approval #3080/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of Approval 12.2-02/13628 dated 12/10/2012 issued by Ministry of Environment protection and regional development of Republic Latvia

The abovementioned written approval is unconditional.

#### 3.3 **Project implementation (92-93)**

Proposed project consists in full dismantling of waste heaps with sorting and enrichment of obtained coal containing rock mass.

Boundaries of proposed project cover dismantled waste heap #12 of Dzerzhynskogo mine and enrichment plant "Volodarska". "Inter-Kompanya" Ltd buys coal containing rock mass at mines and processes it at enrichment plant "Volodarska", on sub-contract relations basis. Stuff and equipment of "Spryiannya VK" LLC is used for waste heap dismantling and transporting of rock mass to the enrichment plant. Chemical analysis

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



of coal containing rock mass and obtained coal concentrate is provided by chemical laboratory of PE "Kontinent"

Technologies employed in the project activity are described below

Bulldozers rise to the top of the dump on its tail section. Dismantling of dump with bulldozers T-170 is carried by horizontal layers, after lowering the height of dump to 25-30 m, allowed dismantling by slope (15 °) layers. A combined method for the dump dismantling is used, when after decline by bulldozers to lower layer height, in which entrance road can be constructed, further dismantling is carried out by excavators EO-5126 with direct loading rock into vehicles (trucks KAMAZ 55111).

On the second stage, the rock mass is delivered to the enrichment plant "Volodarska" for further enrichment. The rock mass is supplied to the inertial screening sifter for the pre-classification by class of 100 mm. After the pre-classification, the coal mass delivered to the preparatory screening to sifter GIL-52a by dry or wet mode. Beneficiation of large class 13 mm is made on heavy media separator STK 32-55010, and beneficiation of small class 3-13 mm - at hydrocyclone GTSM-63011. washing of the suspension of beneficiation products Next. and dehydrating products by dressing screens and centrifuge take place, regeneration suspension at electromagnetic separator. Thus the water in this process is used in closed loop. Beneficiation products (coal concentrate) are transported by conveyor belt into bins for further shipment to the consumer. Waste is transported to the flat dump

The project capacity of the complex allows to process 900 000 m3 of the rocks per year.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures are provided in the section A.4.1.4.

Main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the section A.4.2 of the PDD.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures, main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the PDD.

Waste heap dismantling and coal benefication was started in 2008 year. Crediting period for ERUs generation started 12/05/2008.

Level of project activity is depended by coal demand at Ukrainian market. Project owner doesn't keep coal at warehouses and produce beneficiated rock mass as when necessary.

Project boundaries described in the determined PDD are kept; coal from another waste heaps doesn't uses in project.

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



Difference between estimated emission reductions indicated in the PDD and provided in the Monitoring report is not observed. Factually PDDs calculations are performed ex-post for monitoring.

Identified problem areas for project implementation status, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR01, CAR02)

## 3.4 Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology (94-98)

For calculating the emission reductions, key factors, such as availability of work power and financing, seasonal coal requirement on Ukraine inside market, prices of diesel fuel and electric energy, influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating emission reductions, such as work forecasts, bookkeepers invoices, laboratory analysis samples, work logbooks are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Emission factors, including default emission factors, are selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice. Default emission factors, such as emission factor for electricity consumption, carbon content in diesel fuel and coal, are in line with Ukraine National GHG Inventory report for 1990-2010 years.

The calculation of emission reductions is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

Identified problem areas for compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR03)

#### 3.5 Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)

"Not applicable"

#### 3.6 Data management (101)

The data and their sources, provided in monitoring report, are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

The implementation of data collection procedures is in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures.

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



The function of the monitoring equipment, including its calibration status, is in order.

Consumption of diesel fuel is accounting by bookkeeper invoices.

The evidence and records used for the monitoring are maintained in a traceable manner. Initially data on value and quality of produced coal, track's load, diesel fuel consumption, waste heap mass quantity is obtained from logbooks of relevant work suppliers. The data on electricity consumed is obtained from monthly reports of Regional Electric Network.

The data required to monitor JI project is routinely collected within the normal operations of the "Spryiannya VK" LLc and chemical laboratory of PE "Continent" LLC therefore JI monitoring is integral part of routine monitoring

The data collection and management system for the project is in accordance with the monitoring plan. Data monitoring and collection system described in the monitoring report is adequate and working.

