

# DETERMINATION REPORT CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A.

### **DETERMINATION OF THE**

Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"

REPORT NO. UKRAINE-DET/0813/2012
REVISION NO. 02

**BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION** 



Date of first issue	Organization:
05/11/2012	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS
Client: CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.	Client ref.: Fabian Knodel
equipment of the gas distribution points a distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskm S.A., located in Donetsk city, Ukraine, a criteria for the JI, as well as criteria giver reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article	determination of the "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas hiskgaz" project of CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS and territories adherent to the city on the basis of UNFCCC to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the y Committee, as well as the host country criteria.
document, the study of project's baseline, the following three phases: i) desk review follow-up interviews with project stakehold the final determination report and opinion	an independent and objective review of the project design monitoring plan and other relevant documents. It consisted of of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) ders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of ion. The overall determination, from Contract Review to ducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.
	ess is a list of Clarification and Corrective Actions Requests Taking into account this output, the project proponent revised
	tion's opinion that the project correctly applies the "Guidance ring" and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI
Report No.: Subject Group:	
UKRAINE-DET /0813/2012 JI Project title:	S
"Reduction of methane leaks on equipment of the gas distribution poin the gas armature, flanged, threaded jo gas distribution pipelines of "Donetskmiskgaz"	ts and on ints of the
Work carried out by: Oleg Skoblyk – Team Leader, Climat Lead Verifier Dmytro Balyn - Technical Expert	e Change  No distribution without permission from the Client or responsible organizational unit
Work reviewed by: Ivan Sokolov - Internal Technical Reviewed Vasyl Kobzar - Technical Expert  Work approved by:	Veritas Certification  Veritas Security Control of the Control of
Ivan Sokolov – Operational Manage	
Programme and the second secon	of name:



Table	of Contents	Page
1 1.1	INTRODUCTION Objective	4
1.2	Scope	4
1.3	Determination team	4
2 2.1 2.2 2.3	METHODOLOGY  Review of Documents  Follow-up Interviews  Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests	5 5 6 6
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	7
4	DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS	9
4.1	Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)	9
4.2	Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)	10
4.3	Baseline setting (22-26)	10
4.4	Additionality (27-31)	12
4.5	Project boundary (32-33)	13
4.6	Crediting period (34)	14
4.7 4.8	Monitoring plan (35-39) Leakage (40-41)	14 20
4.9	Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net	t
4.10	removals (42-47) Environmental impacts (48)	20 22
4.11	Stakeholder consultation (49)	22
4.12	Determination regarding small-scale projects (50-57)	23
4.13	Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry(LULUCF) projects (58-64)	
4.14	Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)	23
5	SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES	)
6	DETERMINATION OPINION	23
7	REFERENCES	23
APPEN	NDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL	28



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

### 1 INTRODUCTION

CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to determine its JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" (hereafter called "the project") in Donetsk city, Ukraine, and the territories adherent to the city.

This report summarizes the findings of the determination of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

### 1.1 Objective

The determination serves as project design verification and is a requirement of all projects. The determination is an independent third party assessment of the project design. In particular, the project's baseline, the monitoring plan (MP), and the project's compliance with relevant UNFCCC and host country criteria are determined in order to confirm that the project design, as documented, is sound and reasonable, and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria. Determination is a requirement for all JI projects and is seen as necessary and obligatory to provide assurance to stakeholders of the quality of the project and its intended generation of emissions reductions units (ERUs).

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

### 1.2 Scope

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline, the monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents meets the Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretation.

The determination is not meant to provide any consulting towards clients. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective, forward action requests may provide input for improvement of the project design.

### 1.3 Determination team

The determination team consists of the following personnel:

Oleh Skoblyk

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Leader, Climate Change Lead Verifier

**Dmytro Balyn** 

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Member, Technical Expert



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

This determination report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov Bureau Veritas Certification Internal Technical Reviewer

Vasyl Kobzar - Technical Expert

### 2 METHODOLOGY

The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the "Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual", issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009.

The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from determining the identified criteria.

The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, describes and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determiner will document how a particular requirement has been determined and the result of the determination.

The determination protocol consists of two tables and is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

### 2.1 Review of Documents

The Project Design Document (PDD) was submitted by CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A. together with such additional documents related to the project design and baseline as: host country Law, Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form, approved CDM methodologies and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, the Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be checked by an Accredited Independent Entity.

To address Bureau Veritas Certification corrective action, forward action and clarification requests, CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A. revised the PDD version 01 of 24/08/2012 and resubmitted it on 08/11/2012 as version 02.

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD versions 01 and 02.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

### 2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 09/11/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" and CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A. were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1 Interview Topics** 

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	Project History
	Project approach
	Project boundary
	➤ Implementation schedule
	Organizational Structure
	Responsibilities and obligations
	Personnel training
	Quality control procedures and technologies
	<ul><li>Modernization / installation of equipment (records)</li></ul>
	➤ Control over metering equipment
	<ul><li>System of measurements record-keeping, database</li></ul>
	➤ Technical Documentation
	Monitoring Plan and procedures
	Permits and licenses
	<ul> <li>Environmental Impact Assessment</li> </ul>
	> Stakeholders' response
CEP Carbon Emissions	Baseline methodology
Partners S.A.	➤ Monitoring Plan
	Additionality proofs
	Calculations of emission reductions
	➤ Project design
	➤ Legal issues relating to the project
	Environmental impacts
	Approval by the host party

### 2.3 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the determination is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the project design.

Corrective Action Request (CAR) is issued, where:

- (a) The project participants have made mistakes that will influence the ability of the project activity to achieve real, measurable additional emission reductions;
- (b) The JI requirements have not been met:
- (c) There is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

The determination team may also issue Clarification Request (CL), if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable JI requirements have been met.

The determination team may also issue Forward Action Request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue that needs to be reviewed during the verification.

To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the verification protocol in Appendix A.

### 3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purpose of the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" is reduction of methane leaks at gas transportation and gas distribution infrastructure of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz". These leaks are the result of leaking gas equipment and gas fittings. The main sources of leaks are gas distribution networks (GDN) components included in the project boundary, namely:

- gas equipment (pressure regulators, valves, filters, switching devices) located at gas distribution points (GDP) and cabinet gas distribution points (CGDP) of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz";
- gas fittings (taps, valves, vents, etc.), located at the gas pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".

The project boundary includes 192 GDPs, 441 CGDPs, and 9368 gas fitting units.

The main cause of natural gas leaks is failure of sealing elements of equipment caused by temperature fluctuations and moisture. Natural gas consists mainly of methane, which is greenhouse gas. Methane makes up 92-95% of natural gas. Methane leak repair will lead to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Hereinafter, natural gas leaks will also be referred to as "methane leaks", since methane is the gas instrumental leak measurements deal with.

PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" is a company, which provides transportation and supply of natural gas in Donetsk city and territories adherent to the city, as well as villages adherent to the city. At the moment, the company supplies natural gas to industrial enterprises (86), budget-funded and public utility entities (1 728), population (280 373 apartments and individual households).

The main activities of the company are:

- Transportation of natural gas by distribution pipelines;
- Supply of natural gas at regulated tariffs:
- Installation of domestic gas meters;
- Design, installation of gas supply systems;
- Maintenance, repair works.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

The structure of the existing tariffs for gas transportation, regulated by the state, do not take into account the depreciation and investment needs of gas distribution enterprises. This causes a lack of funds for repair and modernization of gas networks, purchase of adequate technological equipment and components and, as a result, pushes up methane leaks at PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" facilities.

Within the framework of the JI project in order to repair methane leaks at gas equipment and gas fittings two types of repairs are applied:

- 1. Complete replacement of old gas equipment and gas fittings with new units.
- Replacement of pressure-sealing elements with the use of modern sealing materials, changing the common practice of servicing and repair on the basis of paronite gaskets and cotton fiber stuffing with oil tightening and asbestosgraphite compound.

The existing practice of servicing and repair on the basis of paronite gaskets and sealing stuffing of cotton fibre with fatty impregnation and asbestos-graphite filler does not give a long-lasting effect of methane leak reductions.

As a result of JI project activities, in addition to methane leak reductions, technical losses of natural gas will decrease, a contribution will be made to the improvement of environmental situation, and the risk of accidents and explosions will be reduced.

### Project activities will include:

- Implementation of Purposeful Examination and Technical Maintenance (PETM) of GDN components (GDP and CGDP equipment and gas fittings).
- Detection of methane leaks: leak monitoring system at all GDN components (GDP and CGDP equipment and gas fittings), included into the project boundary including methane leaks (GDN repaired within the project activity).
- Repair of all leaks detected: repairs of GDN comonents under this project will include replacement of sealing elements using new materials and/or replacement of gas equipment and gas fittings by new modern equipment.

The project was initiated in December 2004:

In December 2004, an inspection of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" GDP (CGDP) gas equipment, fittings, flanged and threaded joints; primary leak measurements were made.

14/12/2004 – the start of inspection and repair works at GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings, flanged and threaded joints of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".

26/12/2004 – PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" approves the PDD (version 01), which included the leak monitoring programme.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

19/09/2012 – a Working Team was created to ensure performance of the JI Monitoring Plan.

26/09/2012 - Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 was issued for the JI project by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine.

24/10/2012 - Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project was obtained from the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).

