

# VERIFICATION REPORT SIA "VIDZEME EKO"

# VERIFICATION OF THE WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP

INITIAL AND FIRST PERIODIC FOR 05/05/2008-30/09/2012 REPORT NO. UKRAINE-VER/0772/2012 REVISION NO. 01

### BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION



Date of first issue: 19/10/2012	Organizational un Bureau Veri Holding SAS	tas Certificati	on	
Client: SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	Client ref.: Victor Tkach			
Summary: Bureau Veritas Certification has made the mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling in Volodarsk urban village of Sverdlovsk Ukraine, and applying JI specific approad to provide for consistent project operation Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalitie well as the host country criteria. The verification scope is defined as a per Entity of the monitored reductions in GH following three phases: i) desk review of monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews w issuance of the final verification repor Verification Report & Opinion, was condu The first output of the verification proc Actions Requests (CR, CAR and FAR), p In summary, Bureau Veritas Certification approved project design documents. Ins runs reliably and is calibrated appropriat GHG emission reductions. The GHG em omissions, or misstatements, and the I monitoring period from 05/05/2008 to tCO2eq for 01/01/2009-31/12/2009, 14 01/01/2011-31/12/2011, 1073050 tCO2ect Our opinion relates to the project's GHe related to the approved project baseline a	e initial, 1 <sup>st</sup> period and processing borough cound is, on the basis of ans, monitoring an es and the subset iodic independen IG emissions du of the monitoring with project stake t and opinion. cted using Burea ess is a list of resented in Appe confirms that the stalled equipment tely. The monito ission reduction ERUs issued to 30/09/2012 (81) 135570 tCO2eq of or 01/01/2012	dic, verification of through CEP", of UNFCCC crite of UNFCCC crite and reporting. UN equent decisions of review and ex- ring defined ver- report against eholders; iii) res The overall ver- au Veritas Certif Clarification, C endix A. e project is impli- to being essent ring system is i is calculated a talize 6 214 76 2401 tCO2eq for for 01/01/201 -30/09/2012).	project of SIA gion and Tore eria for the JI, IFCCC criteria is by the JI Su c post determination project design solution of out erification, from ication internation orrective Action emented as pro- ial for generation n place and the ccurately and 1 tonnes of for 05/05/2000 0-31/12/2010	A "Vidzeme Eko" located az town, Donetsk region a sell as criteria given a refer to Article 6 of the pervisory Committee, as ination by the Accredited od, and consisted of the gn and the baseline and tstanding issues and the om Contract Review to al procedures. ions Requests, Forward blanned and described in ating emission reduction the project is generating d without material errors, CO2 equivalent for the 08-31/12/2008, 1459770 0, 1433970 tCO2eq for reductions reported and
UKRAINE-ver/0772/2012 J Project title: Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processi CEP Work carried out by:				
Vyacheslav Yeriomin – Team Lea verifier Volodymyr Kulish – Team Leader, Ve				
Work reviewed by: Ivan Sokolov - Technical Reviewer Dmytro Balyn – technical specialis Work approved by: Ivan Sokolov - Operational Manag	r///	Client or re	esponsible or	permission from the ganizational unit
25/10/2012 01 21		Unrestricte	ed distribution	1

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP

#### Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Objective	3
1.2	Scope	3
1.3	Verification Team	3
2	METHODOLOGY	4
2.1	Review of Documents	4
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	4
2.3	Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests	5
3	VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS	6
3.1	Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications	6
3.2	Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)	6
3.3	Project implementation (92-93)	6
3.4	Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology	8
2 E	(94-98) Revision of monitoring plan (00, 100)	8 9
3.5	Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)	9
3.6	Data management (101)	-
3.7	Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)	9
4	VERIFICATION OPINION	9
5	REFERENCES	12
APPE	ENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL	14



#### Page

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

SIA "Vidzeme Eko" has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to verify the emissions reductions of its JI project "Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processing through CEP" (hereafter called "the project") at Volodarsk urban village of Sverdlovsk borough council, Luhansk region and Torez town, Donetsk region Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the verification of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

#### 1.1 Objective

Verification is the periodic independent review and ex post determination by the Accredited Independent Entity of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions during defined verification period.

The objective of verification can be divided in Initial Verification and Periodic Verification.

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

#### 1.2 Scope

The verification scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study, monitoring plan and monitoring report, and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The verification is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications, corrective and/or forward actions may provide input for improvement of the project monitoring towards reductions in the GHG emissions.

