

# DETERMINATION REPORT "ECO-ELTA" LLC

### DETERMINATION OF THE THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

REPORT NO. UKRAINE-DET/0827/2012 REVISION NO. 01

BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION



01/12/2012	Bureau	Veritas Certification SAS	
<sup>Client:</sup> "Eco-Elta" LLC	Client ref.: Maksyn	n Rogovoi	
Summary: Bureau Veritas Certification the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC located in Sumy Region, Uk for consistent project opera Protocol, the JI rules and m the host country criteria. The determination scope is	has made the determin in order to lower the el raine on the basis of UN ations, monitoring and re odalities and the subseque	ation of the "The Reconstruction lectricity transportation loses" pro FCCC criteria for the JI, as well a eporting. UNFCCC criteria refer uent decisions by the JI Superviso lent and objective review of the	o of the Electricity Grid of bject of "Eco-Elta" LLC is criteria given to provide to Article 6 of the Kyoto bry Committee, as well as project design document,
the project's baseline study three phases: i) desk review with project stakeholders; iii and opinion. The overall conducted using Bureau Ve	<ul> <li>monitoring plan and c</li> <li>of the project design an</li> <li>resolution of outstandin</li> <li>determination, from Cor</li> <li>ritas Certification internal</li> </ul>	other relevant documents, and c id the baseline and monitoring pla ig issues and the issuance of the ntract Review to Determination procedures.	consisted of the following an; ii) follow-up interviews final determination report Report & Opinion, was
The first output of the deter CAR), presented in Appen design document.	mination process is a lis dix A. Taking into acco	at of Clarification and Corrective , unt this output, the project prop	Action Requests (CL and onent revised its project
In summary, it is Bureau Ve baseline setting and monito country criteria.	ritas Certification's opinic ring and meets the releva	on that the project correctly applie ant UNFCCC requirements for the	s Guidance on criteria for e JI and the relevant host
Report No.: UKRAINE-det/0827/2012	Subject Group:	Indexing terms	
Project title: The Reconstruction of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. electricity transportation los	Electricity Grid of the in order to lower the	8	
Work carried out by: Kateryna Zinevych – Verifier Vyacheslav Yeriomin – T	Tearn Veader, Lead earn Member, Verifier	No distribution without Client or responsible o	permission from the rganizational unit
Ivan Sokolov - Internal Sergii Verteletskyi - Te	Technical Reviewer chnical Specialist	Limited distribution	
Ivan Sokolov - Operatio	anal Managerg SAS	Unrestricted distributio	n
Date of this revision: Rev. No. 07/12/2012 01	Number of pages: 47		

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

#### Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Objective	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Determination team	3
2	METHODOLOGY	4
2.1	Review of Documents	4
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	5
2.3	Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests	5
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
4	DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS	7
4.1	Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)	7
4.2	Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)	8
4.3	Baseline setting (22-26)	8
4.4	Additionality (27-31)	11
4.5	Project boundary (32-33)	11
4.6	Crediting period (34)	12
4.7	Monitoring plan (35-39)	13
4.8	Leakage (40-41)	16
4.9	Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)	16
4.10	Environmental impacts (48)	17
4.11	Stakeholder consultation (49)	18
4.12	Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)	18
4.13	Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry	
	(LULUCF) projects (58-64)	18
4.14	Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)	18
5	SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES	. 18
6	DETERMINATION OPINION	. 18
7	REFERENCES	. 20
APPEN	NDIX A: DETERMINATION PROTOCOL	. 24



#### Page

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



#### **1** INTRODUCTION

"Eco-Elta" LLC has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to determine its JI project "The Reconstruction of the Electricity Grid of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. in order to lower the electricity transportation loses" (hereafter called "the project") at Sumy Region, Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the determination of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

#### 1.1 Objective

The determination serves as project design verification and is a requirement of all projects. The determination is an independent third party assessment of the project design. In particular, the project's baseline, the monitoring plan (MP), and the project's compliance with relevant UNFCCC and host country criteria are determined in order to confirm that the project design, as documented, is sound and reasonable, and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria. Determination is a requirement for all JI projects and is seen as necessary to provide assurance to stakeholders of the quality of the project and its intended generation of emission reduction units (ERUs).

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

#### 1.2 Scope

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The determination is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the project design.

#### **1.3 Determination team**

The determination team consists of the following personnel:

Kateryna Zinevych

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Leader, Climate Change Verifier

Vyacheslav Yeriomin

Bureau Veritas Certification Climate Change Verifier



DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

This determination report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov Bureau Veritas Certification, Internal reviewer Sergii Verteletskyi Bureau Veritas Certification, Technical Specialist

#### 2 METHODOLOGY

The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of determination and the results from determining the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determiner will document how a particular requirement has been determined and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

#### 2.1 Review of Documents

The Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by "Eco-Elta" LLC and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline. i.e. country Law, Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form, Approved CDM methodology and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be Checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

To address Bureau Veritas Certification corrective action and clarification requests, "Eco-Elta" LLC revised the PDD and resubmitted it on 07/12/2012.

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD version(s) 1.1.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



#### 2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 29/11/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC and "Elta-Eco" LLC were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

#### Table 1Interview topics

Interviewed	Interview topics
organization	
"Sumyoblenergo"	Project History
PJSC	Project Approach
	Project boundary
	Implementation Schedule
	<ul> <li>Organization structure</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Authorities and responsibilities</li> </ul>
	Training of personnel
	<ul> <li>Quality management procedures and technologies</li> </ul>
	Records on rehabilitation/implementation of equipment
	Metering equipment control
	Metering record keeping system, database
	Technical documentation
	Monitoring plan and procedures
	Permits and licenses
CONSULTANT:	Baseline methodology
"Elta-Eco" LLC	Monitoring plan
	<ul> <li>Additionality proofs</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Calculation of emission reductions</li> </ul>

### 2.3 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the determination is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the project design.

If the determination team, in assessing the PDD and supporting documents, identifies issues that need to be corrected, clarified or improved with regard to JI project requirements, it will raise these issues and inform the project participants of these issues in the form of:

(a) Corrective action request (CAR), requesting the project participants to correct a mistake in the published PDD that is not in accordance with the (technical) process used for the project or relevant JI project requirement or that shows any other logical flaw;

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



(b) Clarification request (CL), requesting the project participants to provide additional information for the determination team to assess compliance with the JI project requirement in question;

(c) Forward action request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue, relating to project implementation but not project design, that needs to be reviewed during the first verification of the project.

The determination team will make an objective assessment as to whether the actions taken by the project participants, if any, satisfactorily resolve the issues raised, if any, and should conclude its findings of the determination.

To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the determination protocol in Appendix A.

#### **3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC is the enterprise for the electricity transportation and supply. The enterprise is the member of the Wholesale Electricity Market.

The Project foresees the implementation of the electricity loses reduction measures at the transmission lines of the "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC as well as the electricity transportation and loses registration precision increase measures – the installation of the electricity meters with the higher level of accuracy.

Moreover, the Project foresees the implementation of the Automatic Electricity Registration System for the Company balance compilation and, starting from 2011, for the commercial accounting with the SE "Energorynok".

Thus due to the above-mentioned actions the specific electricity loses at the grid will be lowered. That will lead to the electricity production reduction at the Ukrainian TPPs by the value of the electricity losses reduction that, in its turn, will lead to the GHG emission reduction.

For these purpose the Project foresees such measures:

1. The replacement of the power transformers, circuit breakers, control panels and other equipment at the electricity substations that will lower loses significantly. For example the transformer TDTNG-31500/110 (loses coefficient – 5.05) was replaced by the TDTN-40000/110 (loses coefficient – 0.21) transformer.