The determination protocol of the project contains CARs and CLs for PDD versions 01 and 02.

### 4 DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS

In the following sections, the conclusions of the determination are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A. The determination of the Project resulted in 30 Corrective Action Requests and 2 Clarification Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section correspond to the DVM paragraph.

### 4.1 Project approval by Parties involved (19-20)

The "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" project has already been approved by the Government of Ukraine (Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7, issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012). On 24/10/2012 Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project was obtained from the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).

Bureau Veritas Certification received this letter from the project participants and does not doubt its authenticity.

Upon completion of the Determination Report the project design document will be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine for receiving a Letter of Approval.

As the project has no approval by the Host Party, CAR 18 remains pending and will be closed after report finalizing (see Appendix A).



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

The identified areas of concern as to the project approval, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 18).

### 4.2 Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)

The participation for each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD will be authorized by the Parties involved, through the written Letters of Approval (from the government of Switzerland as the country-participant, and from Ukraine as the host party). Ref. to CAR 18 of this report.

### 4.3 Baseline setting (22-26)

The PDD explicitly indicates that using a methodology for baseline setting and monitoring developed in accordance with Appendix B of the JI Guidelines (hereinafter referred to as JI specific approach) was the selected approach for identifying the baseline (in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring (Version 03)).

The proposed project applies a JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 and the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard methane leaks at gas distribution networks" (hereinafter - the Methodology), developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine to set the baseline. Project participants selected the computational method for estimation of GHG emission reductions.

The Methodology is based on approved Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities" and takes into account the specifics of methane leak detection and repair activity in Ukraine.

This Methodology is designed for development of projects aimed at methane leak reduction in technological equipment of gas distribution networks and is applicable to project activities that reduce physical methane leaks by implementing investment activities, which would not be implemented under the existing company practice, i.e. methane leaks would not be repaired.

The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline was established:

- (a) By listing and describing the following plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one:
  - a. Continuation of the current system of leak detection and repair.
  - b. Proposed project activity without the use of the JI mechanism.



- (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances, such as sectoral reform initiatives, local fuel availability, gas supply industry sector expansion plans, and the economic situation in the project sector. In this context, the following key factors that affect a baseline are taken into account:
  - a. Energy sector plays an absolute and crucial part in Ukraine, being a factor of political sovereignty. Ukrainian economy is one of the world's most energy-consuming by primary energy consumption per GDP unit. 15/03/2006 The Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine has approved the "Energy strategy of Ukraine till 2030". The energy strategy considers the research of non-traditional and renewable energy sources an important factor of energy safety improvement, reduction of anthropogenic impact on the environment and resistance to global climate change.
  - b. Most natural gas transportation and supply companies currently operating in Ukraine use equipment installed back in Soviet times.
  - c. The current practice of natural gas loss (and accordingly, methane emissions) detection and repair conforms to the current legislation of Ukraine. The legislation admits and doesn't forbid natural gas losses, and, accordingly, methane emissions in the process of natural gas transportation. The regulations set periodicity of equipment verifications to be carried out by gas distribution organizations with the aim of natural gas loss detection. Practice of natural gas loss detection at PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" corresponds to the indicated standards. Control over compliance with standards is performed by implementation of annual revisions by authorized bodies.
  - d. State support in the natural gas transportation and supply sector is provided in amounts of funds provided by the law of Ukraine on State Budget of Ukraine for the relevant year.
  - e. The current Ukrainian system of formation of prices for natural gas transportation does not include an investment component for the development of gas transportation infrastructure. According to Law of Ukraine "On the basis of the natural gas market functioning", the company is not obliged and has no incentives to implement new equipment, provided for by the project, at its own expense. Meanwhile, state investment programs in most cases are targeted at administrative and organizational implementations.
  - f. The project scenario requires attracting significant additional funds. Such investment is characterized by a significant payback period and high investment risks, that is why it is not attractive for investors.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

g. Ukraine is already implementing JI projects in natural gas transportation and supply ("Reduction of methane leakage at flange, threaded joints and shut-down devices of the equipment of OJSC "Kyivgas", "Reduction of methane leakage at flange, threaded joints and switch mechanisms of the equipment of JSC Odesagas", "Reduction of natural gas emissions at OJSC "Odesagas" gate stations and gas distribution networks") thanks to the sale of emission reduction units.

The PDD provides a detailed description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline was duly set.

The methods of calculation used to determine the ex-ante and ex-post baseline emissions, are sufficiently described in Sections E and B of the PDD, respectively.

The identified areas of concern as to the baseline, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 19 – CAR 24; CL 02).

### 4.4 Additionality (27-31)

The most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" approved by the CDM Executive Board was used in accordance with the JI specific approach, defined pursuant to paragraph 9 (a) of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", version 03. All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the selected tool or method.

The PDD provides a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description, as per item 4.3 above.

The developer of the project proved that anthropogenic emissions under the project are lower than the emissions that would take place in the absence of the project activity. Additionality proofs are provided.

Two plausible and realistic alternative scenarios of the project were identified:

- Alternative 1.1: Continuation of the current system of leak detection and repair.
- Alternative 1.2: Proposed project activity without the use of the JI mechanism.

and the mandatory compliance of the scenarios with the legislation and legal acts was demonstrated.

According to the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" (Version 06.0.0) barrier analysis and common practice analysis were used in the PDD to justify additionality of the project.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

Possible barriers, such as financial (additional financial expenses for implementation of project activities, for purchase and use of modern metering devices to detect and measure methane emissions), organizational (lack of potential of labour and technical resources of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" for implementation and carrying out of purposeful examination and technical maintenance of gas equipment), which would complicate the realization of the project scenario without the additional income from project implementation within the Joint Implementation Mechanism, and which de facto make impossible any alternative scenario except for the baseline, were described and justified in an appropriate manner. There are no barriers for the baseline alternative, which is continuation of the situation existing prior to the project activity.

Thus, the overall conclusion is that the project activity meets the criteria of additionality, is not a baseline scenario and is additional.

Additionality is demonstrated appropriately as a result of the analysis using the approach chosen.

### 4.5 Project boundary (32-33)

The project boundary is defined in the PDD, delineated with the territory of Donetsk region and includes all gas supply facilities included in the JI project boundary on the basis of Agreements on the use of state property that is not subject to privatization No.04/01-869 of 28/12/2001, encompass all anthropogenic emissions by GHG sources, which are:

- (i) Under the control of the project participants, such as:
  - technological natural gas losses during scheduled repair of gas pipelines;
- (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as:
  - methane leaks at gas fittings of house distribution networks;
- (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent, whichever is lower:
  - leaks at gas equipment (pressure controllers, valves, filters, etc.) of gas distribution points (cabinet-type gas distribution points);
  - leaks in gas fittings (faucets, valves, etc.), located in gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".

Only methane leaks of type (iii) are included in the JI Project boundary:

The delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included are appropriately described and justified in the PDD.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

### 4.6 Crediting period (34)

The PDD states the starting date of the project is the date when PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" commenced repair works at GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings, flanged and threaded joints of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" under the JI project. Thus, the starting date of the project is 14/12/2004, which is after the beginning of 2000.

The PDD states the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months, which is 13 years or 156 months – from January 3, 2005 to December 31, 2017.

The PDD states the length of the crediting period in years and months, which is 13 years or 156 months, and its starting date of the crediting period is 01/01/2005, which is the date the first emission reductions are expected to be generated by the project.

The PDD states that the crediting period for the issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project.

The PDD states that the extension of its crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to the host Party approval, and the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals are presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in all relevant sections of the PDD.

The identified areas of concern as to the crediting period, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 25-27).

### **4.7 Monitoring plan (35-39)**

The PDD in the section relating to the monitoring plan clearly states that a specific JI approach was chosen.

The monitoring plan describes all relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored, and the period in which they will be monitored, in particular also all decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance, such as reporting forms, operational structure and management structure of the enterprise that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.

The monitoring plan specifies the indicators, constants and variables that are reliable (i.e. provide consistent and accurate values), valid (i.e. be clearly connected with the effect to be measured), and that provide a transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored such as: sequence number of GDN component, number of activity (replacement/repair) at GDN component after leakdetection, average mass fraction of methane in natural gas, natural gas leak factor of GDN component in CLP, natural gas leak factor that corresponds to EPNGL of GDN component, time in operation of GDN component under the pressure from the



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

beginning of monitoring period y to the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused removal of leak.

The monitoring plan draws on the list of standard variables contained in Appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" developed by the JISC, as appropriate, among which: baseline emissions ( $BE_y$ ), project emissions ( $PE_y$ ), global warming potential ( $GWP_{xx}$ ).

According to the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD forms, revision 04, the described approach to monitoring clearly states:

a) Data and parameters that are not subject to monitoring during the crediting period but are identified only once and are available at the PDD development stage:

i	Sequence number of the GDN component (GDP (CGDP), gas fitting) included into the project boundary
ConvFactor	- volume to weight conversion factor for methane leaks, t CH <sub>4</sub> /m <sup>3</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> .