#### 1.3 Verification Team

The verification team consists of the following personnel:

Vyacheslav Yeriomin Bureau Veritas Certification	Team Leader, Climate Change Verifier
Volodymyr Kulish Bureau Veritas Certification	Climate Change Verifier

This verification report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



Bureau Veritas Certification,Internal Technical ReviewerDmytro BalynBureau Veritas Certification,Technical Specialist

#### 2 METHODOLOGY

The overall verification, from Contract Review to Verification Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a verification protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from verifying the identified criteria. The verification protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent verification process where the verifier will document how a particular requirement has been verified and the result of the verification.

The completed verification protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

#### 2.1 Review of Documents

The Monitoring Report (MR) submitted by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Project Design Document (PDD), and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Host party criteria, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Verification Requirements to be Checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

The verification findings presented in this report relate to the Monitoring Report version(s) 2.0 and project as described in the determined PDD.

#### 2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 16/10/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of "UTP Invest" Ltd and SIA "Vidzeme Eko" were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### Table 1 Interview topics

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
"UTP Invest" Ltd	<ul> <li>Organizational structure</li> <li>Responsibilities and authorities</li> <li>Roles and responsibilities for data collection and</li> <li>processing</li> <li>Installation of equipment</li> <li>Data logging, archiving and reporting</li> <li>Metering equipment control</li> <li>Metering record keeping system, database</li> <li>IT management</li> <li>Training of personnel</li> <li>Quality management procedures and technology</li> <li>Internal audits and check-ups</li> </ul>
CONSULTANT: SIA "Vidzeme Eko"	<ul> <li>Baseline methodology</li> <li>Monitoring plan</li> <li>Monitoring report</li> <li>Excel spreadsheets</li> </ul>

# 2.3 Resolution of Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the verification is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the GHG emission reduction calculation.

If the Verification Team, in assessing the monitoring report and supporting documents, identifies issues that need to be corrected, clarified or improved with regard to the monitoring requirements, it should raise these issues and inform the project participants of these issues in the form of:

(a) Corrective action request (CAR), requesting the project participants to correct a mistake that is not in accordance with the monitoring plan;

(b) Clarification request (CL), requesting the project participants to provide additional information for the Verification Team to assess compliance with the monitoring plan;

(c) Forward action request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue, relating to the monitoring that needs to be reviewed during the next verification period.

The Verification Team will make an objective assessment as to whether the actions taken by the project participants, if any, satisfactorily resolve the issues raised, if any, and should conclude its findings of the verification.

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the verification protocol in Appendix A.

#### **3 VERIFICATION CONCLUSIONS**

In the following sections, the conclusions of the verification are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original monitoring documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification, Corrective and Forward Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Verification Protocol in Appendix A. The verification of the Project resulted in 6 Corrective Action Requests, 1 Clarification Requests, and 0 Forward Action Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section corresponds to the DVM paragraph.

#### 3.1 Remaining issues and FARs from previous verifications

There is no FAR available from determination process, provided by Bureau Veritas Certification.

#### 3.2 **Project approval by Parties involved (90-91)**

The project was approved by both Parties Involved. Letter of Approval #3082/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of Approval 12.2-02/13625 dated 12/10/2012 issued by Ministry of Environment protection and regional development of Republic Latvia

The abovementioned written approval is unconditional.

#### 3.3 **Project implementation (92-93)**

Proposed project consists in full dismantling of waste heaps with sorting and enrichment of obtained coal containing rock mass.

Boundaries of proposed project cover waste heap of Volodarska mine and waste heap of ATZT in Volodarsk urban village of Sverdlovsk borough council, Luhansk region, waste heap of CJSC "Ugolinvest" in Torez town, Donetsk region and enrichment plant "Tandem 2006". "UTP INVEST" Ltd buys coal containing rock mass from waste heaps and processes it at enrichment plant "Tandem 2006", on sub-contract relations basis. Enrichment plant "Tandem 2006" is equipped by chemical laboratory, which provides analysis of coal concentrate in the project. Stuff and equipment of "Arsenal-Don" LLC is used for waste heaps dismantling and

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



coal containing rock mass transporting on sub-contract basis. Contract documents highlighted relations between enterprises, which are involved in the project, are listed in the table 2 Category 2 documents.

Technologies employed in the project activity are described below Bulldozers rise to the top of the dump on its tail section. Dismantling of dump with bulldozers is carried by horizontal layers, after lowering the height of dump to 25-30 m, allowed dismantling by slope (15 °) layers. A combined method for the dump dismantling is used, when after decline by bulldozers to lower layer height, in which entrance road can be constructed, further dismantling is carried out by excavators with direct loading rock into vehicles (dump trucks).