Identified problem areas applicable for project data management, responses of project participants, Bureau Veritas Certification conclusions are listed in the Annex A Verification protocol (see CAR04-CAR08)

#### 3.7 Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)

"Not applicable"

#### **4 VERIFICATION OPINION**

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the initial, 1<sup>st</sup> periodic verification of the "Dismantling of waste heap #12 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine" Project in Bryanka town, Luhansk region, Ukraine, which applies JI specific approach. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of SIA "Vidzeme Eko" is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 2.0. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2.0 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

<u>Reporting period</u> : From 12/05/2008 to Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	30/09/2012 : 1806525 : 39640 : -525273 : 2292159	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 12/05/2008 to 31/12/2008 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 283291 : 6150 : -82722 : 359863	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 01/01/2009 to 31/12/2009 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 411446 : 8770 : -119621 : 522297	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2010 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 412936 : 9069 : -121648 : 525515	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 01/01/2011 to 31/12/2011 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 411026 : 8990 : -118383 : 520419	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

From 01/01/2012 to 30/09/2012

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



Baseline emissions	: 287827	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Project emissions	: 6661	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Leakages	: -82899	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 364065	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



#### **5 REFERENCES**

#### **Category 1 Documents:**

Documents provided by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

- /1/ Project Design Document "Dismantling of waste heap #54 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine" version 2.0 dated 17/10/2012
- /2/ Monitoring Report "Dismantling of waste heap #12 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine" version 1.0 dated 19/10/2012
- /3/ Monitoring Report "Dismantling of waste heap #12 at former "Dzerzhynskogo" mine" version 2.0 dated 24/10/2012
- /4/ ERUs calculation Excel-file "Calculation\_T18\_K.xls"
- /5/ Letter of Approval #3080/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine
- /6/ Letter of Approval #12.2-02/13628 issued by Ministry of Environment Protection and regional development of republic Latvia 12/10/2012

#### **Category 2 Documents:**

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

- /1/ Subcontract #18/08/08-3 from 11.04.2008 between "SAV-PLAST" Ltd. and "SPRYYANNYA-VK" Ltd.
- /2/ Contract for work #18/08/08 from 11.04.2008 between "Donbasvuhillyainvest" Ltd. and "Donuhletehynvest" Ltd.
- /3/ Contract for works #28-09/08 from 22.09.2008 between "SPRYYANNYA-VK" Ltd. and PE "Continent"
- /4/ Certificate of performed work of weighing from 01/04/10 of 58980 t of carbonaceous rock
- /5/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of work completion and costs calculations of works for completion certificate for 2008-2012
- /6/ Sales invoices for 2008-2012 by months
- /7/ Certificates of coal quality 2008-2012.
- /8/ Photos: waste heap general view
- /9/ Photos: enrichment plant and enrichment plant equipment general view

#### Persons interviewed:

List persons interviewed during the verification or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

- /1/ Shcherbyna Aleksey Nynelevych director "SPRYYANNYA-VK" Ltd.
- /2/ Serdytyi Roman Nykolaevych director "SAV-PLAST" Ltd
- /3/ Melnychuk Sergiy Oleksandrovych director PE "Continent"
- /4/ Gurko Olga Vasylivna laboratory Head PE "Continent"
- /5/ Gints Klavinsh SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Project Manager
- /6/ Tymofeev Sergiy Petrovych SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant
- /7/ Stah Yuri Mykhailovych SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE

APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

#### Check list for verification, according to the JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

DVM Paragrap	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final
h			Conclusion	Conclusion
Project app	provals by Parties involved			
90	Has the DFPs of at least one Party involved, other than the host Party, issued a written project approval when submitting the first verification report to the secretariat for publication in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, at the latest?	The project was approved by both parties involved. Letter of Approval #3080/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 has been issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of Approval #12.2-02/13628 from 12/10/2012has been issued by Ministry of regional development and	OK	ОК
91	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	The abovementioned written approvals are unconditional	ОК	ОК
	plementation			
92	Has the project been implemented in accordance with the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	The project has been implemented in accordance with the PDD which determination has been deemed final and is available at JI UNFCCC web site. <u>CAR01</u> Please add information if any additional equipment were used in the project activity during the monitoring period. Also please note if project equipment was changed comparing with the determined PDD. <u>CAR02</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration. This is not fully reasonably, because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 9 months of 2012 is factual.	CAR01 CAR02	OK OK



	<b>D</b>		0110/2012	1828
	ON REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12	AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE		BUREAU
DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusior
		Please provide adequate explanation.		
93	What is the status of operation of the project during the monitoring period?	The project is in operation during the monitoring period. Information on decisive project parameters is provided in the sections B.2.3, B.2.4, B.2.6	OK	OK
Complianc	e with monitoring plan			
94	Did the monitoring occur in accordance with the monitoring plan included in the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	The monitoring was provided in accordance with the monitoring plan, which is included in the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed at JI UNFCC website	OK	ОК
95 (a)	For calculating the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals, were key factors, e.g. those listed in 23 (b) (i)-(vii) above, influencing the baseline emissions or net removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?	The key factors which are listed in the sections 23 (b) (i)-(vii) of DVM are taken into account in appropriate way	ОК	ОК
95 (b)	Are data sources used for calculating emission reductions or enhancements of net removals clearly identified, reliable and transparent?	<u>CAR03</u> Please add reference on source of diesel fuel density, which is indicted as 0,85 kg/l	CAR03	ОК
95 (c)	Are emission factors, including default emission factors, if used for calculating the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals, selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?	The emission factors used for calculating the emission reduction are selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and the choice of them are appropriately justified	ОК	ОК
95 (d)	Is the calculation of emission reductions or	The calculation of emission reduction are based on	OK	OK