- b) Data and parameters that are not controlled during the crediting period but are identified only once (and thus remain fixed for the crediting period) and are not available at the PDD development stage:none.
- c) Data and parameters controlled during the whole crediting period:

h	No. of activity (replacement/repair) in GDN component after EPNGL detection
$W_{y}$	Average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period <i>y</i> of the project scenario
$K_{i',h}^g$	Natural gas leakage factor of GDN component in CLP:
$K_{i"}^n$	Natural gas leakage factor corresponding to EPNGL of GDN component
$H^{g}_{i',h,y}$	Time of GDN component operation under the pressure from the beginning of monitoring period <span>y</span> to the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal
$H^n_{i",h,y}$	Time of GDN component operation under the pressure from the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal to the end of monitoring period <i>y</i>
$GWP_{CH_4}$	Global Warming Potential of methane

The monitoring plan describes the methods applied for monitoring data (including its frequency) and record-keeping methods such as data storage through accounting software.

The most objective and cumulative factor that provides a clear picture of whether the emission reduction took place is the fact of GDN component replacement. The computational method can be used as the method based on data on methane leaks



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

from GDN components formed from standard values on methane emissions for each GDN component as well as data obtained by statistical processing of the results of expost methane leak measurement before and after the repairs.

The monitoring plan elaborates all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions, such as:

Formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of  $CO_2$  equivalent):

Greenhouse gas emissions in the project scenario according to the JI-specific approach (calculated using the tabular method of the Methodology) are calculated by the following formulae:

$$PE_{y} = GWP_{CH_{4}} \cdot ConvFactor \cdot W_{y} \cdot P_{y}$$
(1)

where:

 $PE_{_{\mathcal{Y}}}$  - greenhouse gas emissions in period y of the project scenario, t CO2eq;

 $\textit{GWP}_{\textit{CH}_4} \ \ \text{- global warming potential of methane, tCO}_2 \text{eq/tCH}_4;$ 

 $rac{W_{_{y}}}{}$  - average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period y of the project scenario, %;

 $P_y$  – natural gas leaks to the atmosphere in period y of the project scenario, m<sup>3</sup>; ConvFactor - volume to weight conversion factor for methane leaks, t  $CH_4/m_3$   $CH^4$ . Under normal conditions - zero degrees Celsius and 0.1013 MPa, ConvFactor =0.0007168 t/m<sup>3</sup>.

[y] - index for monitoring period;

 $[CH_4]$  - index for methane.

Natural gas (92-95% of methane) emissions to the atmosphere caused by leaks from gas transportation networks are calculated by the following formula:

$$P_{y} = \sum_{i' \in I'} \sum_{h \in H_{i'}} K_{i'h}^{g} \cdot H_{i'hy}^{g} + \sum_{i'' \in I''} \sum_{h \in H_{i''}} K_{i''h}^{g} \cdot H_{i''hy}^{n}$$
(2)

 $K_{i'h}^{g}$  - natural gas leak factor of i'GDN component in CLP (i.e. corresponding to SPNGL) in the project scenario, m<sup>3</sup>/h;



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

 $K_{i^{"}h}^{g}$  - natural gas leak factor corresponding to EPNGL of i "GDN component in the project scenario, m $^{3}$ /h;

 $H_{i'hy}^{g}$  - time of GDN component operation from the beginning of monitoring period y to the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal, h;

 $H_{i"hy}^n$  - time of GDN component operation under the pressure from the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal to the end of monitoring period y, h;

[y] - index for monitoring period;

 $\begin{bmatrix} i \end{bmatrix}$  - index for GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I' (I'+I")=I, where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated no emission reductions (no component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period;

 $\begin{bmatrix}i^*\end{bmatrix}$  - index for GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I" (I'+I")=I, where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated emission reductions (component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period;

[h] - index for the number of project activity in GDN component, if more than one activity was carried out at this component in monitoring period (where H is a set embracing all activities in the project scenario at the GDN component in monitoring period);

[g] - index for SPNGL;

[n] - index for EPNGL.

Formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (for each gas, source, etc.; emissions in units of  $CO_2$  equivalent):

Greenhouse gas emissions in the baseline scenario according to a JI specific approach (which is calculated by using the tabular method of the Methodology) are calculated according to the formula:

$$BE_{y} = GWP_{CH_{4}} \cdot ConvFactor \cdot W_{y} \cdot B_{y}$$
(3)

where:

 $BE_{_{\mathcal{Y}}}$  - greenhouse gas emissions in period  $\emph{y}$  of the baseline scenario, t CO $_2$ eq;

 $\mathit{GWP}_{\mathit{CH}_4}$  - global warming potential of methane, tCO2eq/tCH4;



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

 ${\color{blue}W_y}$  - average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period y of the project scenario, %;

 $^{B}_{y}$  – natural gas leaks to the atmosphere in period y of the baseline scenario,  $m^{3}$ ; ConvFactor - volume to weight conversion factor for methane leaks, t  $CH_{4}/m^{3}$   $CH_{4}$ . Under normal conditions - zero degrees Celsius and 0.1013 MPa, ConvFactor =0.0007168 t/ $m^{3}$ .

[y] - index for monitoring period;

 $[CH_4]$  - index for methane.

Natural gas (92-95% of methane) emissions to the atmosphere caused by leaks from gas transportation networks are calculated by the following formula:

$$B_{y} = \sum_{h \in H_{i}} (\sum_{i' \in I'} K_{i'h}^{g} \cdot H_{i'hy}^{g} + \sum_{i'' \in I''} K_{i''}^{n} \cdot H_{i''hy}^{n})$$

(4)

 $K_{i'h}^g$  - natural gas leak factor of i'GDN component in CLP (i.e. corresponding to SPNGL) in the baseline scenario, m³/h;

i" - natural gas leak factor corresponding to EPNGL of GDN component  $K_{i}^{n}$  in the baseline scenario, m $^{3}$ /h;

 $H^{\,g}_{i'hy}$  - time of GDN component operation in CLP under the pressure in period y of the baseline scenario, h;

 $H_{i"hy}^n$  - time of GDN component operation under the pressure from the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal to the end of monitoring period y, h;

[y] - index for monitoring period;

 $\begin{bmatrix}i'\end{bmatrix}$  - index for GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I' (I'+I") = I, where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated no emission reductions (no component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period;

 $\begin{bmatrix}i^*\end{bmatrix}$  - index for GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements  $I^*(I'+I'') = I$ , where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated emission reductions (component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period;



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

[h] - index corresponding to the number of project activity in GDN component, if more than one activity was carried out at this component in monitoring period (where H is a set embracing all activities in the project scenario at the GDN component in monitoring period)

[g] - index for SPNGL;

[n] - index for EPNGL.

### Formulae used to estimate leakage (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

According to the selected JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 and the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard methane leaks at gas distribution networks", developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine to set the baseline (measurement and calculation of methane leaks) and elements of the Approved Clean Development Mechanism Methodology AM0023 Version 4.0, no leakage is expected.

### Formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the project (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):

GHG emission reductions are calculated under the formula that follows:

$$ER_{y} = BE_{y} - PE_{y}; (5)$$

where:

 $ER_{y}$  – greenhouse gas emission reductions in period y, t CO<sub>2</sub>eq;

 $^{BE_{y}}$  - greenhouse gas emissions in period  $\emph{y}$  of the baseline scenario, t CO2eq;

 $PE_y$  - greenhouse gas emissions in period y of the project scenario, t CO<sub>2</sub>eq; [y] - index for monitoring period.

The monitoring plan represents quality control procedures and quality assurance for the monitoring process, which are sufficiently described in tabular form in PDD Sections D.2 and D.3. This includes, where appropriate, provision and submission on request of information about calibration, as well as information about how data are recorded and / or how the applicability of the method and accuracy of data are assured.

The monitoring plan clearly establishes responsibility and authority in respect of monitoring actions. Collection of all the key parameters necessary for monitoring and calculation of greenhouse gases emissions reduction are constantly carried out



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

according to the practice established in PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz". Monitoring under the project does not require changes in existing record-keeping and data collection system.

On the whole, the monitoring report reflects good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type.

The monitoring plan provides a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources (for example, official statistics, experts' opinions, company's own data, IPCC, commercial and scientific literature, etc.) but not including data that are calculated with equasions.

The monitoring plan indicates that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project.

The identified areas of concern as to the monitoring plan, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 28, CAR 29)

### 4.8 Leakage (40-41)

The PDD appropriately describes an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explains which sources of leakage are to be calculated, and which can be neglected.

According to the selected JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 and the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard methane leaks at gas distribution networks", dated 30/04/2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine to set the baseline (measurement and calculation of methane leaks) and elements of the Approved Clean Development Mechanism Methodology AM0023 Version 4.0, the PDD states no leakage is expected.

### 4.9 Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)

The PDD indicates assessment of emissions in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario as the approach chosen to estimate the emission reductions generated by the project.

The PDD provides the ex ante estimates of:

- (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary), which are 360 816 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>eq for 2005-2007, 601 360 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>eq for 2013-2017;
- (b) Leakage is not expected in the project boundary;



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

- (c) Emissions or net removals for the baseline scenario (within the project boundary), which are 1 396 978 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>eq for 2005-2007, 3 258 185 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>eq for 2013-2017;
- (d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (based on (a)-(c) above), which are 1 036 162 tons of  $CO_2$ eq in 2005-2007, 2 656 825 tons of  $CO_2$ eq in 2008-2012, 2 656 825 tons of  $CO_2$ eq in 2013-2017.

