



# VERIFICATION REPORT SIA "VIDZEME EKO"

## VERIFICATION OF THE SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

INITIAL AND FIRST PERIODIC FOR 02/09/2011-30/09/2012

REPORT No. UKRAINE-VER/0767/2012

REVISION No. 01

BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION



Report No: UKRAINE-ver/0767/2012

VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

Date of first issue: 12/10/2012	Organizational unit: Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS
Client: SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	Client ref.: Victor Tkachenko
<p>Summary:</p> <p>Bureau Veritas Certification has made the initial, 1<sup>st</sup> periodic verification of the "Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere", project of SIA "Vidzeme Eko" located in Novodaryivka village, Rovenky borough council lands, Luhansk Region, Ukraine, and applying JI specific approach, on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the JI, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.</p> <p>The verification scope is defined as a periodic independent review and ex post determination by the Accredited Entity of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions during defined verification period, and consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report &amp; Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.</p> <p>The first output of the verification process is a list of Clarification, Corrective Actions Requests, Forward Actions Requests (CR, CAR and FAR), presented in Appendix A.</p> <p>In summary, Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions. The GHG emission reduction is calculated accurately and without material errors, omissions, or misstatements, and the ERUs issued totalize 2036939 tonnes of CO2 equivalent for the monitoring period from 02/09/2011 to 30/09/2012 (671001 tonnes of CO2 equivalent for 02/09/2011-31/12/2011, 1365938 tonnes of CO2 equivalent for 01/01/2012-30/09/2012).</p> <p>Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents</p>	

Report No.: UKRAINE-ver/0767/2012	Subject Group: JI
Project title: Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere	
Work carried out by: Vyacheslav Yeriomin – Team Leader, Lead Verifier Dmytro Balyh – Team member, technical specialist	
Work reviewed by: Ivan Sokolov - Technical Reviewer Vasyl Kobzar – Technical Specialist	
Work approved by: Ivan Sokolov - Operational Manager	
Date of this revision: 25/10/2012	Rev. No.: 01
Number of pages: 21	



- No distribution without permission from the Client or responsible organizational unit
- Limited distribution
- Unrestricted distribution



<b>Table of Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	INTRODUCTION .....	3
1.1	Objective	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Verification Team	3
2	METHODOLOGY .....	4
2.1	Review of Documents	4
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	4
2.3	Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests	5
3	VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS .....	6
3.1	Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications	6
3.2	Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)	6
3.3	Project implementation (92-93)	6
3.4	Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology (94-98)	8
3.5	Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)	8
3.6	Data management (101)	8
3.7	Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)	9
4	VERIFICATION OPINION.....	9
5	REFERENCES .....	11
	APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL.....	13

VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

## 1 INTRODUCTION

SIA "Vidzeme Eko" has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to verify the emissions reductions of its JI project "Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere" (hereafter called "the project") at Novodaryivka village, Rovenky borough council lands, Luhansk Region, Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the verification of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

### 1.1 Objective

Verification is the periodic independent review and ex post determination by the Accredited Independent Entity of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions during defined verification period.

The objective of verification can be divided in Initial Verification and Periodic Verification.

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

### 1.2 Scope

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study, monitoring plan and monitoring report, and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The verification is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications, corrective and/or forward actions may provide input for improvement of the project monitoring towards reductions in the GHG emissions.

### 1.3 Verification Team

The verification team consists of the following personnel:

Vyacheslav Yeriomin  
Bureau Veritas Certification      Team Leader, Climate Change Verifier

Dmytro Balyn  
Bureau Veritas Certification      Climate Change Verifier

This verification report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov



---

VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

---

Bureau Veritas Certification, Internal Technical Reviewer  
Vasyl Kobzar

Bureau Veritas Certification, Internal Technical Reviewer

## 2 METHODOLOGY

The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a verification protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from verifying the identified criteria. The verification protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent verification process where the verifier will document how a particular requirement has been verified and the result of the verification.

The completed verification protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

### 2.1 Review of Documents

The Monitoring Report (MR) submitted by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Project Design Document (PDD), and Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Host party criteria, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Verification Requirements to be Checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

The verification findings presented in this report relate to the Monitoring Report version(s) 2.0 and project as described in the determined PDD.

