

AIE REPORT FORM								
(to k	(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
Accre	Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS							
	JI activity							
Refer	ence number a	and title of JI activity	0034:Benaiciai w	0034:Benaiciai wind power project				
Partie	es involved in th	he JI activity	Lithuania Sweden					
Proje	ct participants		UAB Renerga Nordic Environme	ent Finance Cor	rporation (NEFCO)			
Coord	dinating entity ((applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.					
Туре	of JI activity:	⊠ large-scale □ small-	scale 🗆 L	.ULUCF	□ РоА			
		Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of	removals				
Moni	toring period(s)) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01	01/01/2012-31/10/2012				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)			17438					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□ Y€	es	⊠ No			
to JI	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)) 🛮 N/A					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nui	mber of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A					
Appl		proach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
	State	ements regarding requiren	nents and po	ssible char	nges			
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :								
⊠	⊴ Yes							
	No							
	cation opinion	rification opinion (or a reference	to the verifical	tion oninion i	n one of the			
docui	ments attached	inication opinion (or a reference d), including a statement regard e Standard for applying the cond	ing materiality a	and level of a	ssurance, in			
		fication has performed the 5th public applies the project specific						

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the

the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for

consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of RENERGA, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 06. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 dated 08/11/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 31/10/2012
Baseline emissions :17,438 t CO2 equivalents.
Project emissions :0 t CO2 equivalents.
Emission Reductions (Year 2012) :17,438 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	

List of documents attached to the verification report form					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly					
,	Verification report				
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.				
	⊠	Other relevant documents:			
			Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable		
			Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met		
			Revised monitoring plan, if applicable		
			Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans		
			Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)		
			Any other documents (please list):		
	Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 08/11/2012)				

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan
Date and signature	2012-12-05