# **MONITORING REPORT NR.4,**

Project: Lapes Landfill Gas Utilization and Energy

Generation

Country: Lithuania

Period: 01/01/2011 – 31/12/2011

# **INDEX**

PRC	)JECT FACTS	3
	INTRODUCTION	
	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
3.	BASELINE AND MONITORING METHODOLOGY APPLIED	4
4.	MONITORING MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM	5
5.	MONITORING PARAMETERS	6
6	FMISSION REDUCTIONS	7

# **Project Facts**

#### **Project information**

Title of project activity:	Lapes Landfill Gas Utilization and Energy Generation			
Location:	Lithuania, Kaunas County			
Project Host:	UAB Ekoresursai			
Project Investor:	Nordic Environmental Finance Corporation (NEFCO)			
Start of crediting period:	2008			
Date of final determination:	2009			
Date of UNFCCC certification:	2009			

#### **Monitoring information**

Monitoring report No:	4
Monitoring period:	01/01/2011 - 31/12/2011
Monitoring Excel spreadsheet version:	2011 Lapes Mon Rept Excel
Approval date and version:	2012.02.15, version 1
Emission Reductions generated within the monitoring period:	<b>33.648,00</b> t CO2
Name of person compiling monitoring report:	Gerardas Žukauskas

#### 1. Introduction

This Monitoring Report is elaborated for the JI project entitled "Lapes Landfill Gas Utilization and Energy Generation".

The project has been successful determined by TUV on 10/11/09 and the crediting period started on 01/07/08.

For the respective monitoring period from 01/01/2011 - 31/12/2011, the project generated a total of 33.648,00 tCO2 of emission reductions.

We used reliable data of national gas company AB "Lietuvos dujos", which is official Lithuanian gas provider, to determine caloric value of natural gas for monitoring period. AB "Lietuvos dujos" announces caloric value every month in web site www.lietuvosdujos.lt .

## 2. Project Description

UAB "Ekoresursai", a private Lithuanian company, is proposing the Lapes Landfill Gas Utilization Project as a Joint Implementation project. The objective of the project is to use landfill gas extracted from the Lapes landfill site for heat and power generation in a combined heat and power (CHP) plant to be constructed. This will significantly reduce methane emissions from the landfill. Substituting landfill gas for fossil fuels in heat and power generation will also reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the Lithuanian energy sector.

Lapes landfill is located near the city of Kaunas in Lithuania. Its exploitation started in 1973 and the total area of the landfill is 38.7 ha. The annual waste volumes disposed in the landfill have been around 110–120 thousand tonnes over the past years. The landfill is located on state land and operated by company VšI "Kauno regiono atliekų tvarkymo centras". UAB "Ekoresursai" has an agreement with the landfill operator for the extraction and utilisation of the landfill gas.

The project proponent is planning to build a landfill gas extraction system in the Lapes landfill. A combined heat and power (CHP) plant will also be constructed and connected to the gas extraction system. The CHP plant will provide electricity for the Lithuanian power grid and heat for the local district heating network. The CHP plant has an electrical capacity of 1.2 MWel and a heating capacity of 1.4 MWth.

The project developer has signed agreements with the local district heating company for land lease and heat sales.

The EU landfill directive requires that the landfills receiving biodegradable waste must have a gas collection system. There is a transition period for existing landfill sites, which in the case of Lithuania implies that existing landfills are required to have a gas collection system on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2012 at latest. So far there have not been any landfill gas capture systems implemented in Lithuania.

#### 3. Baseline and Monitoring Methodology applied

The Decision 9/CMP.1 includes an Appendix B that establishes the criteria for baseline setting and monitoring. Furthermore, the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee has agreed on additional guidance on these criteria at its fourth meeting in September 2006. The Version 01 of the document "Guidance on Criteria for Baseline Setting and Monitoring" states, inter alia, that "the project participants may establish a baseline that is in accordance with appendix B of the JI guidelines. In doing so, selected elements or combinations of approved CDM baseline and monitoring methodologies may be used, as appropriate" (paragraph 20b). The baseline of this project is established according to the appendix B.