### 2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 12/09/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of "Tandem Torg TPK" LLC and SIA "Vidzeme Eko" were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1 Interview topics**

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
"Tandem Torg TPK" LLC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organizational structure</li> <li>• Responsibilities and authorities</li> <li>• Roles and responsibilities for data collection and processing</li> <li>• Installation of equipment</li> <li>• Data logging, archiving and reporting</li> <li>• Metering equipment control</li> <li>• Metering record keeping system, database</li> <li>• IT management</li> <li>• Training of personnel</li> <li>• Quality management procedures and technology</li> <li>• Internal audits and check-ups</li> </ul>
CONSULTANT: SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baseline methodology</li> <li>• Monitoring plan</li> <li>• Monitoring report</li> <li>• Excel spreadsheets</li> </ul>

### 2.3 Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the verification is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the GHG emission reduction calculation.

If the Verification Team, in assessing the monitoring report and supporting documents, identifies issues that need to be corrected, clarified or improved with regard to the monitoring requirements, it should raise these issues and inform the project participants of these issues in the form of:

- (a) Corrective action request (CAR), requesting the project participants to correct a mistake that is not in accordance with the monitoring plan;
- (b) Clarification request (CL), requesting the project participants to provide additional information for the Verification Team to assess compliance with the monitoring plan;
- (c) Forward action request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue, relating to the monitoring that needs to be reviewed during the next verification period.

The Verification Team will make an objective assessment as to whether the actions taken by the project participants, if any, satisfactorily resolve the issues raised, if any, and should conclude its findings of the verification.



To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the verification protocol in Appendix A.

### **3 VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS**

In the following sections, the conclusions of the verification are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original monitoring documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A. The verification of the Project resulted in 8 Corrective Action Requests, 0 Clarification Requests, and 0 Forward Action Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section corresponds to the DVM paragraph.

#### **3.1 Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications**

There is no FAR available from determination process, provided by Bureau Veritas Certification.

#### **3.2 Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)**

The project was approved by both Parties Involved. Letter of Approval #2927/23/7 dated 05/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of Approval 12.2-02/13367 dated 04/10/2012 issued by Ministry of Environment protection and regional development of Republic Latvia

The abovementioned written approval is unconditional.

#### **3.3 Project implementation (92-93)**

Proposed JI project foresees implementation of waste heaps dismantling with following beneficiation of coal containing rock mass with the aim of GHG emissions reduction.

The project owner "Tandem Torg TPK" buys coal containing rock mass at waste heaps #1 and #3 of Vakhrusheva mine and beneficiates it on enrichment plant "Continent-2".

Dismantling of waste heaps is provided in line within NPAOP 10.0-5.21-04 "Instruction to prevent spontaneous combustion, fire and dismantling dumps" and may be described as follow.

Dismantling of waste heaps is provided with bulldozers T-170 which square top of the heap. After decline by bulldozers to lower layer height,



VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

the entrance road can be constructed. Further dismantling is carried out by excavators EO-5126 charge dump trucks KAMAZ-55111, which transported coal containing rock mass to enrichment plant "Continent-2". Enrichment plant "Continent-2" is the second range of enrichment plant "Continent" and is in leasing in "Tandem Torg TPK" LLC.

Coal containing rock mass is supplied to the inertial screening sifter, which remove class +100 mm in the off-corn.

Coal containing rock mass after pre-classification is humidified and moved to the inertial sifter GIL-52, which divided coal containing mass on 3-13 mm and +13 mm classes. Enrichment of heavy class +13 mm is provided in heavy media separator STK 32-550, and enrichment of small class 3-13 mm in hydrocyclone GTsM-630. Next, washing of the suspension of beneficiation products and dehydrating products by dressing screens and centrifuge take place, regeneration suspension at electromagnetic separator. Thus the water in this process is used in closed loop. Beneficiation products (coal concentrate) are transported by conveyor belt into bins for further shipment to the consumer. Waste is transported to the flat dump.

The project capacity of the complex allows to process 900 000 m<sup>3</sup> of the rocks per year.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures are provided in the section A.4.1.4.

Main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the section A.4.2 of the PDD.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures, main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the PDD.

Waste heap dismantling and coal beneficiation was started in 2011 year. Crediting period for ERUs generation started 02/09/2011.

Level of project activity is depended by coal demand at Ukrainian market. Project owner doesn't keep coal at warehouses and produce beneficiated rock mass as when necessary.

Project boundaries described in the determined PDD are kept; coal from another waste heaps doesn't uses in project.

Difference between estimated emission reductions indicated in the PDD and provided in the Monitoring report is not observed. Factually PDDs calculations are performed ex-post for monitoring.