The reconstruction of the substations leads to the electricity loses decrease, the equipment reliability increase.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



2. The Project foresees the replacement of the wires and cables for those with the bigger section and the higher transmission capacity. New selfsupporting cables with bigger section have lower specific weight for linear unit. Bigger section of installed wires will reduce thermal losses and let increase carrying capacity of transmitting lines. Replacement of wires will unload transmitting towers and increase reliability of power supply.

3. The other significant aspect of the Project is the installation of the glass and polymer insulators. That is the important part of the electricity transmission process and the reliability of the insulators makes an influence on the value of the electricity transmitted. Use of new flexible polymeric insulators with self-cleaning ability will reduce surface-leakage currents on transmitting lines towers and reduce losses for energy transmitting as result. New glass insulators have more void-free and dense surface so will be polluted less than older ones.

All proposed measures are forwarded on decreasing electric losses in power lines and reducing GHG emissions as a result.

CAR01-CAR06 and CL01-CL04 and their resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project description is listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### **4** DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS

In the following sections, the conclusions of the determination are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A. The determination of the Project resulted in 21 Corrective Action Requests and 7 Clarification Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section corresponds to the DVM paragraph

#### 4.1 **Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)**

The project has already received Letter of Endorsement #3774/23/7 dated 07/12/2012 issued by State Environmental Investment Agency.

The Bureau Veritas Certification obtained Letter of Endorsement from "Eco-Elta" LLC and doesn't doubt in its authenticity.

The project has been approved by the Host Party. Letter of Approval #890/23/7 dated 14/03/2013 has been issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of approval #DOPpek-4430-33/11551/13/MK/EBS dated 22/03/2013 has been

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



issued by Ministry of Environment protection of Poland, the Party-buyer of ERUs

CAR07, CAR08 and their resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project approvals is listed in the Annex A (see Table 2)

## 4.2 Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)

Next legal entities are listed in the PDD version 1.1 dated 07/12/2012 as project participants:

- "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC from Ukraine, the Party Involved;
- Imex Energo sp. z. o. o. from the Poland, the Party-buyer of ERU.

Contact information on project participants are listed in the Annex 1 of the PDD.

The official authorization of each legal entity listed as a project participant in the PDD by Parties involved will be provided in written project approvals (see section 4.1 of this Report).

#### 4.3 Baseline setting (22-26)

The PDD explicitly indicates that using a methodology for baseline setting and monitoring developed in accordance with appendix B of the JI guidelines (hereinafter referred to as JI specific approach) was the selected approach for identifying the baseline.

On this basis the approach for baseline and monitoring was developed, which can be applied to JI projects in accordance with Annex B of JI Guidelines.

The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline is established:

a) Identifying and listing alternatives to the project activity on the basis of conservative assumptions and taking into account uncertainties.

b) Identifying the most plausible alternatives considering relevant sectoral policies and circumstances, such as economic situation in the energetic sector in Ukraine and other key factors that may affect the baseline. The baseline is identified by screening of the alternatives based on the technological and economic considerations for the project developer, as well as on the prevailing technologies and practices in Ukrainian energy industry at the time of the investment decision.

Alternative 1: Continuation of the existing situation. There are no barriers for this Alternative

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



Alternative 2: Implementation of proposed project activity without JI registration.

*Investment barriers:* The project activity within the framework of the suggested project is a perpetual process which requires considerable annual investments and manpower attraction. This is connected with:

- Annual electrotechnical equipment renewal, which is represented in the Ukrainian market;

- Necessity of the perpetual stuff training to work with the new equipment.

Alternative 3: The implementation of the part of the Project measures. This alternative meets the same barriers as the Alternative 2 does, but the effectiveness of the partial implementation of the Project measures is significantly lower (the synergetic effect of the implemented measures is quite high). So, this alternative is technically possible, but not reasonable and feasible.

The alternatives has been identified based on national practice and reasonable assumptions with regard to sectoral legislation and reform, economic situation in the country, availability of materials as technologies and logistics

The project developer proposed three alternatives to the project activity's measures are:

(c) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances, such as sectoral reform initiatives, local fuel availability, power sector expansion plans, and the economic situation in the project sector. In this context, the following key factors that affect a baseline are taken into account:

- A comprehensive analysis and an in-depth description of the reform policies and legislation concerning the development and reforming of the Ukrainian energy industry. At this time effective united complex state program for lowering of
- Describing economic situation. Inner electricity market in Ukraine is significantly controlled by Ukrainian government. "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC is a company which cannot influence on prices of transmitted electric energy.
- As far as availability of capital there is a summary of key indicators of business practices in Ukraine as well as a comparison country risk premiums for Ukraine, and Russia are provided by the PP's vividly demonstrating that Ukraine has been always considered a high-risk country for investments and doing

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



business, which extremely limits the opportunities of the project as for its access to financial resources at the international level.

• It is stated by the project participants that modern technologies and best practices existing in the developed countries are unavailable due to their high cost and necessity of the knowledgeable personnel able to introduce and operate the equipment.

(d) In such a way that emission reduction units (ERUs) cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project activity or due to force majeure. According to the proposed approach emission reductions will be earned only when electric grids transport electric energy, so no emission reductions can be earned due to any changes outside the project activity.

(e) Taking into account uncertainties and using conservative assumptions such as the following:

- Lower range of parameters is used for calculation of baseline emissions and higher range of parameters is used for calculation of project activity emissions;
- Default values were used to the extent possible in order to reduce uncertainty and provide conservative data for emission calculations.
- The emissions of nitrous oxide have not taken into consideration for conservatism

For more details, please, refer to Section B.1. of the PDD.

The estimation of baseline scenario emissions is calculated by next formulae:

Baseline emissions are calculated as follow:

BEy = Qy×PPER×EFy

(1)

where:

BEy – the Baseline Emission in year y, tCO2eq.;

Qy – the volume of the electricity supplied to the Grid in year y in Project Scenario, MWh;

PPER – the electricity loses coefficient in the Baseline scenario;

EFy – the carbon dioxide emission factor for the electricity transportation through the Ukrainian Electricity Grid in year y, tCO2eq./MWh;

PPER= VyblQybl

(2)

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



where:

PPER – the electricity loses coefficient in the Baseline scenario;

*Vybl* – factual transportation electricity loses in Baseline year (2002), MWh;

*Qybl* – the volume of the electricity supplied to the "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC Grid in the Baseline year (2000), MWh;

For more details, please, refer to Section B.1. of the PDD.

CAR09, CAR10, CL05 and their resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project baseline settings are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.4 Additionality (27-31)

The most recent version of the "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" (Version 04.0.0) approved by the CDM Executive Board was used, in accordance with the JI specific approach, defined in paragraph 2(c) of the annex I to the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring". All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the selected tool.

The PDD provides a justification of the applicability of the approach.

Three alternative scenarios to the project activity were identified and proven to be in compliance with mandatory legislation and regulations taking into account the enforcement in the region and Ukraine. Project developer provides investment analysis, barrier analysis and common practice analysis.

Continuation of existing situation (alternative 1) was chosen as baseline scenario.

The program of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC  $\pi$ rid modernization is the program that has predecessors in Ukraine but could be considered as a common practice.

Additionality is demonstrated appropriately as a result of the analysis using the approach chosen.

CAR11-CAR14 and their resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project additinality are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.5 **Project boundary (32-33)**

The project boundaries were identified in a way to cover all GHG emissions associated with the project. With respect to "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC organizational structure project boundaries

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



include power transmitting lines and distribution substations with transformers, insulating, measuring and auxiliary equipment. Coal-burning TPPs, local distribution grids and fossil fuels are not directly included in the project boundaries.