			0110/2012	1828
VERIFICATI	ON REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12	AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE		BUREAU
DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusior
	enhancements of net removals based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?	conservative assumptions and the most plausible future scenarios		
Applicable	to JI SSC projects only_Not applicable			
	e to bundled JI SSC projects only_Not appli	cable		
	of monitoring plan			
	only if monitoring plan is revised by proje		1	
99 (a)	Did the project participants provide an appropriate justification for the proposed revision?	The project participants doesn't revise monitoring plan during the monitoring period	OK	OK
99 (b)	Does the proposed revision improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans?	Not applicable	ОК	ОК
Data mana	agement			
101 (a)	Is the implementation of data collection procedures in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures?	The implementation of data collection procedures in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures <u>CAR04</u> Please add in the figure 1. Data collection procedures sources of data on chemical analyses and specific	CAR04	ОК
		electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate		
101 (b)	Is the function of the monitoring equipment, including its calibration status, in order?	<u>CAR05</u> The MR indicates that automobile scales KODA-A #834 was installed in 2007 year and the date of last	CAR05 CAR06 CAR07	OK OK OK



DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusio
		calibration is 24/09/2012. Please add data on calibration of coal scales in 2008-2011 years. <u><i>CAR06</i></u> Please add data on laboratory equipment of laboratory involved to the project. <u><i>CAR07</i></u> Please separately indicate owner of waste heap and owner of chemical laboratory		
101 (c)	Are the evidence and records used for the monitoring maintained in a traceable manner?	The evidences and records used for the monitoring are obtained in a traceable manner	OK	OK
101 (d)	Is the data collection and management system for the project in accordance with the monitoring plan?	<u>CAR08</u> Please provide order describing data keeping procedures and mentioned that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculation will be kept two years after the last ERUs transfer.	CAR08	ОК

#### VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE



 Table 2
 Resolution of Corrective Action and Clarification Requests

Draft report clarification and corrective action requests by verification team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participant Verification team conclusion response
<u>CAR01</u> Please add information if any additional equipment were used in the project activity during the monitoring period. Also please note if project equipment was changed comparing with the determined PDD.	92	Project equipment that is used for the rock benefication has not been replaced during the monitoring period and additional equipment has not been installed.
<u>CAR02</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration. This is not fully reasonably, because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 9 months of 2012 is factual. Please provide adequate explanation.	92	Project participants during the first 9 months in 2012 used actual data for calculations, and for the last 3 months - predictable. Therefore, in the monitoring report, which covers 9 months in 2012, the difference between values of emission reductions from the data in the PDD consists only of predictable reductions during the last 3 months in 2012.
<u>CAR03</u> Please add reference on source of diesel fuel density, which is indicted as 0,85 kg/l	95(b)	Added in section B.3.: If the data in these documents are given in liters instead of tonnes, the data must be transferred through the coefficient 0.85 kg / I.The issue is closedReference to "GOST 305-82, Diesel fuel. Technical characteristics": http://elarum.ru/info/standards/gost-305- 82/. 0.85 kg / I is an average value for fuel of two types: summer and winter fuel.The issue is closed



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HE	AP <b>#12</b> AT FC	DRMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE		BUREAU
<u>CAR04</u> Please add in the figure 1. Data collection procedures sources of data on chemical analyses and specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate	101(a)	Figure 1 shows the scheme how data is collected during entire monitoring period. Chemical analysis data and data of energy consumption per t of coal are not used for emission reduction calculations or for other purposes in connection with project implementation, and therefore are not monitored. Electricity consumption at the plant in this project is considered as leakages, and therefore has no relation to the project emissions.		VERITAS
<u>CAR05</u> The MR indicates that automobile scales KODA-A #834 was installed in 2007 year and the date of last calibration is 24/09/2012. Please add data on calibration of coal scales in 2008-2011 years.	101(b)	Data on the automobile scales KODA-A is added in Table 2, Section B.1.2.	The issue is closed	
<u>CAR06</u> Please add data on laboratory equipment of laboratory involved to the project.	101(b)	Laboratory data is not directly used for calculation of emission reductions or for other purposes in connection with the project implementation. Laboratory data is not monitored. The only reference on laboratory of the enrichment plant was made to admit that coal concentrate obtained after benefication has characteristics that are not worse than characteristics of the coal obtained in regular mine. Therefore, there is no need to add data about laboratory equipment.	The issue is closed	



VERIFICATION REPORT: DISMANTLING OF WASTE HEAP #12 AT FORMER "DZERZHYNSKOGO" MINE				BUREAU
CAR07 Please separately indicate owner of waste heap and owner of chemical laboratory	101(b)	Waste heap is in use of "INTER – KOMPANIYA" Ltd. according to the Agreement # 11/4/08-1 from 11/04/2008. PE "Continent" is the contractor of coal enrichment process.	The issue is closed	VERITAS
<u>CAR08</u> Please provide order describing data keeping procedures and mentioned that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculation will be kept two years after the last ERUs transfer.	101(d)	Noted, Section B.3.: Documents and other data monitored and required for determination and verification, as well as any other data that are relevant to the operation of the project, will be kept for at least two years after the last transfer of ERUs.	The issue is closed	