

JI VERIFICATION (Version (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a de	03.1)
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	
. JI acti	
Reference number and title of JI activity	UA1000195, "Reconstruction of water supply and drainage system "Luganskvoda Ltd."
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a
Parties involved in the JI activity	-Ukraine - Switzerland
Type of JI activity: ☑ large scale ☐ small	I scale □ LULUCF □ PoA
Emission reductions / Enh	ancements of removals
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/05/2011 – 31/07/2011
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A. □ Yes □ No
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	80 064
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes ☑ No
Statements regarding requiren	nents and possible changes
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the further relevant requirements defined by the CMP of to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: Yes No	the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and rethe JISC with regard to verifications pursuant
Verification opinion Please provide a verification opinion (or a refere documents attached), including a statement regraceordance with the Standard for applying the condition. The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification	arding materiality and level of assurance, in cept of materiality in verifications:
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes ☑ No

and ex	please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design express a determination opinion (or provide a ence to an attached document containing the red information)	☑ N.A.	1	
condi	ject design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that tions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI lines are still met	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
If proj the ch opinio	ject design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that nanges do not alter the original determination on	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
If proj	ect design was revised, please confirm that:			
(a) PI	hysical location of the project has not nanged	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If re	emission sources have changed, they are flected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Ba	aseline scenario has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
ar	hanges are consistent with JI specific opposed or CDM methodology upon which be determination was prepared for the project	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
The m	onitoring plan was revised	☑ Yes		□ No
measu	, please inform for which technologies and res under each type of JPA the monitoring plan vised (applicable to Jl PoA only)			No
accura collect withou rules	evisions to the monitoring plan improve the acy and/or applicability of information ted, compared to the original monitoring plan at changing conformity with the relevant and regulations for the establishment of oring plans	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N.A.
List of	documents attached to the verification report for	orm		
	attach relevant documents used in the verification		k mark belo	w accordingly
	Verification report			
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if ap	plicable		
\square	Other documents:	piloudio		
	□ Document listing all revisions to the proje	ct design if ann	icable	
	 Determination that the revisions to the projection original determination opinion and that the JI guidelines are still met 	roject design, if	applicable.	do not alter the agraph 33 of the
	☑ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable			
	Determination that the revisions to the raccuracy and/or applicability of information monitoring plan without changing confort for the establishment of monitoring plans	ation collected.	compared	to the original
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)			
Ø	Any other documents (please list):			
. 3	 Annex 2: Project and monitoring equipme Annex 3: Calculation of GHG emission rethe water supply and water drainage syst Annex 4: Measures that were implemente Annex 5: Monitoring values of parameters 	eductions due to em of «Lugansk d under the proje	voda Ltd.» ect:	

	That Sollies States
does not constitute a conflict of interest which Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes Bureau Veritas Certification