The project boundaries were identified by project developer with account of "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC operating facilities expansion.

The project boundary defined in the PDD encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases (GHGs) that are:

- Under the control of the project participants such as distribution substations with transformers, commutation, auxiliary and measuring equipment and transmitting lines (with wires, insulators, supporting towers etc) which is owned to the "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC;
- (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project such as electricity transmitted and lost in "SUMYOBLENERGO" LLC grids; and
- (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent, whichever is lower.

The delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included are appropriately described and justified in the PDD.

Commutation equipment of "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC contains some amount of SF6. Project participants excludes SF6 fugitive emissions from the project frames for conservative reasons.

CAR15, CL06 and their resolution/conclusion applicable to the project boundaries are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.6 Crediting period (34)

The PDD states the starting date of the project as the date on which the implementation or construction or real action of the project will begin or began, and the starting date is 02/09/2002, which is after the beginning of 2000.

The PDD states the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months, which is 20 years or 240 months.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



The PDD states the length of the crediting period in years and months, which is 5 years or 60 months, and its starting date as 01/01/2008, which is after the date the first emission reductions or enhancements of net removals are generated by the project.

The PDD states that the crediting period for the issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project.

The PDD states that the extension of its crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to the host Party approval, and the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals are presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in all relevant sections of the PDD.

CAR16, and its resolution/conclusion applicable to the project crediting period are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.7 Monitoring plan (35-39)

The PDD, in its monitoring plan section, explicitly indicates that JI specific approach was the selected.

The monitoring plan describes all relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored, and the period in which they will be monitored, in particular also all decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance, such as statistic reporting forms, quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures; detailed guidelines regulating the monitoring procedures and responsibilities; the operational and management structure that will be applied in implementing the monitoring plan.

The monitoring plan specifies the indicators, constants and variables that are reliable (i.e. provide consistent and accurate values), valid (i.e. are clearly connected with the effect to be measured), and that provide a transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored such as total value of transmitted electricity, value of technical losses, emission factor for electricity transmitting.

The monitoring plan draws on the list of standard variables indicated in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" developed by the JISC, such as emission factor for electricity transmitting, baseline and project emissions, emission reductions.

The monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguishes:

(i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination, such as electricity losses coefficient in baseline scenario

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

(ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of determination, such as absent.

(iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period, such as emission factor for electricity transmitting, values of electricity transmitted through "Sumyoblenergo" LLC grids and lost during transportation.

The monitoring plan describes the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording, such as electricity meters, calculations with different recording frequency such as continuously or monthly and electronic or paper recording method.

The monitoring plan elaborates all algorithms and formulae used for the calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions, such as

The Baseline emission is being calculated as follows:

BEy=Qy×PPER×EFy

where:

BEy – the Baseline Emission in year y, tCO2eq.;

Qy – the volume of the electricity supplied to the Grid in year y in Project Scenario, MWh;

PPER – the electricity loses coefficient in the Baseline scenario;

EFy – the carbon dioxide emission factor for the electricity transportation through the Ukrainian Electricity Grid in year y, tCO2eq./MWh;

where:

PPER - the electricity loses coefficient in the Baseline scenario;

VybI – factual transportation electricity loses in year y in the Baseline Scenario, MWh;

QybI – the volume of the electricity supplied to the Grid in year y in the Baseline Scenario, MWh;

The Project emission is being calculated as follows:

$$PEy = Vyp \times EFy$$

where

PEy – the Project Emission in year y, tCO2eq.;





(3)

(3)

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



Vyp – the volume of the electricity loses in year y in the Project scenario, MWh;

EFy – the carbon dioxide emission factor for the electricity transportation through the Ukrainian Electricity Grid in year y, tCO2eq./MWh;

The emission reductions achieved during the project period are calculated as a difference between annual baseline emission and annual project emission. It is shown by the formula:

$$ERy = BEy - PEy$$

(5)

where:

ERy – emission reductions achieved by the project activity in year y, tons of CO2/year;

BEy – baseline CO2 emission in year y, tons of CO2/year;

PEy – project CO2 emission in year y, tons of CO2/year.

The monitoring plan presents the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process which are mentioned in the section D and Annex 3 of the PDD. This includes, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available on request.

The monitoring plan clearly identifies the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities. The data required to JI monitoring is routinely collected within the normal operations of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC therefore the JI monitoring is a part of routine monitoring. The data is compiled in shift and day-to-day reports, monthly and year report and state report form 1B-TRE. All records a finally stored in the Power sales Department.

The monitoring plan will be implemented by different specialists of the "Sumyoblenergo" LLC under supervision of power sales department. All main departments and specialists of the enterprise will be involved into the preparation of monitoring report under coordination of the power sales department.

On the whole, the monitoring plan reflects good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type.

The monitoring plan provides, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources (e.g. official statistics, expert judgment, proprietary data, IPCC,

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



commercial and scientific literature etc.) but not including data that are calculated with equations.

The monitoring plan indicates that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project.

CAR17-CAR21, CL07 and their resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project monitoring plan are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.8 Leakage (40-41)

Proposed project doesn't suggest transportation or usage of energy resources on-site, so leakages related to the project are equal to zero.

### 4.9 Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)

The PDD indicates assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario as the approach chosen to estimate the emission reductions or enhancement of net removals generated by the project.

The PDD provides the ex ante estimates of:

(a) Emission from the project (within the project boundary), which are 842 858 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007, 1 498 099 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 3 376 560 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023;

(b) Leakage, as applicable, which are 0 tonnes of CO2eq,

(c) Emission from the baseline scenario (within the project boundary) which are 1574 927 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007, 2 862 934 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 6 587 394 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023

(d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (based on (a)-(b) above), which are 732 069 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007, 1 364 835 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 3 210 834 tonnes of CO2eq for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023.

The estimates referred to above are given:

- (a) On a annually basis;
- (b) From 01/01/2004 to 31/12/2023, covering the whole crediting period;

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



(c) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis;

(d) For CO2

(e) In tonnes of CO2 equivalent, using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol;

The formula used for calculating the estimates referred above, which are the same than used for monitoring and described in the section 4.7 of this Report, are consistent throughout the PDD.

For calculating the estimates referred to above, key factors, e.g. electricity tariffs and availability, expected market development, etc, influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or net removals as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating the estimates referred to above, such as delivery substation logbooks, department reports, production forecasts are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Emission factors, such as emission factor for electricity transmitting in Ukraine grid, was selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice.

The estimation referred to above is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

The estimates referred to above are consistent throughout the PDD.

The annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period is calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period, and multiplying by twelve.

#### 4.10 Environmental impacts (48)

The PDD lists and attaches documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the Host Party.

The PDD provides conclusion and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party, if the

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



analysis referred to above indicates that the environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party.

CAR22 and its resolutions/conclusions applicable to Project environmental impacts are listed in the Annex A (Table 2)

#### 4.11 Stakeholder consultation (49)

The project has been presented to the Ukraine Government and to the local authorities as a Project Idea Note and later as a Project Design Document. No written project approvals have been obtained.

Actual Ukrainian legislation doesn't require stakeholders' consultation for the JI projects. Project owner did not inform local society by newspapers, public hearing or another way which is in compliance with Ukrainian legislation.

4.12 Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)

"Not applicable"

**4.13 Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)** "Not applicable"

#### 5 SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES

No comments, pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI Guidelines, were received

#### **6 DETERMINATION OPINION**

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the "The Reconstruction of the Electricity Grid of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. in order to lower the electricity transportation loses" Project in Sumy Region, Ukraine. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides barrier analysis and common practice analysis, to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The Project written approval and the authorization by the host Party are awarded, it is our opinion that the project as described in the Project Design Document, Version 1.1 meets all the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the determination stage and the relevant host Party criteria.