Identified problem areas for project implementation status, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR01-CAR05)



### **3.4 Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology (94-98)**

For calculating the emission reductions, key factors, such as availability of work power and financing, seasonal coal requirement on Ukraine inside market, prices of diesel fuel and electric energy, influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating emission reductions, such as work forecasts, bookkeepers invoices, laboratory analysis samples, work logbooks are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Emission factors, including default emission factors, are selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice. Default emission factors, such as emission factor for electricity consumption, carbon content in diesel fuel and coal, are in line with Ukraine National GHG Inventory report for 1990-2010 years.

The calculation of emission reductions is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

Identified problem areas for compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR06)

### **3.5 Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)**

"Not applicable"

### **3.6 Data management (101)**

The data and their sources, provided in monitoring report, are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

The implementation of data collection procedures is in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures.

The function of the monitoring equipment, including its calibration status, is in order.

Consumption of diesel fuel is accounting by bookkeeper invoices.

The evidence and records used for the monitoring are maintained in a traceable manner. Initially data on value and quality of produced coal, track's load,

---

VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

---

diesel fuel consumption, waste heap mass quantity is obtained from logbooks of relevant work suppliers. The data on electricity consumed is obtained from monthly reports of Regional Electric Network.

The data required to monitor JI project is routinely collected within the normal operations of the “Fahivets Alchevsk” LLC and chemical laboratory of enrichment plant “Continent-2” LLC therefore JI monitoring is integral part of routine monitoring

The data collection and management system for the project is in accordance with the monitoring plan. Data monitoring and collection system described in the monitoring report is adequate and working.

Identified problem areas applicable for project data management, responses of project participants, Bureau Veritas Certification conclusions are listed in the Annex A Verification protocol (see CAR07, CAR08)

### **3.7 Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)**

“Not applicable”

## **4 VERIFICATION OPINION**

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the initial, 1<sup>st</sup> periodic verification of the “Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere” Project in Novodaryivka village, Rovenky borough council lands, Luhansk Region, Ukraine, which applies JI specific approach. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of SIA “Vidzeme Eko” is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 2.0. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2.0 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs



Report No: UKRAINE-ver/0767/2012

VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 02/09/2011 to 30/09/2012

Baseline emissions	: 20966	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Project emissions	: 1582117	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Leakages	: -475788	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 2036939	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

From 02/09/2011 to 31/12/2011

Baseline emissions	: 520956	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Project emissions	: 6621	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Leakages	: -156666	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 671001	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

From 01/01/2012 to 30/09/2012

Baseline emissions	: 1061161	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Project emissions	: 14345	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Leakages	: -319122	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 1365938	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

## 5 REFERENCES

### Category 1 Documents:

Documents provided by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

- /1/ Project Design Document "Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere" version 2.0 dated 25/09/2012
- /2/ Monitoring Report "Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere" version 1.0 dated 05.10.2012
- /3/ Monitoring Report "Secondary processing of the coal mining wastes with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emission into the atmosphere" version 2.0 dated 25.10.2012
- /4/ ERUs calculation Excel-file «Calculation\_TandemK.xls»
- /5/ Letter of Approval #2927/23/7 dated 05/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency
- /6/ Letter of Approval #12.2-02/13367 dated 04/10/2012 issued by Ministry of Environment Protection and regional Development of Republic Latvia

### Category 2 Documents:

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

- /1/ Passport: waste heap #1 of Vahrusheva mine
- /2/ Passport: waste heap #2 of Vahrusheva mine
- /3/ Purchase and Sell Agreement of carbonaceous rock # 8k/01-2011 from 01/09/2011 between "Torgivelna firma "Yuvant I K" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /4/ Purchase and Sell Agreement of carbonaceous rock # 12k/01-2012 from 01/01/2012 between "Torgivelna firma "Yuvant I K" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /5/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of carbonaceous rock (for November 2011) from 03/12/2011 between "Torgivelna firma "Yuvant I K" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /6/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of carbonaceous rock (for March 2012) from 02/04/2012 between "Torgivelna firma "Yuvant I K" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /7/ Lease agreement of non-residential premises (enrichment plant) #08/16a-2011 from 01/09/2011 between PE "Continent" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /8/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of non-residential premises (enrichment plant) from 02/09/2011 between PE "Continent" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /9/ EIA: conclusion of the state ecological expertise #04-12-4335-144 from 19/12/2005
- /10/ EIA: conclusion of the state ecological expertise #1918 from 20/12/2005



VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

- /11/ Order #2 of "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd director from 15/07/2011 about consideration of Kyoto Protocol involvement
- /12/ Attestation certificate of the chemical Laboratory #R064/2006 from 09/06/2006, #RO71/2009 from 05/06/2009 and #RO73/2012 from 06/06/2012
- /13/ Agreement of waste heap exploitation and freight traffic #11pg/09-2011 from 01/09/2011 between OJSC "Fahivets Alchevsk" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd
- /14/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of freight traffic completion according to the Agreement of waste heap exploitation and freight traffic activity services #11pg/09-2011 from 01/09/2011 between OJSC "Fahivets Alchevsk" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd from 03/10/2011 (for September 2011) and from 04/01/2012 (for December 2011)
- /15/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of diesel fuel according to the Agreement of waste heap dismantling and freight traffic activity services #11pg/09-2011 from 01/09/2011 between OJSC "Fahivets Alchevsk" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd from 04/01/2012 (for December 2011) and from 01/02/2012 (for January 2012)
- /16/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of coal products according to the Purchase and Sell Agreement #6p/01-2011 from 01/09/2011 between "Optovyk" Ltd and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd from 02/11/2011 (for October 2011)
- /17/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of coal products according to the Purchase and Sell Agreement #4u-2011/01 from 01/09/2011 between PE "Vuglepostavka" and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd from 02/11/2011 (for October 2011)
- /18/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of coal products according to the Purchase and Sell Agreement #01/01-2011u from 01/09/2011 between "Elit-Petroleum" Ltd and "TANDEM TORG TPK" Ltd from 02/11/2011 (for October 2011)
- /19/ Passport . Automobile electronic scales tensometric VA-60E-1

**Persons interviewed:**

List persons interviewed during the verification or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

- /1/ Gints Klavinsh - SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Project Manager
- /2/ Tymofeev Sergiy Petrovych - SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant
- /3/ Stah Yuri Mykhailovych - SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant
- /4/ Berezhko Roman Yuriyovich – Director of "Tandem Torg TPK" LLC
- /5/ Boiko Oleksandr Anatolievych – Head shifts man of "Tandem Torg TPK" LLC
- /6/ Bazylskyi Sergii Mykolayovych - power engineering specialist of "Tandem Torg TPK" LLC

## APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

## VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

## Check list for verification, according to the JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
<b>Project approvals by Parties involved</b>				
90	Has the DFPs of at least one Party involved, other than the host Party, issued a written project approval when submitting the first verification report to the secretariat for publication in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, at the latest?	The project was approved by both Parties involved. Letter of Approval #2927/23/7 dated 05/10/2012 has been issued by State Environment Investment Agency. Letter of Approval 12.2-02/12367 has been issued 04.10.2012 by Republic Latvia	OK	OK
91	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	The written project approvals are unconditional	OK	OK
<b>Project implementation</b>				
92	Has the project been implemented in accordance with the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	<u>CAR01</u> Please provide reference on PDD published at UNFCCC website <u>CAR02</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration. This is not fully reasonably,	CAR01 CAR02 CAR03 CAR04 CAR05	OK OK OK OK OK



## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
		because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 8 months of 2012 is factual. Please provide adequate explanation <u>CAR03</u> Please add in the MR description of implemented project measures and note if any additional equipment was installed during the monitoring period <u>CAR04</u> Please add more detailed information on roles and responsibilities of the third parties involved in the project <u>CAR05</u> Please correct date of waste heap dismantling begun in the section A.6		
93	What is the status of operation of the project during the monitoring period?	The project is in operation during the monitoring period	OK	OK
<b>Compliance with monitoring plan</b>				
94	Did the monitoring occur in accordance with the monitoring plan included in the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	The monitoring was provided in accordance with the monitoring plan included in the PDD which is published at UNFCCC website and which determination has been deemed final	OK	OK
95 (a)	For calculating the emission reductions	Key factors listed in the section 23 (b) (i)-(vii) of	OK	OK



## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	or enhancements of net removals, were key factors, e.g. those listed in 23 (b) (i)-(vii) above, influencing the baseline emissions or net removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?	DVM influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project, as well as the risks associated with the project are taken into account		
95 (b)	Are data sources used for calculating emission reductions or enhancements of net removals clearly identified, reliable and transparent?	The data sources used for calculating the emission reduction are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.	OK	OK
95 (c)	Are emission factors, including default emission factors, if used for calculating the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals, selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?	The emission factors used for emission reduction calculations are used in line with National GHG Inventory Report for 1990-2010 years, approved by SEIA	OK	OK
95 (d)	Is the calculation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?	The calculation of emission reductions are based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible future scenarios <u>CAR06</u> Please correct designation of units in the section B.2.1 and B.2.3	CAR06	OK





## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
<b>Applicable to JI SSC projects only_Not applicable</b>				
<b>Applicable to bundled JI SSC projects only_Not applicable</b>				
<b>Revision of monitoring plan</b>				
<b>Applicable only if monitoring plan is revised by project participant</b>				
99 (a)	Did the project participants provide an appropriate justification for the proposed revision?	the project participants provides adequate justification for the proposed revision	OK	OK
99 (b)	Does the proposed revision improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans?	The proposed revision improves the accuracy of data calculated comparing to the original monitoring plan without changes of relevant rules and regulations	OK	OK
<b>Data management</b>				
101 (a)	Is the implementation of data collection procedures in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures?	The implementation of data collection procedures is in line with the monitoring plan, including quality control and quality assurance procedures	OK	OK
101 (b)	Is the function of the monitoring equipment, including its calibration status, in order?	<u>CAR07</u> Please provide full list of project measuring equipment with data on calibrations and replacement of measuring devices	CAR07	OK
101 (c)	Are the evidence and records used for	The evidences and records used for the	OK	OK



## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	the monitoring maintained in a traceable manner?	monitoring are maintained in a traceable manner		
101 (d)	Is the data collection and management system for the project in accordance with the monitoring plan?	<u>CAR08</u> Please note in the MR that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculations will be kept two years after the last ERUs transfer and provide reference on relevant order	CAR08	OK
<b>Verification regarding programmes of activities (additional elements for assessment)_Not applicable</b>				
<b>Applicable to sample-based approach only_Not applicable</b>				

**Table 2 Resolution of Corrective Action and Clarification Requests**

Draft report clarification and corrective action requests by verification team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participant response	Verification team conclusion
<u>CAR01</u> Please provide reference on PDD published at UNFCCC website	92	Provided, Section A.7: reference on PDD published at UNFCCC website : <a href="http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/X3OZ7BAAJJ9MFPXTN1P5EXXBSUQ4YL/details">http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/X3OZ7BAAJJ9MFPXTN1P5EXXBSUQ4YL/details</a>	The issue is closed



## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

<u>CAR02</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration. This is not fully reasonably, because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 8 months of 2012 is factual. Please provide adequate explanation	92	<b>Project participants during the first 8 months in 2012 used actual data for calculations, and for the last 4 months - predictable. Therefore, in the monitoring report, which covers 8 months in 2012, the difference between values of emission reductions from the data in the PDD consists only of predictable reductions during the last 4 months in 2012..</b>	The issue is closed
<u>CAR03</u> Please add in the MR description of implemented project measures and note if any additional equipment was installed during the monitoring period	92	Added, Section B.1: For the measurement in the project the equipment is used, listed in Table 2, Section B.1.2. Project equipment used for the rock mass sorting has not been replaced during the monitoring period and additional equipment has not been installed.	The issue is closed



## VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

<u>CAR04</u> Please add more detailed information on roles and responsibilities of the third parties involved in the project	92	Next follow was indicated: <b>B.1.4. Involvement of Third Parties:</b> Centers of metrology and standardization: SC "Luganskstandardmetrologiya" Contractors of dismantling and sorting of dump: "Fahivets Alchevsk" Ltd.; Renter of weighing works: PE "Continent"; Conducting the chemical analysis: Chemical laboratory, PE "Continent" Expert reports Scientific Research Institute of Mine-Rescue and Fire Safety "Respirator", project designer for EIA SPE "Firma Pryroda"	The issue is closed
<u>CAR05</u> Please correct date of waste heap dismantling begun in the section A.6	92	Corrected: Start of producing part of the dump dismantling- 02/09/2011	The issue is closed
<u>CAR06</u> Please correct designation of units in the section B.2.1 and B.2.3	95(d)	Corrected, Section B.2.1: Designation of units: tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh	The issue is closed
<u>CAR07</u> Please provide full list of project measuring equipment with data on calibrations and replacement of measuring devices	101(b)	Table 2 Section B.1.2: full list of project measuring equipment with data on calibrations and replacement of measuring devices is provided	The issue is closed



VERIFICATION REPORT: SECONDARY PROCESSING OF THE COAL MINING WASTES WITH THE AIM OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

<p><u>CAR08</u> Please note in the MR that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculations will be kept two years after the last ERUs transfer and provide reference on relevant order</p>	<p>101(d)</p>	<p>Noted, Section B.3.: Documents and other data monitored and required for determination and verification, as well as any other data that are relevant to the operation of the project, will be kept for at least two years after the last transfer of ERUs.</p>	<p>The issue is closed</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------