The estimates referred to above are given:

- (a) on an annual basis;
- (b) from 01/01/2005 to 31/12/2017, covering the entire crediting period;
- (c) based on primary sources and sources;
- (d) for each GHG, which is CO<sub>2</sub>;
- (e) in tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol.

The formulae used for calculating the estimates referred above are given in Section 4.7. All formulae are consistent throughout the PDD.

To calculate the above estimations such key factors as the Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, as well as key relevant factors such as availability of funds for implementation of measures envisaged by the project, tariffs that are set by the state, modern technology and the ability to implement know-how in gasification sphere, that affect the baseline emissions level, project activity level and level of emissions, as well as risks associated with the project were properly taken into account.

Sources of data that were used for calculation of the above estimations such as documents and archival data of the enterprise, standards and statistical forms, results of annual meter readings, etc. are clearly defined, credible and transparent.

Natural gas leakage factor of GDN component i in CLP  $(K_{i^n}^g)$  and natural gas leak factor corresponding to EPNGL of i GDN component  $(K_{i^n}^n)$  were selected by careful balancing of accuracy and reasonability and justified their choice in appropriate manner.

The estimation referred to above is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

The estimates referred to above are consistent throughout the PDD.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

The annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period is calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period, and multiplying by twelve.

Detailed algorithms of calculations and their results are described in sections B, E and Supporting Documents to the PDD.

The identified areas of concern as to the evaluation of emission reductions, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 30).

### 4.10 Environmental impacts (48)

Sections F.1. and F.2. of the PDD provide information about documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party.

The PDD states that, according to the environmental standards of Ukraine, natural gas emissions into the air are not considered polluting. (The Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine #1598 dated 29/11/2001 "About approval of the list of the most widespread and dangerous polluting substances which emissions are subject to regulation" Therefore no environmental permissions are required for natural gas transportation and supply.

According to the PDD, the only environmental impact is reduction of natural gas emissions into the atmosphere.

In addition, implementation of this Project will improve the gas distribution network operation safety, which, in turn, will lower the possibility of explosions or fires.

Transboundary impacts from the project activity, according to their definition in the text of "Convention on long-range transboundary pollution" ratified by Ukraine, will not take place.

No negative impact is expected as a result of the Project implementation.

The PDD provides opinions and references to supporting documents on environmental impact assessment, which is carried out in accordance with the procedures set by the host Party.

### 4.11 Stakeholder consultation (49)

Consultations were conducted with the specialists of the Institute of General Energy of NAS of Ukraine. No comments from stakeholders were received. The project activity does not provide for any negative environmental or social impact.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

**4.12 Determination regarding small-scale projects (50-57)** Not applicable.

### 4.13 Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) projects (58-64)

Not applicable.

### **4.14 Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)** Not applicable.

## 5 SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES

No comments, pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI Guidelines, were received.

### **6 DETERMINATION OPINION**

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" in Ukraine. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. According to this tool the PDD contains barrier analysis and analysis of common practice to determine that the project activity isn't the baseline scenario.

Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The determination revealed one pending issue related to the current determination stage of the project: the issue of the written approval of the project and authorization of project participants by the host Party (Ukraine). If the written approval by the host Country is provided, it is our opinion that the project as described in the Project Design Document, version 02 dated 08/11/2012 meets all the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the determination stage and the relevant host Country criteria as well as expectations of the stakeholders.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

The review of the project design documentation (version 02 dated 08/11/2012) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfillment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project correctly applies and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

7 REFERENCE

### **Category 1 Documents:**

Documents provided by CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A. that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

<ul> <li>PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 01 dated 24/08/2012</li> <li>PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012</li> <li>Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>Jepporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>Wethodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine</li> <li>Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks".</li> <li>Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.</li> <li>Letter of Approval No.3294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).</li> <li>Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.</li> <li>Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version by surrossion, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"</li> <li>Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.</li> <li>Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>Ukraine's Fourth National Com</li></ul>	directl	y to the GHG components of the project.
points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 01 dated 24/08/2012  PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012  Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  [A] Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  [A] Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  [A] Wethodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  [A] Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  [A] Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  [A] Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  [A] Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  [A] Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  [A] Marrakech Accords, JI M	/1/	PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution
<ul> <li>PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012</li> <li>Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>"Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine</li> <li>Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"</li> <li>Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.</li> <li>Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).</li> <li>Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.</li> <li>Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"</li> <li>Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.</li> <li>Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>Ukraine's Third National Commun</li></ul>	, .,	
<ul> <li>PDD "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012</li> <li>Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>"Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine</li> <li>Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"</li> <li>Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.</li> <li>Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).</li> <li>Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.</li> <li>Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"</li> <li>Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.</li> <li>Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>Ukraine's Third National Commun</li></ul>		pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 01 dated 24/08/2012
points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012  /// Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /// Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /// "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /// Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /// Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Warane's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/2/	
pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz", version 02 dated 08/11/2012  Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  T// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  Kyoto Protocol  Kyoto Protocol  Harmakech Accords, JI Methods  Harmakech Accords, JI Methods  Harmakech Scords, JI Met	121	
<ul> <li>Supporting Document 1. Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet gas distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>"Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine</li> <li>Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"</li> <li>Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.</li> <li>Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).</li> <li>Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.</li> <li>Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"</li> <li>Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.</li> <li>Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>Marrakech Accords, JI Methods</li> <li>Vatiane's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol</li> </ul>		
distribution points, gas fittings, gas distribution networks of JI project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  ///  Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  ///  /// "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  ///  /// Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /// Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /// National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /// Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/2/	
of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /4/  Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /5/  "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/  Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/  Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/  Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/  Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/  Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/  Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/  Kyoto Protocol  /13/  Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/  National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/  Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/  Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/3/	
the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  Jetter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  Kyoto Protocol  Kyoto Protocol  National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /4/ Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /5/ "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
<ul> <li>/4/ Supporting Document 2. Calculation of GHG emissions under the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"</li> <li>/5/ "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine</li> <li>/6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"</li> <li>/7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.</li> <li>/8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).</li> <li>/9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.</li> <li>/10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"</li> <li>/11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.</li> <li>/12/ Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>/13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods</li> <li>/14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010</li> <li>/15/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol</li> <li>/16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol</li> </ul>		
"Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  /5/ "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNT1 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /// Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  //// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /// National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /// Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  //// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/ / /	V
points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /// National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /// Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/4/	
pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"  "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  [77] Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  [88] Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  [9] Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  [10] Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  [11] Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  [12] Kyoto Protocol  [13] Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  [14] National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  [15] Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  [16] Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
/5/ "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	<i>i= '</i>	
networks", registry No. UkrNTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012, developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/5/	
Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  /6/ Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /7/ Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
Report on the scientific and engineering research "Development of methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"		
methodological basics for the calculation of greenhouse gases emission reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /// Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /// National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /// Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		·
reduction by repair of natural gas leaks in gas distribution networks"  /// Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /// Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /// Kyoto Protocol  /// Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /// National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /// Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /// Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/6/	
Letter of Endorsement No.2758/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.    Matrice   Red   R		
Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 26/09/2012.  /8/ Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project dated 24/10/2012 issued by the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/7/	
the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN).  /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.  Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  Kyoto Protocol  Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/8/	'''
/10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		
detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/9/	Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC.
detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"  /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/10/	Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak
/11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	,,	
/11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.  /12/ Kyoto Protocol  /13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		distribution systems and in refinery facilities"
/13/ Marrakech Accords, JI Methods  /14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/11/	Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0.
/14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/12/	Kyoto Protocol
/14/ National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/13/	Marrakech Accords, JI Methods
by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010  /15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol		National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals
/15/ Ukraine's Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/14/	
Protocol  /16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	14 = 1	
/16/ Ukraine's Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol	/15/	
Protocol Clark Cla	1 :	
	/16/	
/17/ Ukraine's Filth Ivational Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto	,	
	/17/	Okraine's Filth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

	Protocol
/18/	Law of Ukraine "On the basis of the natural gas market functioning"
/19/	Law of Ukraine "On Pipeline Transport"
/20/	Decree of the Ministry of Fuel and Energy Industry of Ukraine "On approval of methods for detection of specific losses, technological and production losses of natural gas during gas transportation in gas distribution networks"
/21/	JI Guidelines. Appendix to decision 9/CDM.1
/22/	JI Guidance for determination and verification, version 01
/23/	Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, JISC. Version 03

### **Category 2 Documents:**

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

5.0 C.g.: C	of other reference decaments.
/1/	Decree No.196 on creation of the Working Team on reduction of methane leaks in gas equipment of gas distribution points and gas fittings, flanged, threaded joints of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" within the boundary of the Joint Implementation project dated 19/09/2012
/2/	Certificate of commissioning of gas supply system facility dated 25/08/2003
/3/	Certificate of commissioning of gas regulation point dated 14/12/2004
/4/	Certificate of commissioning of gas regulation point dated 20/03/2005
/5/	List of works performed (certificate) at gas well #17zh
/6/	List of works performed (certificate) at gas well #77zh
/7/	List of works performed (certificate #13) at gas pipeline
/8/	List of works performed (certificate) at gas pipeline r/c 20-21
/9/	List of works performed (certificate #20) at gas pipeline (Leninskyi 2,4)
/10/	List of works performed (certificate #3) at gas pipeline (Kuibyshevskyi)
/11/	Certificate of Mine Interferometer (ShI-11)
/12/	Metering Device Calibration Certificate #2091 (ShI-11), valid till 15/11/2012
/13/	Metering Device Calibration Certificate #2073 (ShI-10), valid till 15/11/2012
/14/	Metering Device Calibration Certificate #2069 (ShI-11), valid till 15/11/2012
L	