The review of the project design documentation (version 1.1) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfillment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project correctly applies and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



#### 7 REFERENCES

#### Category 1 Documents:

Documents provided by "Eco-Elta" LLC that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

- /1/ Project Design Document "The Reconstruction of the Electricity Grid of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. in order to lower the electricity transportation loses" version 1.0 dated 25/08/2012
- /2/ Project Design Document "The Reconstruction of the Electricity Grid of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. in order to lower the electricity transportation loses" version 1.1 dated 07/12/2012
- /3/ Emission reductions calculation Excel-file "SumyOblEnergoERU.xls"
- /4/ Letter of Endorsement #3774/23/7 dated 07/12/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine

#### Category 2 Documents:

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

- /1/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 1997
- /2/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 1998
- /3/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 1999
- /4/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2000
- /5/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2001
- /6/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2002
- /7/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2003
- /8/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2004
- /9/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2005
- /10/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2006
- /11/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2007
- /12/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2008
- /13/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2009
- /14/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



"Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2010

- /15/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2011
- /16/ Structure on electric energy balance an losses during transmission by SJSC "Sumyoblenergo" grids in 2012
- /17/ Note on JSC "Sumyoblenergo" history
- /18/ Statement of NERC #159 dated 11/03/2005 on JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment program approval
- /19/ Statement of NERC #1592 dated 30/11/2006 on JSC "Sumyoblenergo" tariffs approval
- /20/ Statement of NERC #1649 dated 29/11/2007 on JSC "Sumyoblenergo" tariffs approval
- /21/ Statement #150/1 dated 25/09/2012 on automatized system for commercial measurement of power consumption equipment acceptance
- /22/ Statement #151/1 dated 26/09/2012 on automatized system for commercial measurement of power consumption equipment acceptance
- /23/ Statement #152/1 dated 26/09/2012 on automatized system for commercial measurement of power consumption equipment acceptance
- /24/ Statement #153/1 dated 26/09/2012 on automatized system for commercial measurement of power consumption equipment acceptance
- /25/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2004 year
- /26/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2005 year
- /27/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2006 year
- /28/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2007 year
- /29/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2008 year
- /30/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2009 year
- /31/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment plan for 2010 year
- /32/ JSC "Sumyoblenergo" investment program for 2002-2006 years
- /33/ Statement of NERC #1450 dated 26/12/2003 on JSC "Sumyoblenergo" tariffs approval
- /34/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2003 year, dated 23.01.2003
- /35/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2004 year, dated 08.01.2004
- /36/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2005 year
- /37/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2006 year
- /38/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2007 year
- /39/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2008 year
- /40/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2009 year
- /41/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2010 year
- /42/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2011 year
- /43/ Power meters replacement and repair time schedule for 2012 year
- /44/ Certificate #1448 for Volokhyn Y.V., engineer of SASPOE, dated 14/12/2004
- /45/ Certificate #3034 for Kalchenko V.Y., wireman of SASPOE, dated 10/01/2005
- /46/ Certificate #1029 for Didenko S.M., Head of SASPOE, dated 09/04/2008
- /47/ Certificate #1451 for Prykhodko V.O., engineer of SASPOE, dated 18/12/2008
- /48/ Certificate #314 for Ryabchenko V.O., head engineer of SASPOE, dated



	10/12/2009
/49/	Certificate #1928 for Sema V.V., Head od SASPOE laboratory, dated
	29/10/2012
/50/	Certificate #0512 for Strutynskyi Y.K., head wireman of SASPU, dated
	30/10/2002
/51/	Certificate #880 for Shapovalov V.V., head engineer of SASUE, dated
	26/05/2003
/52/	Bills for domestic consumers energy consumption
/53/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2009, "Premium-
	Star" LLC
/54/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2010, "Premium-
	Star" LLC
/55/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2011, "Premium-
	Star" LLC
/56/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2012, "Premium-
	Star" LLC
/57/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2008, "Premium-
	Star" LLC
/58/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 01/10-01/11/2008,
(= o (	"Ukrnaftozapchyastyna" LLC
/59/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 21/09-21/10/2008, self-
(0.0. l	employed person Bogdanov M.D.
/60/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 21/09-21/10/2009, self-
10.4.1	employed person Bogdanov M.D.
/61/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 21/09-21/10/2008, self-
	employed person Skorobogatskyl E.A.
/62/	Statement on transmitted electric energy during 20/09-20/10/2008, self-
	empioyea person kaznanov O.I.

DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

#### Persons interviewed:

List persons interviewed during the determination or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

- /1/ Dyrbavka I.B. head of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC
- /2/ Vusyk A.M. head of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC planning and technical department
- /3/ Rogovoy M.I. representative of "Eco-Elta" LLC

1. 000 -



DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



### APPENDIX A: DETERMINATION PROTOCOL DETERMINATION PROTOCOL

#### Check list for determination, according JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
General des	cription of the project			
Title of the p	project			
-	Is the title of the project presented?	The title of project is "The Reconstruction of the Electricity Grid of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC. in order to lower the electricity transportation loses"	OK	OK
-	Is the sectoral scope to which the project pertains presented?	The energy scope is 2. Energy Distribition	OK	OK
-	Is the current version number of the document presented?	The current version is 1.0	OK	OK
-	Is the date when the document was completed presented?	The date when the PDD version 1.0 was completed is 25/08/2012	OK	ОК
Description	of the project			
-	Is the purpose of the project included with a concise, summarizing explanation (max. 1-2 pages) of the: a) Situation existing prior to the starting date of the project; b) Baseline scenario; and c) Project scenario (expected outcome, including a technical description)?	The <u>project scenario</u> foresees implementation the electricity loses reduction measures at the transmission lines of the "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC. The electricity loses reduction measures at the transmission lines include the replacement of the power transformers with the installation of the more efficient ones (with the less loses coefficient), the replacement of the depreciated and outmoded parts of the transmission lines to increase their capacity and reduce the transportation electricity loses <u>CAR01</u> Please clearly indicate in the section A.2 situation existing	CAR01	ОК



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
		before the project implementation and baseline scenario		
-	Is the history of the project (incl. its JI component) briefly summarized?	<u>CAR02</u> Please include in the section A.2 short history of the Project including its JI component		
Project part	icipants			
-	Are project participants and Party(ies) involved in the project listed?	The project participants "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC, Elta-Eco LLC from Ukraine and Haverland Consultants Ltd from Ireland are listed in the section A.3 <u>CL01</u> Please clarify role of Elta-Eco LLC in the project <u>CL02</u> The "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC was indicated in the PDD as project participant. Please clarify relations between "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC and "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC	CL01 CL02	OK OK
-	Is the data of the project participants presented in tabular format?	The data of the project participants is presented in a tabular format	ОК	ОК
-	Is contact information provided in Annex 1 of the PDD?	The contact information of project participant and project developer is provided in Annex 1 of the PDD	ОК	ОК
-	Is it indicated, if it is the case, if the Party involved is a host Party?	The Host Party (Ukraine) is not indicated as a Party involved	OK	ОК
Technical d	escription of the project			
Location of	the project			
-	Host Party(ies)	Ukraine	OK	OK
-	Region/State/Province etc.	Sumy Region	OK	OK
-	City/Town/Community etc.	The proposed project is implemented on whole territory of Sumy Region	OK	OK
-	Detail of the physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the project. (This section should not exceed	the project is implemented in whole territory of Sumy Region	OK	OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	one page)			
Technologie	es to be employed, or measures, operations or	actions to be implemented by the project		
-	Are the technology(ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project, including all relevant technical data and the implementation schedule described?	<ul> <li>The measures implemented by the project with relevant technical data are summarized in next follows <ul> <li>replacement of power transformers for those with lower loses</li> <li>replacement of wires and cables for those with bigger capacity</li> <li>installation of glass and polymer isolators</li> <li>the replacement of 1- and 3-phase electricity meters for the new, more reliable electronic ones</li> <li>The implementation of the Automatic Electricity Control and Accounting System</li> </ul> </li> <li>CAR03 <ul> <li>Please provide project implementation schedule</li> <li>CL03</li> <li>Please clarify how new self-supported wires and insulating equipment installation will result to electricity losses reduction</li> <li>CL04</li> <li>Please indicate in the PDD if proposed project activity is not common practice in Ukraine electricity transmitting enterprises.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	CAR03 CL03 CL04	OK OK OK
Brief explan why the em circumstand	ation of how the anthropogenic emissions of ission reductions would not occur in the absects	greenhouse gases by sources are to be reduced by the prence of the proposed project, taking into account national	oposed JI proj and/or sectora	ect, including I policies and
-	Is it stated how anthropogenic GHG emission reductions are to be achieved? (This section should not exceed one page)	The main goal of the project is reduction of electric losses in power transmitting lines, that will reduce GHG emissions from fuel combustion during power production on heat power plants <u>CAR04</u> Please add explanation anthropogenic GHG emission	CAR04	ОК



