JI Verification



(version 04)									
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)									
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS									
			JI activ	/ity					
Refere	ence number ar		No 0064. Nitrous Oxide Emission Reduction Project at GP Nitric Acid Plant in AB Achema Fertiliser Factory						
Parties	s involved in th	Lithuania (Host party) Netherlands (Investor party)							
Projec	ct participants		ACHEMA, AB						
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA	only)	N/A					
Туре с	of JI activity:	⊠ large-scale	□ small-s	scale		LUCF	🗆 PoA		
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals									
Monito	oring period(s)	(DD/MM/YYYY - DD/M	MM/YYYY)	14/10/2012-31/12/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)				103241 t of CO ₂ e					
	resent verificat activity	egard to	□ Yes		⊠ No				
to JI V	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-DD	D/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/	Ά				
pplicable to Jl PoA only	Reference nur	nber of JPAs verified	1	⊠ N/	A				
App	Sampling appl	roach used to verify t	the PoA	⊠ N/.	A	□ Yes	□ No		
	State	ements regarding	requirem	ients and	d poss	sible chan	ges		
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :									
Verification opinion									
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications									

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 4th periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "Nitrous Oxide Emission Reduction Project at GP Nitric Acid Plant in AB Achema Fertilizer Factory", located in Lithuania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N2O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v02. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of ACHEMA, AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 10 dated 12/12/2008. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1.1 dated February 2013 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 14/10/2012 to 31/12/2012	8			
Emission Reductions 103,241	t CO2 equivalent	S		
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report form Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly				

	,						
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable						
	Othe	ther relevant documents:					
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met					
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
		Any other documents (please list):					
		Monitoring report, version 1.1, dated February 2013					
		Excel spreadsheet baseline calculation and evaluation V.6. 21-01-2013					
		• Excel spreadsheet 4th project line calculation and evaluation V.1.0. 2013-01-21					
		 Excel spreadsheet Comparison_of_N2O_emissions_with_IPPC_permit 4th Project campaign_V.1.1_20130205 					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
Operational manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan							
Date and signature 2013-02-18							