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

/15/	Metering Device Calibration Certificate #2072 (ShI-10), valid till 15/11/2012
/16/	Metering Device Calibration Certificate #2074 (ShI-11), valid till 15/11/2012

### **Persons interviewed:**

List of persons interviewed during the determination or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

	Name	Organisation	Title
/1/	O. Shevchuk	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	First Deputy Chairman of the Board, Chief Engineer
/2/	S. Fylypov	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	Head of EPD, Working Team Secretary
/3/	Ye. Kharanfil	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	Head of Emergency Service, Working Team Technologist
/4/	V. Holubkov	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	EPD Engineer, Working Team Engineer
/5/	D. Muzyka	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	Head of Control Department, Working Team Metrologist
/7/	D. Prokhach	"CEP" LLC	CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A. Consultant



### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

### APPENDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL

**BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION HOLDING SAS** 

Check list for determination, according to the JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

(Version 0	1)			
	Check Item  for Users of the JI PDD form	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	General description of the project for the pro			
A.1	Is the title of the project presented?	The title is presented: "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz"	OK	OK
A.1	Is the sectoral scope to which the project pertains presented?	Sectoral scope: Sector 10. Fugitive emissions from fuel (solid fuel, oil and gas)	OK	OK
A.1	Is the current version number of the document presented?	<b>CAR 01.</b> Please indicate the PDD version in Section A.1.	CAR 01	OK
A.1	Is the date when the document was created presented?	The date when the document was created: 08/11/2012.	OK	OK
A.2. Descr	iption of the project			
A.2	Is the purpose of the project included with a concise, summarizing explanation (max. 1-2 pages) of the:  a) Situation existing prior to the starting date of the project	The purpose of the project "Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" is reduction of methane leaks at gas	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	b) Baseline scenario and c) Project scenario (expected outcome, including a technical description)?	transportation and gas distribution infrastructure of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz". These leaks are the result of leaking gas equipment and gas fittings.  The main sources of leaks are gas distribution networks (GDN) components included in the project boundary, namely:  - gas equipment (pressure regulators, valves, filters, switching devices) located at gas distribution points (GDP) and cabinet gas distribution points (CGDP) of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz";  - gas fittings (taps, valves, vents, etc.), located at the gas pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".  Detailed information on the baseline and project scenarios with technical description is provided in Sections A.2 and A.4.2. of the PDD.		
A.2	Is the history of the project (incl. its JI component) briefly summarized?	CAR 02. According to the document confirming the starting date of the project, the project history provided in Section A.2. of the PDD contains incorrect information.  CAR 03. Please provide information on the Letter of Approval from Switzerland submitted to the determination team.	CAR 02 CAR 03	OK OK
A.3. Project	t participants  Are project participants and Party(ies) involved in the project listed?	Parties involved in the project:PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" (Ukraine - the Host Party), CEP Carbon Emissions	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
3 .		Partners S.A. (Switzerland). (Switzerland).		
A.3	Is the data of the project participants presented in tabular format?	The data of the project participants is presented in tabular format.  CAR 04. Please specify the host party in Table of Section A.3.  CAR 05. Please provide information on the type of	CAR 04 CAR 05 CAR 06 CAR 07	OK OK OK OK
		commercial activity of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" in Section A.3.  CAR 06. In PDD Section A.3. please provide USREOU code of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".  CAR 07. In PDD Section A.3. please provide information on rights and liabilities of the parties under the project.		
A.3	Is contact information provided in Annex 1 of the PDD?	Contact information of project participants is provided in Annex 1 of the PDD. <b>CAR 08.</b> Ukrainian legislation requires ERU buyer to be specified in the PDD. Please provide relevant information in Annex 1.	CAR 08	OK
A.3	Is it indicated, if it is the case, that the Party involved is a host Party?	Ukraine is the Host Party.	OK	OK
	cal description of the project			
Location of	· · ·		OK	OK
A.4.1.1	Host Party(ies)	Ukraine is the Host Party.		
A.4.1.2	Region/State/Province etc.	CAR 09. Their is no information on project location in	CAR 09	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
r aragraph		Section A.4.1.2.		
A.4.1.3	City/Town/Community etc.	Donetsk, Ukraine and territories adherent to the city.	OK	OK
A.4.1.4	Detail of the physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the project. (This section should not exceed one page).	Information about location is given in Section A.4.1.4 of the PDD.  CAR 10. Section A.4.1.4. of the PDD indicates that Supporting Document 1 was submitted to the verification team, whereas the project is at the determination stage yet.	CAR 10	OK
A.4.2. Tech		erations or actions to be implemented by the project		
A.4.2	Are the technology(ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project, including all relevant technical data and the implementation schedule described?	PDD Section A.4.2 provides the description of the main stages of the project implementation, the annual project activities schedule, some relevant technical data relating to main equipment to be installed as well as project activities.  Project engineering represents the current cutting-edge practice.	CAR 11 CAR 12 CAR 13 CL 01	OK OK OK
		CAR 11. Item 1 of the Project Schedule provides a list of activities that does not represent the facts. Please delete the irrelevant information.  CAR 12. The Project Schedule states that project activities started in January 2005, whereas the starting date of the project is 14/12/2004.  CAR 13. The total number of GDP (CGDP) included into the project boundary does not correspond to the Project SChedule in PDD Section A.4.2.  CL 01. Please provide information on whether the		



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		manifacturer-supplier of gas equipment under the project was appointed.		
		issions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be red I not occur in the absence of the proposed project, tak		
	toral policies and circumstances	inot occur in the absence of the proposed project, tak	ing into accor	ant national
A.4.3	Is it stated how anthropogenic GHG emission reductions are to be achieved? (This section should not exceed one page)	The project activity encompasses:  - repair (replacement) of GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" gas pipelines with the use of modern sealing materials and modern equipment of the European producers and their analogues of domestic production;  - monitoring of methane leaks aimed at the detection of methane leaks caused by sealing failures;  - further renewal of sealing of GDN components of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".	OK	OK
A.4.3	Is it provided the estimation of emission	The estimation of emission reductions over the	CAR 14	OK
	reductions over the crediting period?	crediting period is provided in Section A.4.3.1. of the PDD.	<b>CAR 15</b>	OK
		CAR 14. Table 2 of PDD Section A.4.3.1 states that	<b>CAR 16</b>	OK
		GHG emission reductions in 2004 are absent. Please delete information on GHG emissions reductions in 2004, since the crediting period starts on 01/01/2005.  CAR 15. Please recalculate the annual average GHG emission reductions taking account of CAR 14.  CAR 16. Section A.4.1.4. of the PDD indicates that	CAR 17	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		Supporting Document 2 was submitted to the verification team, whereas the project is at the determination stage yet. Please make the necessary corrections.  CAR 17. GHG emission reductions should be differentiated between before 2012 and after 2012.		
A.4.3	Is the estimated annual reduction for the chosen credit period in tCO <sub>2</sub> e provided?	The estimated annual reduction for the first commitment period in tCO <sub>2</sub> e is provided, as well as the estimated annual reduction for the period before and after the first commitment period within the project.	OK	OK
A.4.3	Are the data from questions above presented in tabular format?	Information for the credit period and after the credit period is presented in tabular format. Ref. to PDD (Version 02) Tables 2, 3 and 4, Section A.4.3.1.	OK	OK
A.4.3.1. Est	timated amount of emission reductions over	er the crediting period		
A.4.3.1	Is the length of the crediting period Indicated?	The length of the crediting period is indicated in the PDD Section A.4.3.1. and Section C.	OK	OK
A.4.3.1	Are estimates of total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent provided?	Total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent are provided in accordance with the calculated values in the tables of Section A.4.3.1. of PDD and the Supporting Documents.	OK	OK
Project app	provals by Parties			
19	Have the DFPs of all Parties listed as "Parties involved" in the PDD provided written project approvals?	CAR 18. The project has no approval by the Host Party.	CAR 18	Pending decision.