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
		reductions are to be achieved in the section A.4.3		
-	Is it provided the estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period?	The estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period is provided. Total estimates for period 2004-2207 is 609 243 tonnes of CO2 equivalent and for period 2008-2012 1 100 032 tonnes of CO2 equivalent <u>CAR05</u> The PDD notes in the section A.4.3.1 that pre-Kyoto period started 01/01/2004 and notes that The calculations for 2005- 2012 covers the energy efficiency measures implemented in these years by the Project Owner. Please explain or correct	CAR05	ОК
-	Is it provided the estimated annual reduction for the chosen credit period in tCO2e?	The estimated annual reduction for the period 2004-2007 is 152 311 tonnes of CO2 equivalent and for 2008-2012 is 220 006 tonnes of CO2 equivalent <u>CAR06</u> Please check rounding in the table 2	CAR06	ОК
-	Are the data from questions above presented in tabular format?	The data from questions above are presented in tabular format	OK	ОК
Estimated a	mount of emission reductions over the creditin	g period		
-	Is the length of the crediting period Indicated?	The length of crediting period is 5 years (60 months)	OK	OK
-	Are estimates of total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO2 equivalent provided?	Estimates of total, annual and average annual emission reductions are provided in tonnes of CO2 equivalent	OK	ОК
Project appr	ovals by Parties			
19	Have the DFPs of all Parties listed as "Parties involved" in the PDD provided written project approvals?	<u>CAR07</u> Please add information on Project Endorsement to the PDD <u>CAR08</u> Please provide written approvals from both parties involved	CAR07 CAR08	OK OK
19	Does the PDD identify at least the host Party as a "Party involved"?	The Host Party (Ukraine) is not indicated as a Party involved	ОК	ОК
19	Has the DFP of the host Party issued a written project approval?	See section 19 of this protocol	ОК	ОК
20	Are all the written project approvals by Parties	See section 19 of this protocol	OK	OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	involved unconditional?			
Authorizatio	on of project participants by Parties involved			-
21	Is each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD authorized by a Party involved, which is also listed in the PDD, through: – A written project approval by a Party involved, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity? or – Any other form of project participant authorization in writing, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity?	After finishing of project determination report, the PDD with supporting documents and Determination Report will be presented to State Environmental Agency of Ukraine for receiving the Letter of Approval that will authorized project participants. Also, see section 19 and section 20 of this protocol above.	ОК	ОК
Baseline set	tting			
22	Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used for identifying the baseline? – JI specific approach – Approved CDM methodology approach	The PDD explicitly indicates that JI specific approach is used for baseline identifying	ОК	ОК
JI specific a	pproach only			
23	Does the PDD provide a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner?	The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description of proposed baseline in a complete and transparent manner	OK	OK
23	Does the PDD provide justification that the baseline is established: (a) By listing and describing plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one? (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstance? – Are key factors that affect a baseline taken into account?	<ul> <li>The PDD provides justification of established baseline.</li> <li>(a) two plausible future scenarios are described</li> <li>(b) national and sectoral rules and policies were taken into account</li> <li>(c) the approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors that affect the baseline are taken into account</li> <li>(d) the uncertainties are taken into account and the conservative approach is used</li> <li>(e) the ERUs cannot be earned for decreasing of activity</li> </ul>	CAR09 CAR10 CL05	OK OK OK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
	<ul> <li>(c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors?</li> <li>(d) Taking into account of uncertainties and using conservative assumptions?</li> <li>(e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure?</li> <li>(f) By drawing on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B to "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", as appropriate?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>level outside the project or due to the force majeure.</li> <li>(f) list of standard variables contained in appendix B to "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" was used</li> <li><u>CAR09</u></li> <li>Please provide additional alternative scenarios for two, proposed and described in the PDD</li> <li><u>CAR10</u></li> <li>Please move demonstration of additionality to the section B.2 of the PDD</li> <li><u>CL05</u></li> <li>Please explain next follow in the section B.1 – the data of 2002 year was used for baseline establishing, and emission reductions was generated form 01/01/2004. Please explain elimination of data from 2003 year</li> </ul>		
24	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools for baseline setting are used, are the selected elements or combinations together with the elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 23 above?	There are no used selected elements of combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools for baseline setting.	ОК	ОК
25	If a multi-project emission factor is used, does the PDD provide appropriate justification?	The PDD provides justification for chosen emission factor for electricity transported throw Ukraine national grid. The data for 2005-2007 years was taken from "Ukraine - Assessment of new calculation of CEF", approved by TUV SUD 17.08.2007. The data for 2008-2011 years was taken from relevant Orders of National Environment Investment Agency	ОК	ОК
Approved C	DM methodology approach only_Paragraphs 2	6(a) – 26(d)_Not applicable		
Additionality				
JI specific a	pproach only			