For the calculation of the baseline, a project-specific approach, mainly based on the approved baseline and monitoring methodology for CDM projects ACM0001 version 2, "Consolidated baseline methodology for landfill gas project activities", is used. Version 2 of that methodology was in use for CDM projects submitted before 14 July 2006 and the baseline for this JI project was first established during that time. There are no significant differences between version 2 and the current version of the methodology that concern

this project. The applicability of the methodology is valid in both versions, the basis for the calculation of baseline emissions is the same and all the monitored parameters are the same.

The project-specific approach deviates from ACM0001 version 2 only in its use of the CDM "Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane" for determining the flare efficiency. This Tool was not available at the time of version 2 and thus, it was not required under version 2 of ACM0001. For this project, the Tool was applied as part of a revision of the PDD, to ensure a commonly accepted, up-to-date approach to determining flare efficiency. A default flare efficiency of 90% is selected according to the Tool.

The CDM methodology ACM0001 is applicable to landfill gas capture project activities, where the baseline scenario is the partial or total atmospheric release of the gas. This methodology can be used in a situation where the captured gas is used to produce energy (e.g. electricity/thermal energy), and emission reductions are claimed for displacing or avoiding energy generation from other sources. In this case a baseline methodology for electricity and/or thermal energy displaced shall be provided or an approved one used.

According to the ACM0001 version 2 "The methane destroyed by the project activity ( $MD_{project,y}$ ) during a year is determined by monitoring the quantity of methane actually flared and gas used to generate electricity and/or produce thermal energy". This is the approach taken in this project.

Owing to the characters of the Lithuanian electricity system and because the emission reductions claimed from the electricity generation are quite small (around 4,300 tCO2e per year), a simple methodology is used to establish the emission factor for displaced electricity. The emission factor of the Lithuanian Power Plant that operates at the margin is taken to be the emission factor for electricity displaced. This is justified by two facts:

- 1. The Lithuanian Power Plant is the second-largest power plant in Lithuania (after the Ignalina nuclear power plant). It operates on the grid as a marginal plant. It covers all power demand which remains after other power plants (nuclear power, CHP plants and hydro power plants) have supplied their power to the grid. The emission factor of the Lithuanian Power Plant is therefore the operating margin of the Lithuanian grid.
- 2. There is a surplus of installed electric capacity in Lithuania and the country is a net exporter of electricity. This means that new power plants are not being built and build margin therefore does not impact the emission factor.

#### 4. Monitoring Management and Quality Assurance System

Monitoring Management for respective period from 2008.06.01 by 2008.12.31 was implemented by collecting data and transferring collected data to dispatch room server trough SCADA every day. We used direct SCADA data transfer to the spreadsheet as monitoring management reports for mentioned period.

A Monitoring Management and Quality Assurance System has been developed and implemented for the respective JI project activity from 2009.01.01. In this context the following forms and procedures were issued and are followed by the respective personal involved in the JI project activity:

Form A1a\_Process Data Sheet (week)

Form A1b\_Process data Sheet (month)

Form A2\_Daily check form (LFG Plant)

Form A3\_Daily Check form (CHP)

Form A4\_ Monthly QA Check Form

Form A5\_Calibration Log Sheet

Procedure B1\_Records Keeping

Procedure B2\_Data Transfer

Procedure B3a\_Daily Check for LFG Plant

Procedure B3b\_Daily Check for CHP Procedure B4\_Calibration Records Procedure B5\_Monthly QA Check

Flare system has CE certificate and correspond EU regulations. Flare system is standby equipment to CHP if for some reason CHP would not be in operation. By 01/01/2011-31/12/2011 period Flare combusted 0,01 % of total amount of Landfill gas extracted. Flare temperature meter has manufacturer's calibration certificate and was not calibrated additionally. According PDD arranged that Flare combustion efficiency is 90%, if flare not functioning or temperature drops below 500 °C, efficiency meaning is 0%.