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
r a. a.g. a.p		To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine that includes this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information.  CAR 18 will be closed after the Letter of Approval is issued by the Host Party.		
19	Does the PDD identify at least the host Party as a "Party involved"?	The Host Party involved is Ukraine.	OK	OK
19	Has the DFP of the host Party issued a written project approval?	Reference to CAR 18.	CAR 18	Pending decision.
20	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	Reference to CAR 18.	CAR 18	Pending decision.
Authorizati	ion of project participants by Parties involv	ved		
21	Is each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD authorized by a Party involved, which is also listed in the PDD, through:  - A written project approval by a Party involved, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity?	Party involved 1: Ukraine (the Host Party), legal entity is PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".  Party involved 2: Switzerland, legal entity is CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.  The project participants will be authorized in accordance with the relevant project approvals.	CAR 18	Pending decision.
	<ul> <li>Any other form of project participant authorization in writing, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity?</li> </ul>	Pending CAR 18.		
Baseline so			014	014
22		The baseline chosen is described in Section B.1 of the PDD. A specific JI approach is used for setting the	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	identifying the baseline?  – JI specific approach  – Approved CDM methodology approach	baseline.		
	approach only			
23	Does the PDD provide a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner?	The choice of the applicable baseline for the project is justified; theoretical description is provided in Section B.1 of PDD version 02.  CAR 19. Please indicate the version of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring in Section B.1. of the PDD.  CAR 20. Section B.1. of the PDD states that starting February 2005, when methane leaks were detected repair or replacement of GDP (CGDP) gas equipment, gas fittings of gas pipelines were carried out with the use of modern equipment and materials, in accordance with the project activity, whereas the starting date of the project is in 2004.  CAR 21. Titles of Supporting Documents are switched (Supporting Document 1).  CL 02. Please provide references to the Ukrainian Gas Supply System Safety Rules (UGSSSR) in Section B.1. of the PDD.	CAR 19 CAR 20 CAR 21 CL 02	OK OK OK
23	Does the PDD provide justification that the baseline is established:  (a) By listing and describing plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting	The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline was established:  (a) Identifying plausible future scenarios and choosing the most plausible one. As a result of evaluation of	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	the most plausible one? (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstance?  Are key factors that affect a baseline taken into account? (c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors? (d) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors? (e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure? (f) By drawing on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B to "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", as appropriate?	several alternatives the most plausible of them have been identified and will be used as a baseline:  Alternative 1.1 - Continuation of the current system of leak detection and repair.  Alternative 1.2 -Proposed project activity without the use of the JI mechanism.  (b) Taking into account key factors such as for example technological rules of the sector, Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, and key relevant factors, such as the ability of financing of construction and reconstruction of gas distribution system, tariffs for gas supply, availability of local technologies and methods of the project, skills and experience of implementing similar projects  (c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of JI approach and assumptions, parameters, data sources and key factors for identifying initial conditions listed in tabular format in Section B.1.  (d) Taking into account of uncertainties and using conservative assumptions  (e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure  (f) By drawing on the list of standard variables.  The baseline is identified, the description is given in Section B of the PDD.		
24	If selected elements or combinations of	The baseline assumptions of the developed JI specific	CAR 22	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools for baseline setting are used, are the selected elements or combinations together with the elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 23 above?	approach are clearly described in full in Section B.1 of the PDD version 02.  CAR 22. Please check indexes in formulae provided in Section B.1. of the PDD.  CAR 23. Volume to weight conversion factor for natural gas leaks is incorrect. Please make the necessary corrections.  CAR 24. Please provide information on the average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period y of the project scenario in Table of Section B.1.	CAR 23 CAR 24	OK OK
25	If a multi-project emission factor is used, does the PDD provide appropriate justification?	When setting baseline the following factors are used: natural gas leakage factor of GDN component $i$ in CLP: $\binom{K_{i^*h}^s}{i^*h}$ and natural gas leakage factor corresponding to EPNGL of GDN component $i$ " $\binom{K_{i^*}^n}{i^*h}$ ). Source of data (to be) used "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved through above-standard natural gas leak repair at the gas distribution networks"	OK	OK
CDM method Additionali	odology approach only			
	approach only			
28	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches for demonstrating additionality is used?  (a) Provision of traceable and transparent information showing the baseline was	The PDD indicates that the project scenario is not a part of the established baseline scenario. It is also stated that the project will lead to emission reductions. Additionality of the project activity is demonstrated and assessed in Section B.2. of the PDD using the "Tool for	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	identified on the basis of conservative assumptions, that the project scenario is not part of the identified baseline scenario and that the project will lead to emission reductions or enhancements of removals (b) Provision of traceable and transparent information that an AIE has already positively determined that a comparable project (to be) implemented under comparable circumstances has additionality (c) Application of the most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality. (allowing for a two-month grace period) or any other method for proving additionality approved by the CDM Executive Board".	the demonstration and assessment of additionality" (Version 06.0.0)		
29 (a)	Does the PDD provide a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description?	Detailed analysis described in Section A.4.3, B.1 and B.2, shows that emissions of the baseline scenario are likely to exceed emissions of the project scenario due to the implementation of project activities.	OK	OK
29 (b)	Are additionality proofs provided?	Yes. Refer to section B.2. of the PDD.	OK	OK
29 (c)	Is the additionality demonstrated appropriately as a result?	The fact that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario is clearly demonstrated in sections A.2, B.1, B.2 of the PDD.	OK	OK
30	If the approach 28 (c) is chosen, are all	All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	explanations, descriptions and analyses made in accordance with the selected tool or method?			
	CDM methodology approach only_ Paragra			
	undary (applicable except for JI LULUCF p	rojects)		
	approach only	T	014	014
32 (a)	Does the project boundary defined in the PDD encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are: (i) Under the control of the project participants? (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project? (iii) Significant?	The project boundary defined in the PDD encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are:  (i) Under the control of the project participants, such as:  - technological natural gas losses during scheduled repair of gas pipelines;  (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as:  - methane leaks at gas fittings of house distribution networks;  (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent, whichever is lower:  - leaks at gas equipment (pressure controllers, valves, filters, etc.) of gas	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		distribution points (cabinet-type gas distribution points);		
		<ul> <li>leaks in gas fittings (faucets, valves, etc.), located in gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".</li> <li>Only methane leaks of type (iii) are included in the JI Project boundary:</li> </ul>		
32 (b)	Is the project boundary defined on the basis of a case-by-case assessment with regard to the criteria referred to in 32 (a) above?	Project boundary is defined on the basis of case-by- case assessment of different emission sources.	OK	OK
32 (c)	Are the delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included appropriately described and justified in the PDD by using a figure or flow chart if it is possible?	The project boundary is presented in a graphic form (Figure 3) and is understandable enough so that there is no need of tabular presentation.	OK	OK
32 (d)	Are all gases and sources included explicitly stated, and the exclusions of any sources related to the baseline or the project are appropriately justified?	All gases and sources included are explicitly stated. Ref. to Section B of PDD version 02.	OK	OK
Approved Crediting p	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra	ph 33_ Not applicable		
34 (a)	Does the PDD state the starting date of the project as the date on which the implementation or construction or real	According to the Guidelines for users of JI PDD form (version 04), the starting date of the project is the date on which the implementation or construction or real	CAR 25	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
3 -1	action of the project will begin or began?	action of the project begins. <b>CAR 25.</b> The starting date of the projectindicated in the PDD does not correspond to the date specified in the document submitted to the verification team as confirmation of the project starting date.		
34 (a)	Is the starting date after 2000?	The starting date is after 2000.	OK	OK
34 (b)	Does the PDD state the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months?	<b>CAR 26.</b> The estimated operational lifetime of the project is incorrect (number of months).	CAR 26	OK
34 (c)	Does the PDD state the length of the crediting period in years and months?	The length of the crediting period in years and months is stated in Section C.3. <b>CAR 27.</b> The length of the crediting period is incorrect (number of months).	CAR 27	OK
34 (c)	Is the starting date of the crediting period on or after the date of the first emission reductions or enhancements of net removals generated by the project?	The starting date of the crediting period is on the date when the first emission reductions are expected to be generated, i.e. 01/01/2005.	OK	OK
34 (d)	Does the PDD state that the crediting period for issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project?	ERU generation belongs to the first commitment period of 5 years (January 1, 2008 – December 31, 2012).	OK	OK
34 (d)	If the crediting period extends beyond 2012, does the PDD state that the extension is subject to the host Party approval?  Are the estimates of emission reductions or	The PDD states that the prolongation of the crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to approval of the host party and estimation of emission reductions is presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in the relevant sections of the PDD.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	enhancements of net removals presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012?	If after the first commitment period under the Kyoto protocol it is prolonged, the crediting period under the project will be prolonged by 5 years/60 months until December 31, 2017.		
Monitoring				
35	Does the PDD clearly indicate which of the following approaches is used?  – JI specific approach  – Approved CDM methodology approach.	The proposed project uses a JI-specific approach in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", Version 03.	OK	OK
JI specific	approach only			
36 (a)	Does the monitoring plan describe: - All relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored? - The period in which they will be monitored? - All decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance?	The monitoring plan specifies all decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance: quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures; operational and management structures that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.	OK	OK
36 (b)	Does the monitoring plan specify the indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored?	The monitoring plan specifies indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancement of net removals to be monitored.  Data to be monitored are presented in PDD Section D.  CAR 28. Please provide identification symbols for each parameter in tables of Section D.2. of the PDD.	CAR 28	OK
36 (b)	If defailt values are used: - Are accuracy and reasonableness carefully balanced in their selection?	Default values are provided in the table of Annex 3 to the PDD. They originate from recognized sources and are presented in a transparent manner.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<ul> <li>Do the default values originate from recognized sources?</li> <li>Are the default values supported by statistical analyses providing reasonable confidence levels?</li> <li>Are the default values presented in a transparent manner?</li> </ul>			
36 (b) (i)	For those values that are to be provided by the project participants, does the monitoring plan clearly indicate how the values are to be selected and justified?	The monitoring plan clearly indicates how the values are to be selected and justified.	OK	OK
36 (b) (ii)	For other values, - Does the monitoring plan clearly indicate the precise references from which these values are taken? - Is the conservativeness of the values provided justified?	The monitoring plan clearly indicates the precise references from which these values are taken, and the conservativeness of the values provided is duly justified.	OK	OK
36 (b) (iii)	For all data sources, does the monitoring plan specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable?	Refer to Section D of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (b) (iv)	Are International System Unit (SI units) used?	The International System Units are used for some parameters.	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Does the monitoring plan note any parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. that are used to calculate baseline emissions or net removals but are obtained through monitoring?	Relevant data necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases within the project boundary is presented in table D.1.1.3. of the PDD.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
36 (b) (v)	Is the use of parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan?	The use of parameters, coefficients and variables is consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan.	OK	ОК
36 (c)	Does the monitoring plan draw on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"?	The monitoring plan is identified on the basis of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring.	OK	ОК
36 (d)	Does the monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguish:  (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination?  (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of determination?  (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period?	Monitoring plan explicitly distinguishes between all these three types of data and parameters. Refer to Section D.1. of the PDD.  (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination.  (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period.  (iii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of determination.	OK	ОК
36 (e)	Does the monitoring plan describe the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording?	In tables of parameters provided in section D.1.1.1. of the PDD the time of monitoring (frequency) and the source of data to be used, as well as recording method	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
J .		are indicated for all the monitored parameters and data.		
36 (f)	Does the monitoring plan elaborate all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions/removals and project emissions/removals or direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project, leakage, as appropriate?	All algorithms and formulae used for the estimation of baseline and project emissions are indicated and explained in the PDD.The description of formulae is given in Section D of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (f) (i)	Is the underlying rationale for the algorithms/formulae explained?	Refer to Section 36 (f) of this table.	OK	OK
36 (f) (ii)	Are consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. used?	Consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. are used.	OK	OK
36 (f) (iii)	Are all equations numbered?	Yes, all equations are numbered.	OK	OK
36 (f) (iv)	Are all variables, with units indicated defined?	Yes. Refer to section D of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (f) (v)	Is the conservativeness of the algorithms/procedures justified?	Yes, algorithms/procedures comply with state norms and are conservative.	OK	OK
36 (f) (v)	To the extent possible, are methods to quantitatively account for uncertainty in key parameters included?	Uncertainty in parameters used is low taking into account the algorithms of data monitoring.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vi)	Is consistency between the elaboration of the baseline scenario and the procedure for calculating the emissions or net removals of the baseline ensured?	There is consistency between the elaboration on the baseline scenario and calculating the baseline emission in the monitoring plan and in tables.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are any parts of the algorithms or formulae that are not self-evident explained?	The formulae used in the PDD are sufficiently described.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
36 (f) (vii)	Is it justified that the procedure is consistent with standard technical procedures in the relevant sector?	Monitoring under the project does not require changes in existing accounting system and data collection existing in PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" practice.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are references provided as necessary?	References to corresponding rules and regulatory documents of the Host Party are provided.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are implicit and explicit key assumptions explained in a transparent manner?	All key assumptions are explained in a transparent manner.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is it clearly stated which assumptions and procedures have significant uncertainty associated with them, and how such uncertainty is to be addressed?	N/A	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is the uncertainty of key parameters described and, where possible, is an uncertainty range at 95% confidence level for key parameters for the calculation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals provided?	Equipment for methane leak measurement in gas transported by GDNs of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" calibrated and verified in accordance with the procedures for quality control	OK	OK
36 (g)	Does the monitoring plan identify a national or international monitoring standard if such standard has to be and/or is applied to certain aspects of the project?  Does the monitoring plan provide a reference as to where a detailed description of the standard can be found?	The monitoring plan was set in accordance with the national rules and standards.	OK	OK
36 (h)	Does the monitoring plan document statistical techniques, if used for	Yes.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	monitoring, and that they are used in a conservative manner?			
36 (i)	Does the monitoring plan present the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, including, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available upon request?	Verification (calibration) of measurement devices is carried out in accordance with manufacturer's manuals, aproved methodologies on metering devices verification/calibration, as well as with the state standards of Ukraine.	OK	OK
36 (j)	Does the monitoring plan clearly identify the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities?	<b>CAR 29.</b> As per Decree No.196 dated 19/09/2012, the position of Working Team Coordinator mentioned in Section D.3. of the PDD is absent.	CAR 29	OK
36 (k)	Does the monitoring plan, on the whole, reflect good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type?  If it is a JI LULUCF project, is the good practice guidance developed by IPCC applied?	Monitoring plan includes the following sections:  1. The programme of initial monitoring measurements of methane leaks in GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fitting of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".  2. The monitoring map of methane leak measurements in GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fitting of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".  3. Methodology of methane leak detection.  4. Guidance on collection and archiving of monitoring measurement data.	OK	OK
36 (I)	Does the monitoring plan provide, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the	Tables D.1.1.1 and D.1.1.3 provide compilation of all data needed to monitor project and baseline emissions.	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources but not including data that are calculated with equations?			
36 (m)	Does the monitoring plan indicate that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project?	Data to be monitored and required for determination will be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project.	OK	OK
37	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools are used for establishing the monitoring plan, are the selected elements or combination, together with elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 36 above?	Yes, the baseline was set using selected elements of approved CDM methodology. The selected elements and combinations with additional elements that were additionally developed by the project participants are in line with requirements of paragraph 36 above.	OK	ОК
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra to both JI specific approach and approved	` , , , ,		
39		No periods to overlap during the crediting period are expected.	OK	OK
	(a) Is the underlying project composed of clearly identifiable components for which emission reductions or enhancements of			