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
28	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches for demonstrating additionality is used? (a) Provision of traceable and transparent information showing the baseline was identified on the basis of conservative assumptions, that the project scenario is not part of the identified baseline scenario and that the project will lead to emission reductions or enhancements of removals; (b) Provision of traceable and transparent information that an AIE has already positively determined that a comparable project (to be) implemented under comparable circumstances has additionality; (c) Application of the most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality. (allowing for a two- month grace period) or any other method for proving additionality approved by the CDM Executive Board".	The PDD indicates that approach c) usage of "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" version 4.0.0 was used for demonstration of additionality	OK	OK
29 (a)	Does the PDD provide a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description?	The justification of the applied approach was provided	OK	OK
29 (b)	Are additionality proofs provided?	The additionality proofs are provided <u>CAR11</u> Implementation of energy saving measures in frames of JI projects is common practice in Ukrainian energy transportation enterprises. Please provide more detailed information on proposed project barriers applicable to Sumy Region specific <u>CAR12</u>	CAR11 CAR12 CAR13 CAR14	OK OK OK OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
		Please provide more detailed description on tariff politic of National Electric energy regulating committee (NERC)CAR13Please provide comparing with similar JI projects which have been implemented in Ukraine.CAR14Proposed barrier "Necessity of the perpetual monitoring of places where the electricity is lost, their removal and prevention of their appearing" will be lowering in case of Alternative 2 implementation. Please correct or provide explanation		
29 (c)	Is the additionality demonstrated appropriately as a result?	The additionality was demonstrated	ОК	ОК
30	If the approach 28 (c) is chosen, are all explanations, descriptions and analyses made in accordance with the selected tool or method?	The approach, explanations and analysis are provided in line with the selected tool	ОК	ОК
Approved C	DM methodology approach only_ Paragraphs	31(a) – 31(e)_Not applicable		
Project bour	ndary (applicable except for JI LULUCF project	S		
JI specific a	pproach only			
32 (a)	Does the project boundary defined in the PDD encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are: (i) Under the control of the project participants? (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project? (iii) Significant?	The project boundaries defined in the PDD encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are under the control of the project participants and reasonably attributable to the project, such as electricity transmitting lines of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC with transforming, commutation, measuring and auxiliary equipment. <u>CAR15</u> In case of project energy saving measures implementation on new received equipment, project boundaries will be different from indicated in the determined PDD. Please remove list of equipment pertained to Sumyoblenergo PJSC. <u>CL06</u>	CAR15 CL06	OK OK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
		Sumy Region is border with Russian federation. Please add explanation on electricity output and input of Ukraine borders		
32 (b)	Is the project boundary defined on the basis of a case-by-case assessment with regard to the criteria referred to in 32 (a) above?	The project boundaries are defined on the basis of case-by – case analysis with regard to the criteria referred to in 32(a)	OK	OK
32 (c)	Are the delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included appropriately described and justified in the PDD by using a figure or flow chart as appropriate?	The delineation of the project boundaries are appropriately described in the PDD with using a flow chart (see figure 4).	ОК	ОК
32 (d)	Are all gases and sources included explicitly stated, and the exclusions of any sources related to the baseline or the project are appropriately justified?	Table 4 contains justification of inclusion or exclusion of GHG gases and sources related to the baseline and the project	ОК	ОК
Approved C	DM methodology approach only_Paragraph 33	_ Not applicable		
Crediting pe	eriod			
34 (a)	Does the PDD state the starting date of the project as the date on which the implementation or construction or real action of the project will begin or began?	<u>CAR16</u> Please provide documents, conforming start date of project	CAR16	ОК
34 (a)	Is the starting date after the beginning of 2000?	Yes, the starting date is after 2000 year	OK	OK
34 (b)	Does the PDD state the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months?	The expected operational lifetime of the project equipment stated in the PDD is 20 years or 240 months	OK	ОК
34 (c)	Does the PDD state the length of the crediting period in years and months?	The PDD indicates length of crediting period in 5 years (60 months)	ОК	ОК
34 (c)	Is the starting date of the crediting period on or after the date of the first emission reductions or enhancements of net removals generated by the project?	The starting date of the crediting period is 01/01/2008 – the date when the first ERUs were generated by the project.	ОК	ОК
34 (d)	Does the PDD state that the crediting period for issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project?	The PDD states that the crediting period starts 01/01/2008 after the beginning of 2008 and does not extends beyond the operational lifetime of equipment	OK	OK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
34 (d)	If the crediting period extends beyond 2012, does the PDD state that the extension is subject to the host Party approval? Are the estimates of emission reductions or	The estimation of emission reduction due to the JI project is provided for the period 2004-2023. In the PDD the values of emission reductions during the period 2008-2012 are presented in table 4. The values of	OK	OK
	enhancements of net removals presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012?	emission reductions for the period 2013-2023 are presented separately in table 5 of the PDD.		
Monitoring	plan			
35	Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used? – JI specific approach – Approved CDM methodology approach	The PDD explicitly indicates that JI specific approach was used for establishing the monitoring plan	ОК	ОК
JI specific a	pproach only			
36 (a)	Does the monitoring plan describe: – All relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored? – The period in which they will be monitored? – All decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance?	The Monitoring Plan describes factors and characteristics that will be monitored, such as value of electricity losses in "Sumyoblenergo" LLC grids, value of input electricity, carbon emission factor (see section D.2 of the PDD)	ОК	ОК
36 (b)	Does the monitoring plan specify the indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored?	There is no constants and indicators used by project developer regarding JI project	ОК	ОК
36 (b)	If default values are used: – Are accuracy and reasonableness carefully balanced in their selection? – Do the default values originate from recognized sources? – Are the default values supported by statistical analyses providing reasonable confidence levels?	The monitoring plan indicates that carbon emission factors for electricity transmission used as default values. The source of this value is clarified in table D.1.1.1 (reference to the section B.1), namely, Assessment of new calculation of CEF by TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH for Ukraine and Orders ##43,62,63,75 of SEIA	OK	OK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
	<ul> <li>Are the default values presented in a transparent manner?</li> </ul>			
36 (b) (i)	For those values that are to be provided by the project participants, does the monitoring plan clearly indicate how the values are to be selected and justified?	Emission factor for electricity transmitting is assessed TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH for JI projects in Ukraine for period 2005-2007 years and Orders of SEIA for period 2008- 2012	ОК	ОК
36 (b) (ii)	For other values, – Does the monitoring plan clearly indicate the precise references from which these values are taken? – Is the conservativeness of the values provided justified?	The monitoring plan clearly indicates the sources of which the required data are calculated. The data on factual energy losses and electricity input to the Service-Invest grid are taken from standard report form 1B-TRE	ОК	OK
36 (b) (iii)	For all data sources, does the monitoring plan specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable?	<u>CAR17</u> Please specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable	CAR17	ОК
36 (b) (iv)	Are International System Unit (SI units) used?	The international System unit are used	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Does the monitoring plan note any parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. that are used to calculate baseline emissions or net removals but are obtained through monitoring?	The monitoring plan doesn't note any parameters that are required for baseline calculations but obtained through monitoring	ОК	ОК
36 (b) (v)	Is the use of parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan?	The parameters, coefficients, variables are used consistent between the baseline and the monitoring plan	OK	OK
36 (c)	Does the monitoring plan draw on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"?	The monitoring plan is established in accordance with appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" version 03	ОК	ОК
36 (d)	Does the monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguish: (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed	The monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguishes: (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination?	OK	OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination? (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of determination? (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period?	<ul> <li>(ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of determination?</li> <li>(iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period</li> </ul>		
36 (e)	Does the monitoring plan describe the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording?	The monitoring plan describes the methods employed for data monitoring and recording including its frequency	ОК	OK
36 (f)	Does the monitoring plan elaborate all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions/removals and project emissions/removals or direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project, leakage, as appropriate?	Monitoring plan elaborates the formulae used for calculation and estimation of baseline emissions and project emission due to the JI project implementation.	ОК	ОК
36 (f) (i)	Is the underlying rationale for the algorithms/formulae explained?	The underlying rationale for the formulae are explained	ОК	ОК
36 (f) (ii)	Are consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. used?	Variables, equation formats subscripts are used in consistent way	ОК	ОК
36 (f) (iii)	Are all equations numbered?	All equations are numbered	OK	OK
36 (f) (iv)	Are all variables, with units indicated defined?	All variables with units indicated are defined	OK	OK
36 (f) (v)	Is the conservativeness of the algorithms/procedures justified?	The conservativeness of the algorithms are justified	ОК	ОК
36 (f) (v)	To the extent possible, are methods to quantitatively account for uncertainty in key parameters included?	<u>CL07</u> Please clarify uncertainty level in key parameters in table D.2 "Quality control and quality assurance procedures undertaken for data monitored".	CL07	OK