### 5. Monitoring Parameters

ID Data variable umber		Source of data unit		Measured (m), calculated (c), estimated (e)	Recording	
1. F1	Total amount of landfill gas captured	Continuous flow meter at Point 1	m <sup>3</sup>	М	Cont.	
2. T1	Temperature of the landfill gas	Continuous measurement at Point 1	°C	М	Cont.	
3. P1	Pressure of the landfill gas	Continuous measurement at Point 1	Pa	М	Cont.	
4. CH <sub>4</sub> 1	Methane fraction in LFG	LFG Continuous m³ <sub>CH4</sub> /m³ <sub>LF</sub> ( vol-%) at Point 1		М	Cont.	
5. F2	5. F2 Amount of LFG Contin flared measu at Poir		m <sup>3</sup>	М	Cont.	
6. FE3 Flare combustion efficiency		90% default efficiency used. Continuous measurement of flare operating time and temperature.	90%. If flare not functioning or temperature below 500 °C, efficiency is 0%	E	Cont.	
7. E4	E4 Electricity Continuous metering at MPR Station Point 4		MWh	М	Cont.	
8. F5	8. F5 Amount of LFG Con to CHP-plant met 5		m3	М	Cont.	
9. F6	. F6 Flow of natural Point 6 gas		m3	М	Cont.	
10. P6	. P6 Pressure of Point 6 natural gas		Pa	М	Cont.	
11. T6	Temperature of natural gas			М	Cont.	
12. E7	Electricity Continuous energy metering at Point 7		MWh	М	Cont.	
13. Q8	Heat	Continuous	MWh	М	Cont.	

the project   metering at   Point 8
-------------------------------------

#### 6. Emission Reductions

In the respective monitoring period 01/01/2011 - 31/12/2011, 33.648,00 t CO2 of emission reductions.

Detailed values of emission:

Emission reductions from methane avoidance (LFG flaring):	3	t CO2/yr
Emission reductions from methane avoidance (LFG utilization)	29254	t CO2/yr
Emission reductions from heat substitution	1059	t CO2/yr
Emission reductions from electricity substitution	3851	t CO2/yr
Emissions from natural gas consumption	328	t CO2/yr
Emissions from electricity consumption	190	t CO2/yr

#### Monthly values of LFG plant

Year	Month	Total amount of LFG flared	Methane fraction in LFG	Flate temperature	Methane avoidance from flaring	Electricity consumed in the MPR Module
		Nm3	vol-%	C°	t CH4	MWh
	January	0	51%	0	0	11,83
	February	371	52%	900	0,13	9,43
	March	0	53%	0	0	22,09
	April	0	53%	0	0	25,84
2011	May	0	55%	0	0	25,96
	June	0	57%	0	0	32,91
	July	0	59%	0	0	31,21
	August	0	56%	0	0	30,09
	September	0	56%	0	0	20,65
	October	0	55%	0	0	34,10
	November	0	53%	0	0	34,75
	December	0	53%	0	0	32,90
	Total/Averag e	371	54%	75	0	312

#### **Monthly values of CHP**

Year	Month	Total amount of LFG to CHP	Total amount of NG consumed	Calorific Value of NG	Total amount of electricity produced	Total amount of heat produced
		Nm3	Nm3	kCal/Nm3	MWh	MWh
	January	178.613,00	31200	7991	337,91	445,00
	February	190.725,00	33200	7997	374,99	447,10
	March	201.653,00	38484	7994	497,26	582,00
	April	331.332,00	31000	8016	586,26	502,00
	May	341.031,00	21509	8017	570,52	251,00
11	June	346.711,00	16473	8018	566,90	188,00
201	July	284.567,00	3110	8028	462,67	205,00
	August	378.091,00	0	8020	582,94	209,00
	September	371.867,00	0	8041	575,39	217,00
	October	441.670,00	0	8043	662,80	510,00
	November	403.864,00	0	8013	619,32	679,00
	December	345.241,00	0	8004	471,27	507,87
	Total/Averag e	3.815.365,00	174976	8015,17	6308,23	4742,97