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	removals can be calculated independently? (b) Can monitoring be performed independently for each of these components (i.e. the data/parameters monitored for one component are not dependent on/effect data/parameters to be monitored for another component)?			
	(c) Does the monitoring plan ensure that monitoring is performed for all components and that in these cases all the requirements of the JI guidelines and further guidance by the JISC regarding monitoring are met?			
	(d) Does the monitoring plan explicitly provide for overlapping monitoring periods of clearly defined project components, justify its need and state how the conditions mentioned in (a)-(c) are met?			
Leakage JI specific	approach only			
40 (a)	Does the PDD appropriately describe an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explain which sources of leakage are to be calculated and which can be neglected?	According to the selected JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 and the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse"	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard methane leaks at gas distribution networks", developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine to set the baseline (measurement and calculation of methane leaks) and elements of the Approved Clean Development Mechanism Methodology AM0023 Version 4.0, no leakage is expected.		
40 (b)	Does the PDD provide a procedure for an ex ante estimate of leakage?	The PDD states that there isn't any leakage.	OK	OK
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra			
42	following approaches it chooses?  (a) Assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario  (b) Direct assessment of emission reductions	In the PDD the approach of estimation of emissions in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario is indicated.  CAR 30. Information on the total amount of emission reductions is incorrect.	CAR 30	OK
43	If the approach (a) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of:  (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)?  (b) Leakage, as applicable?  (c) Emissions or net removals for the	PDD provides estimates of:  (a) Emissions in the project scenario (Section E.1)  (b) Leakage (Section E.2)  (c) Emissions in the baseline scenario (Section E.4)  (d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (Section E.6).	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	baseline scenario (within the project boundary)? (d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?			
44	If the approach (b) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of:  (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)?  (b) Leakage, as applicable?  (d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?	N/A	N/A	N/A
45	For both approaches in 42  (a) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 given:  (i) On a periodic basis?  (ii) At least from the beginning until the end of the crediting period?  (iii) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis?  (iv) For each GHG?  (v) In tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent, using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol?	<ul> <li>(a) Estimates in 43 are given on the periodic basis, in tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, on a source-by-source basis, before, during and after the crediting period.</li> <li>(b) The formulae used in PDD are consistent.</li> <li>(c) Key factors influencing baseline emissions and activity level of the project and risks associated with the project are taken into account, as appropriate.</li> <li>(d) Data sources used to calculate the estimates are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.</li> <li>(e) Emission factors were taken from the defined sources.</li> <li>(f) Estimation in 43 is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenario in a transparent manner.</li> <li>(g) Estimates in 43 are consistent throughout the PDD.</li> </ul>	OK	OK



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<ul> <li>(b) Are the formulae used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD?</li> <li>(c) For calculating estimates in 43 or 44, are key factors influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or net removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?</li> <li>(d) Are data sources used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 clearly identified, reliable and transparent?</li> <li>(e) Are emission factors (including default emission factors) if used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?</li> <li>(f) Is the estimation in 43 or 44 based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?</li> <li>(g) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD?</li> <li>(h) Is the annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals calculated by dividing the</li> </ul>	(h) The annual average of estimated emission reductions are calculated correctly (by dividing the total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve).		



Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve?			
46	If the calculation of the baseline emissions or net removals is to be performed de facto, does the PDD include an illustrative forecasted emissions or net removals calculation?	Baseline emissions are calculated based on the JI-specific approach, based on the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard methane leaks at gas distribution networks" and approved Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities" Forecasted emissions calculation is clearly provided in the PDD.	OK	OK
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra ntal impacts	phs 47(a) – 47(b)_Not applicable		
48 (a)	Does the PDD list and attach documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party?	The environmental impacts of the project have been sufficiently described	OK	OK
48 (b)	If the analysis in 48 (a) indicates that the environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, does the PDD provide	No negative impact is expected as a result of the project implementation.	OK	OK



#### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	conclusion and all references to Supporting Documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party?			
	er consultations	Consultations were soundwated with the energialists of	OK	OK
49	If stakeholder consultation was undertaken in accordance with the procedure as required by the host Party, does the PDD provide:  (a) A list of stakeholders from whom comments on the projects have been received, if any?  (b) The nature of the comments?	Consultations were conducted with the specialists of the Institute of General Energy of NAS of Ukraine. No comments from stakeholders were received. The project activity does not provide for any negative environmental or social impact.	OK	OK
	(c) A description on whether and how the comments have been addressed?			