DVM Baragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final
	Is consistency between the alpharation of the	There is consistency between the eleberation of the baseline	Conclusion	Conclusion
36 (I) (VI)	haseline scenario and the procedure for	scenario and the procedure for calculating the emissions of	UK	UK
	calculating the emissions or net removals of the	the baseline scenario		
	baseline ensured?			
36 (f) (vii)	Are any parts of the algorithms or formulae that	The all part of used formulae are explained	OK	OK
	are not self-evident explained?			
36 (f) (vii)	Is it justified that the procedure is consistent	The consistency of the proposed procedures with the	OK	OK
	with standard technical procedures in the	standard technical procedures in Ukraine Energy		
	relevant sector?	transportation sector is justified appropriately	0.540	01/
36 (f) (VII)	Are references provided as necessary?	<u>CAR18</u> Diagona provide correct reference to The Desclution of the	CAR18	OK
		Cabinat of Ministers of Ukraina "On Approval of the Order of		
		State Supervision in the Power Industry" No189 dated		
		15.02.1999		
36 (f) (vii)	Are implicit and explicit key assumptions	The key assumptions are explained in the PDD	OK	OK
	explained in a transparent manner?			
36 (f) (vii)	Is it clearly stated which assumptions and	In the project design document there is not stated any	OK	OK
	procedures have significant uncertainty	information about significant uncertainty level of assumptions		
	associated with them, and how such	and procedures.		
	uncertainty is to be addressed?			
36 (f) (VII)	Is the uncertainty of key parameters described	See section 36 (f) (V) of this protocol	OK	OK
	and, where possible, is an uncertainty range at 95% confidence, level for key parameters for			
	the calculation of emission reductions or			
	enhancements of net removals provided?			
36 (g)	Does the monitoring plan identify a national or	The monitoring plan identifies national monitoring standard	OK	ОК
	international monitoring standard if such	GKD 34.09.104-2003 applied to the project. The monitoring		
	standard has to be and/or is applied to certain	report provides reference to detailed description of proposed		
	aspects of the project?	standard		
	Does the monitoring plan provide a reference			
	as to where a detailed description of the			
	standard can be found?			



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
36 (h)	Does the monitoring plan document statistical techniques, if used for monitoring, and that they are used in a conservative manner?	Not applicable for given JI project.	OK	OK
36 (i)	Does the monitoring plan present the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, including, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available upon request?	<u>CAR19</u> Please indicate in the monitoring plan next follows - description of quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process; - information on power meters calibration (or reference to calibration plan); how monitored and required for ERUs calculation information will be kept and made available upon request	CAR19	ОК
36 (j)	Does the monitoring plan clearly identify the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities?	<u>CAR20</u> Please clearly identify the responsibilities and the authorities regarding the monitoring activities	CAR20	OK
36 (k)	Does the monitoring plan, on the whole, reflect good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type? If it is a JI LULUCF project, is the good practice guidance developed by IPCC applied?	There are not JI projects with similar monitoring plan in Ukraine	OK	ОК
36 (I)	Does the monitoring plan provide, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources but not including data that are calculated with equations?	Presented in the PDD monitoring plan provides a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources. Data connected with baseline scenario and emission reduction calculation are stated in tabular format in section D of the PDD.	ОК	ОК
36 (m)	Does the monitoring plan indicate that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project?	<u>CAR21</u> Please indicate in the section D that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculation will be kept during two years after the last ERUs transfer with reference on relevant order of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC	CAR21	ОК
37	If selected elements or combinations of	No selected elements or combinations of approved CDM	OK	OK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
	approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools are used for establishing the monitoring plan, are the selected elements or combination, together with elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 36 above?	methodologies used for monitoring plan establishing		
Approved C	DM methodology approach only Paragraphs 3	8(a) – 38(d) Not applicable		
Applicable (	to both JI specific approach and approved CDN	methodology approach Paragraph 39 Not applicable		
Leakage				
JI specific a	pproach only			
40 (a)	Does the PDD appropriately describe an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explain which sources of leakage are to be calculated and which can be neglected?	The PDD indicates in appropriately way that project activity doesn't connect with fuel burning or transportation and due the project implementation fuel consumption will lowered	ОК	ОК
40 (b)	Does the PDD provide a procedure for an ex ante estimate of leakage?	See section 40 (a) of this protocol	OK	ОК
Approved C	DM methodology approach only_Paragraph 41	_Not applicable		
Estimation	of emission reductions or enhancements of net	removals		
42	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches it chooses? (a) Assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario (b) Direct assessment of emission reductions	The PDD indicates that assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario	ОК	ОК
43	If the approach (a) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable? (c) Emissions or net removals for the baseline scenario (within the project boundary)?	<ul> <li>The PDD provides ex ante estimates of</li> <li>(a) emissions for the project scenario, which is 874 926 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007, 1 498 100 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 3 376 564 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023</li> <li>(b) emissions for the baseline scenario, which is 1 484 169 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007. 2</li> </ul>	OK	OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	(d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?	<ul> <li>598 132 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 5 978 107 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023</li> <li>(c) Emission reductions, which is 609 243 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2004-31/12/2007, 1 100 032 tCO2eq for period 01/01/2008-31/12/2012, 2 601 543 for period 01/01/2013-31/12/2023</li> </ul>		
44	If the approach (b) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable? (c) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?	The approach 42(a) was chosen	OK	ОК
45	<ul> <li>For both approaches in 42</li> <li>(a) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 given: <ul> <li>(i) On a periodic basis?</li> <li>(ii) At least from the beginning until the end of the crediting period?</li> <li>(iii) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis?</li> <li>(iv) For each GHG?</li> <li>(v) In tones of CO2 equivalent, using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol?</li> <li>(b) Are the formula used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD?</li> <li>(c) For calculating estimates in 43 or 44, are key factors influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a) The estimates are given on</li> <li>(i) on a yearly basis</li> <li>(ii) from 01/01/2003 till 31/12/2023</li> <li>(iii) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis</li> <li>for each GHG, which are CH4 and CO2</li> <li>in tonnes of CO2 equivalent</li> <li>using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3</li> <li>(b) The formula used for calculating in 43 is consistent throughout the PDD</li> <li>(c) The key factors influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account for calculating estimates in 43</li> <li>(d) The data sources used for calculating the estimates in 43 are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.</li> <li>(e) emission factors used for calculations in 43 are in line with National GHG Inventory Report approved by Ukrainian DFP</li> <li>(f) The estimations in 43 are based on conservative</li> </ul>	OK	OK



DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
	<ul> <li>and the emissions or net removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?</li> <li>(d) Are data sources used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 clearly identified, reliable and transparent?</li> <li>(e) Are emission factors (including default emission factors) if used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?</li> <li>(f) Is the estimation in 43 or 44 based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?</li> <li>(g) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD?</li> <li>(h) Is the annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve?</li> </ul>	assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner (g) the estimates in 43 are consistent throughout the PDD (h) the annual average value of estimated emission reductions is calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve.		
46	If the calculation of the baseline emissions or net removals is to be performed ex post, does the PDD include an illustrative ex ante emissions or net removals calculation?	The calculations of the baseline emissions and project emissions are to be performed ex post. Also, in the PDD there are provided ex ante calculation of emissions. All estimated values are presented in section E of the PDD and Excel spreadsheets	ОК	ОК
Approved C	DM methodology approach only_Paragraphs 4 tal impacts	7(a) – 47(b)_Not applicable		
	Lar Impacts	There are no cignificant environmental instants of the	CADOO	
48 (a)	the analysis of the environmental impacts of	project. The transboundary impacts are absent.	UAR22	UK



DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragraph			Conclusion	Conclusion
	the project, including transboundary impacts, in	<u>CAR22</u>		
	accordance with procedures as determined by	Please mention environmental impacts assessment, which is		
	the host Party?	a part of building documentation developing.		
48 (b)	If the analysis in 48 (a) indicates that the	See section 48(a) of this protocol	OK	OK
	environmental impacts are considered			
	significant by the project participants or the			
	host Party, does the PDD provide conclusion			
	and all references to supporting documentation			
	of an environmental impact assessment			
	undertaken in accordance with the procedures			
	as required by the host Party?			
Stakeholder	consultation			
49	If stakeholder consultation was undertaken in	The actual Ukraine legislation doesn't require stakeholder's	OK	OK
	accordance with the procedure as required by	consultation for JI projects. The project was presented to the		
	the host Party, does the PDD provide:	Government of Ukraine and to the Local Authorities as a		
	(a) A list of stakeholders from whom	Project Idea and, later, as the Technical Documentation. The		
	comments on the projects have been received,	Government and Local Authorities has approved the Project.		
	if any?	All the comments received were positive		
	(b) The nature of the comments?			
	(c) A description on whether and how the			
	comments have been addressed?			
Determinati	on regarding small-scale projects (additional el	ements for assessment)_Paragraphs 50 - 57_Not applicabl	e	
Determinati	on regarding land use, land-use change and fo	restry projects _Paragraphs 58 – 64(d)_Not applicable		
Determinati	on regarding programmes of activities Paragra	aphs 66 – 73 Not applicable		

### DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES



#### Table 2 Resolution of Corrective Action and Clarification Requests

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by validation team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participant response	Determination team conclusion
<u>CAR01</u> Please clearly indicate in the section A.2 situation existing before the project implementation and baseline scenario	-	In the early 2000s the situation in the energy sector of Ukraine was quite bad. All the major generating and transmission equipment was in bad technical condition. The lack of financing lead to the equipment efficiency decrease. In the case of the electricity transportation it means the increase of the electricity loses in a grid during the transportation. So, the <b>Baseline Scenario</b> is that the efficiency of the electricity transportation through the ""Sumyoblenergo" PJSC grid was getting lower and the electricity loses were rising constantly.	The issue is closed
<u>CAR02</u> Please include in the section A.2 short history of the Project including its JI component	-	In this situation the Investment Program of the "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC was settled and the Project implementation had started. The decision for the Project implementation was based on the information of the possibility of the Joint Implementation mechanism use for the partial investment refund.	The issue is closed
<u>CAR03</u> Please provide project implementation schedule	-	The project implementation timeline was provided	The issue is closed
CAR04 Please add explanation anthropogenic GHG emission reductions are to be achieved in the section A.4.3	-	Relevant explanations were added in the section A.4.3	The issue is closed



<u>CAR05</u> The PDD notes in the section A.4.3.1 that pre-Kyoto period started 01/01/2004 and notes that The calculations for 2005-2012 covers the energy efficiency measures implemented in these years by the Project Owner. Please explain or correct	-	Relevant explanations were added in the section A.4.3	The issue is closed
<u>CAR06</u> Please check rounding in the table 2	-	Corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CAR07</u> Please add information on Project Endorsement to the PDD	19	Relevant information was added in the section A.5	The issue is closed
<u>CAR08</u> Please provide written approvals from both parties involved	19	Project written approvals are provided to AIE	The issue is closed
<u>CAR09</u> Please provide additional alternative scenarios for two, proposed and described in the PDD	23	Third alternative scenario (partly implementation of project measures) was added and analysed	The issue is closed
<u>CAR10</u> Please move demonstration of additionality to the section B.2 of the PDD	23	Relevant corrections was provided	The issue is closed
<u>CAR11</u> Implementation of energy saving measures in frames of JI projects is common practice in Ukrainian energy transportation enterprises. Please provide more detailed information on proposed project barriers applicable to Luhansk Region specific	29(b)	Relevant information was added in the section B.1	The issue is closed
<u>CAR12</u> Please provide more detailed description on tariff politic of National Electric energy regulating committee (NERC)	29(b)	Relevant information was added in the section B.1	The issue is closed
<u>CAR13</u> Please provide comparing with similar JI projects which have been implemented in Ukraine.	29(b)	Relevant information was added in the section B.1	The issue is closed

1828					
В	U	R	Е	А	U
۷	Е	R	I T	A	S

<u>CAR14</u> Proposed barrier "Necessity of the perpetual monitoring of places where the electricity is lost, their removal and prevention of their appearing" will be lowering in case of Alternative 2 implementation. Please correct or provide explanation	29(b)	Proposed barrier was removed	The issue is closed
<u>CAR15</u> In case of project energy saving measures implementation on new received equipment, project boundaries will be different from indicated in the determined PDD. Please remove list of equipment pertained to Sumyoblenergo PJSC.	32(a)	Section B.3 was corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CAR16</u> Please provide documents, conforming start date of project	34(a)	Required documents were provided to AIE	The issue is closed
<u>CAR17</u> Please specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable	36 (b) (iii)	Description of procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable was added in the section D	The issue is closed
<u>CAR18</u> Please provide correct reference to The Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine "On Approval of the Order of State Supervision in the Power Industry" №189 dated 15.02.1999	36 (f) (vii)	External reference was corrected	The issue is closed
<ul> <li><u>CAR19</u></li> <li>Please indicate in the monitoring plan next follows         <ul> <li>description of quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process;</li> <li>information on power meters calibration (or reference to calibration plan);</li> <li>how monitored and required for ERUs calculation information will be kept and made available upon request</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	36 (i)	Description of procedures, described in the CAR19 was added in the section D	The issue is closed



<u>CAR20</u> Please clearly identify the responsibilities and the authorities regarding the monitoring activities	36 (j)	Description of monitoring data flow was added in the section D	The issue is closed
<u>CAR21</u> Please indicate in the section D that the data monitored and required for ERUs calculation will be kept during two years after the last ERUs transfer with reference on relevant order of "Sumyoblenergo" LLC	36 (m)	Relevant order was provided to AIE and PDD was corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CAR22</u> Please mention environmental impacts assessment, which is a part of building documentation developing.	48 (a)	Section F was corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CL01</u> Please clarify role of Elta-Eco LLC in the project	-	The section A.3 was corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CL02</u> The "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC was indicated in the PDD as project participant. Please clarify relations between "Electricity Grids Using Enterprise "Central Energy Company" PJSC and "Sumyoblenergo" PJSC	-	The section A.3 was corrected	The issue is closed
<u>CL03</u> Please clarify how new self-supported wires and insulating equipment installation will result to electricity losses reduction	-	Clarification was added in the section A.4.2	The issue is closed
<u>CL04</u> Please indicate in the PDD if proposed project activity is not common practice in Ukraine electricity transmitting enterprises.	-	Clarification was added in the section A.4.2	The issue is closed
<u>CL05</u> Please explain next follow in the section B.1 – the data of 2002 year was used for baseline establishing, and emission reductions was generated form 01/01/2004. Please explain elimination of data from 2003 year	23	The data form 2003 year was removed	The issue is closed



#### DETERMINATION REPORT: THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ELECTRICITY GRID OF THE "SUMYOBLENERGO" PJSC. IN ORDER TO LOWER THE ELECTRICITY TRANSPORTATION LOSES

B U R E A U VERITAS

<u>CL06</u> Sumy Region is border with Russian federation. Please add explanation on electricity output and input of Ukraine borders	32(a)	Relevant information was added in the section B.3	The issue is closed			
<u>CL07</u> Please clarify uncertainty level in key parameters in table D.2 "Quality control and quality assurance procedures undertaken for data monitored".	36 (f) (v)	Relevant clarification was provided in the section D.2 of the PDD	The issue is closed			