

of project emissions).

AIE REPO (version		R IM		
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a de	etermination pursua	ant to paragraph	37 of the JI guidelines)	
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas (	Certification Hold	ling SAS	
JI act	ivity			
Reference number and title of JI activity		0221: YARA Köping S2 N2O abatement project in Sweden		
Parties involved in the JI activity	Sweden Netherlands			
Project participants	YARA AB N.serve Environmental Services GmbH			
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.			
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale ☐ small	l-scale □ l	LULUCF	□ РоА	
Emission reductions/Enh	ancements o	f removals		
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY	) 0	1/03/2012-30/0	09/2012	
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	nhancements of anthropogenic removals 94333			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	Ye	es 🗆	No ⊠	
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY	⁄) ⊠ <b>N/A</b>			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY  Reference number of JPAs verified  Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A			
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
Statements regarding require	ments and po	ssible char	nges	
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of further relevant requirements defined by the CMP to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :				
Verification opinion				
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference documents attached), including a statement regard accordance with the Standard for applying the con	ding materiality	and level of a	ssurance, in	
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd per KÖPING S2 N2O ABATEMENT PROJECT IN SWEDE (using a methodology for baseline setting and monitor JI guidelines), AM0034 version 03.4 (with validated de	EN", which applieing developed in	es the project s accordance wi	pecific approach the	

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on

the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of YARA AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 8 issued on 02/09/2011. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 01 dated 08/10/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/03/2012 to 30/09/2012 Emission Reductions (year 2012): 94333 t CO2 equivalents. The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that conditions ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed □ Yes ⊠ N/A □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised in the current □ Yes ⋈ No monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

ist of documents attached to the verification report form				
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly				
Verification report				
□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
	Other relevant documents:			
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable		
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met		
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable:		
		Monitoring plan revision is described in the Monitoring Report version 01, dated 08/10/2012		
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans		
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)		
		Any other documents (please list):		
		Monitoring Report version 01, dated 08/10/2012		
		Excel spreadsheet Excel spreadsheet S2_ERcalc_vp3_v2, last modified 18/10/2012		
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Opera	tiona	I manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan		

Date and signature

22/11/2012