Determination regarding small-scale projects (additional elements for assessment)

Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry projects (additional/alternative elements for assessment)

Determination regarding programmes of activities (additional/alternative elements for assessment)



#### **DETERMINATION REPORT**

#### TABLE 2 RESOLUTION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLARIFICATION REQUESTS

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CAR 01.</b> Please indicate the PDD version in Section A.1.	A.1	PDD Version - 02. Relevant information is provided in Section A.1. of PDD version 02.	The issue is closed as relevant information is provided.
<b>CAR 02.</b> According to the document confirming the starting date of the project, the project history provided in Section A.2. of the PDD contains incorrect information.	A.2	Section A.2. of the PDD was corrected in regards to the document confirming the starting date of the project. Refer to section A.2. PDD version 02.	The issue is closed as corresponding corrections are made.
CAR 03. Please provide information on the Letter of Approval from Switzerland submitted to the determination team.	A.2	24/10/2012 - Letter of Approval No.J294-0495 for the JI project was obtained from the Federal Office for the Environment of Switzerland (FOEN). The relevant information is provided in Sections A.2. and A.5. of the PDD.	The issue is closed as relevant information is provided.
<b>CAR 04.</b> Please specify the host party in Table of Section A.3.	A.3	Ukraine is the host party. Relevant information is provided in Section A.3. of the PDD.	The information is provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 05. Please provide information on the type of commercial activity of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" in Section A.3.	A.3	The type of economic activity according to the standard industrial classification of economic activities: 40.22.0 Gas distribution and supply 45.33.3 Gas pipeline works 45.21.4 Construction of local pipelines, communications and power lines	The issue is closed as relevant information is added.



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CAR 06.</b> In PDD Section A.3. please provide USREOU code of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz".	A.3	USREOU Code: 03361081.	The issue is closed as relevant information is provided.
CAR 07. In PDD Section A.3. please provide information on rights and liabilities of the parties under the project.	A.3	PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz» is responsible for the design, construction and installation work performed by its own staff or through contractors. It finances the project and does not receive any income.  CEP Carbon Emissions P artners S.A. is a research and engineering organization. It is responsible for the development of project design documents for the joint implementation project. Besides, it will participate in determination, monitoring and verification of the project.	The issue is closed as relevant information is provided.
<b>CAR 08.</b> Ukrainian legislation requires ERU buyer to be specified in the PDD. Please provide relevant information in Annex 1.	A.3	Relevant information is provided in Annex 1 of the PDD.	The issue is closed as relevant information is added.
<b>CAR 09.</b> There is no information on project location in Section A.4.1.2.	A.4.1.2.	The project is located in Donetsk, Ukraine and territories adherent to the city.	Relevant information is provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 10. Section A.4.1.4. of the PDD indicates that Supporting Document 1 was submitted to the verification team, whereas	A.4.1.4.	Supporting Document 1 has been submitted to the determination team. Relevant corrections have been made	The issue is closed as relevant information is added.



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
the project is at the determination stage yet.		in Section A.4.1.4. of the PDD version 02.	
CAR 11. Item 1 of the Project Schedule provides a list of activities that does not represent the facts. Please delete the irrelevant information.	A.4.2	Irrelevant information was deleted. Ref. to Section A.4.2. PDD version 02.	The information has been deleted, the issue is closed.
CAR 12. The Project Schedule states that project activities started in January 2005, whereas the starting date of the project is 14/12/2004.	A.4.2	Relevant corrections have been made in regards to the start of the project activities. Ref. to Section A.4.2. of the PDD version 02.	The issue is closed as corresponding changes are made.
<b>CAR 13.</b> The total number of GDP (CGDP) included into the project boundary does not correspond to the Project Schedule in PDD Section A.4.2.	A.4.2	Relevant corrections were made in regards to the Project Schedule in Section A.4.2. of the PDD. Ref. to Section A.4.2. of the PDD version 02.	The issue is closed as corresponding changes are made.
<b>CAR 14.</b> Table 2 of PDD Section A.4.3.1 states that GHG emission reductions in 2004 are absent. Please delete information on GHG emissions reductions in 2004, since the crediting period starts on 01/01/2005.	A.4.3	Irrelevant information was deleted. Ref. to Section A.4.3.1. of the PDD.	The information has been deleted, the issue is closed.
CAR 15. Please recalculate the annual average GHG emission reductions taking account of CAR 14.	A.4.3	Annual average of estimated GHG emission reductions has been recalculated for the crediting period of 2005-2007.	The issue is closed as corresponding changes are made.
<b>CAR 16.</b> Section A.4.1.4. of the PDD indicates that Supporting Document 2 was	A.4.3	Supporting Document 2 has been submitted to the determination team.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
submitted to the verification team, whereas the project is at the determination stage yet. Please make the necessary corrections.		Ref. to Section A.4.3.1. PDD version 02.	
<b>CAR 17.</b> GHG emission reductions should be differentiated between before 2012 and after 2012.	A.4.3	The tables have been corrected. Ref. to PDD version 02.	The tables have been corrected, the issue is closed.
CAR 18. The project has no approval by the Host Party.	19	To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine that includes this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information.	
<b>CAR 19.</b> Please indicate the version of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring in Section B.1. of the PDD.	23	For baseline setting (identifying and calculation of methane leaks) the proposed project uses a JI-specific approach in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", Version 03.	
CAR 20. Section B.1. of the PDD states that starting 2005, when methane leaks were detected repair or replacement of GDP (CGDP) gas equipment, gas fittings of gas pipelines were carried out with the use of modern equipment and materials, in accordance with the project activity, whereas the starting date of the project is in 2004.	23	Starting December 2004, when methane leaks were detected repair or replacement of GDP (CGDP) gas equipment, gas fittings of gas pipelines were carried out with the use of modern equipment and materials, in accordance with the project activity.	l



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
CAR 21. Titles of Supporting Documents are switched (Supporting Document 1).	24	Complete information on all GDN components (GDP, CGDP gas equipment, shut-down and control valves of pipelines) included into the project boundary is provided in the Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet-type gas distribution point and gas fittings of gas ditribution networks of the JI project"Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" (Supporting Document 1).	corresponding corrections are
CAR 22. Please check indexes in formulae provided in Section B.1. of the PDD.	24	Indexes have been checked. Relevant corrections have been made. Ref. to PDD version 02.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 23. Volume to weight conversion factor for natural gas leaks is incorrect. Please make the necessary corrections.	24	ConvFactor - volume to weight conversion factor for methane leaks, t CH <sub>4</sub> /m³ CH <sub>4</sub> . Under normal conditions - zero degrees Celsius and 0.1013 MPa, ConvFactor = 0.0007168 t/m³. Relevant correcions have been made in the PDD version 03.	The issue is closed as corresponding changes are made.



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CAR 24.</b> Please provide information on the average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period y of the project scenario in Table of Section B.1.	24	Relevant information is provided in Section B.1. PDD version 02.	The information is provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 25. The starting date of the projectindicated in the PDD does not correspond to the date specified in the document submitted to the verification team as confirmation of the project starting date.	34(a)	Purposes of the project activity: 14/12/2004 – the date when PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" commenced repair works at GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings, flanged and threaded joints of gas distribution networks of PJSC "Donetskmiskgaz" under the JI project.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 26.</b> The estimated operational lifetime of the project is incorrect (number of months).	34 (b)	Expected operational lifetime of the project is 13 years, or 156 months, from 01/01/2005 to 31/12/2017 if the Kyoto Protocol is prolonged.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 27.</b> The length of the crediting period is incorrect (number of months).	34 (c)	The length of the crediting period is 8 years, or 96 months.	The issue is closed as relevant information is provided.
<b>CAR 28.</b> Please provide identification symbols for each parameter in tables of Section D.2. of the PDD.	36 (b)	The information has been brought into compliance. See the latest PDD version.	Relevant information has been corrected accordingly. The issue is closed.
<b>CAR 29.</b> As per Decree No.196 dated 19/09/2012, the position of Working Team Coordinator mentioned in Section D.3. of the PDD is absent.	36 (j)	It is Secretary that is responsible for storage, archiving and backup of data relating to the JI project.	The issue is closed as corresponding changes are made.
<b>CAR 30.</b> Information on the total amount of emission reductions is incorrect.	42	Information on the total amount of emission reductions has been verified.	The issue is closed as corresponding corrections are



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		Correct values are provided.	made.
<b>CL 01.</b> Please provide information on whether the manifacturer-supplier of gas equipment under the project was appointed.	A.4.2	During implementation of the Project producers of gas equipment that is used for prevention of methane leaks can be changed upon the creation of more modern and perfect technologies and equipment in the market.	information is provided.
<b>CL 02.</b> Please provide references to the Ukrainian Gas Supply System Safety Rules (UGSSSR) in Section B.1. of the PDD.	23	Relevant reference has been provided. See Section B.1. of the PDD.	The issue is closed as relevant reference is provided.