On the second stage, the rock mass is delivered to the enrichment plant "Tandem-2006" for further enrichment. The rock mass is supplied to the inertial screening sifter for the pre-classification by class of 100 mm. After the pre-classification, the coal mass delivered to the preparatory screening to sifter GIL-52a by dry or wet mode. Beneficiation of large class 13 mm is made on heavy media separator STK 32-55010, and beneficiation of small class 3-13 mm - at hydrocyclone GTSM-63011. Next, washing of the suspension of beneficiation products and dehydrating products by dressing screens and centrifuge take place, regeneration suspension at electromagnetic separator. Thus the water in this process is used in closed loop. Beneficiation products (coal concentrate) are transported by conveyor belt into bins for further shipment to the consumer. Waste is transported to the flat dump.

The project capacity of the complex allows to process 3 800 000 m3 of the rocks per year.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures are provided in the section A.4.1.4.

Main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the section A.4.2 of the PDD.

Data on waste heaps such a geographical coordinates, mass value of containing rocks, physical measures, main work characteristics of heavy transporting vehicles and equipment of coal beneficiation plant are provided in the PDD.

Waste heap dismantling and coal benefication was started in 2008 year. Crediting period for ERUs generation started 05/05/2008.

Level of project activity is depended by coal demand at Ukrainian market. Project owner doesn't keep coal at warehouses and produce beneficiated rock mass as when necessary.

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



Project boundaries described in the determined PDD are kept; coal from another waste heaps doesn't uses in project.

Difference between estimated emission reductions indicated in the PDD and provided in the Monitoring report is not observed. Factually PDDs calculations are performed ex-post for monitoring.

Identified problem areas for project implementation status, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR01, CL01)

# 3.4 Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology (94-98)

For calculating the emission reductions, key factors, such as availability of work power and financing, seasonal coal requirement on Ukraine inside market, prices of diesel fuel and electric energy, influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating emission reductions, such as work forecasts, bookkeepers invoices, laboratory analysis samples, work logbooks are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Emission factors, including default emission factors, are selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice. Default emission factors, such as emission factor for electricity consumption, carbon content in diesel fuel and coal, are in line with Ukraine National GHG Inventory report for 1990-2010 years.

The calculation of emission reductions is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

Proposed project encompass two waste heaps, first of them is situated in the Luhansk Region, second waste heap is situated in the Donetsk Region. Project developer doesn't divide processed coal containing rock mass by origin and uses coefficient of waste heap burning probability for Luhansk Region, which is equal to 0.78. This value is lower than abovementioned value for Donetsk Region, which is equal to 0.83. This approach provides lowering of baseline emissions and is conservative.

Identified problem areas for compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology, project participants' responses and conclusions of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A (refer to CAR02-CAR04)

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### 3.5 Revision of monitoring plan (99-100)

"Not applicable"

#### 3.6 Data management (101)

The data and their sources, provided in monitoring report, are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

The implementation of data collection procedures is in accordance with the monitoring plan, including the quality control and quality assurance procedures.

The function of the monitoring equipment, including its calibration status, is in order.

Consumption of diesel fuel is accounting by bookkeeper invoices.

The evidence and records used for the monitoring are maintained in a traceable manner. Initially data on value and quality of produced coal, track's load, diesel fuel consumption, waste heap mass quantity is obtained from logbooks of relevant work suppliers. The data on electricity consumed is obtained from monthly reports of Regional Electric Network.

The data required to monitor JI project is routinely collected within the normal operations of the "Arsenal Don" LLc and enrichment plant of "Tandem-2006" Llc therefore JI monitoring is integral part of routine monitoring

The data collection and management system for the project is in accordance with the monitoring plan. Data monitoring and collection system described in the monitoring report is adequate and working.

Identified problem areas applicable for project data management, responses of project participants, Bureau Veritas Certification conclusions are listed in the Annex A Verification protocol (see CAR05, CAR06)

#### 3.7 Verification regarding programmes of activities (102-110)

"Not applicable"

#### **4 VERIFICATION OPINION**

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the initial, 1<sup>st</sup> periodic verification of the "Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processing through CEP" Project in Volodarsk urban village of Sverdlovsk borough council, Luhansk region and Torez town, Donetsk region Ukraine, which applies JI specific approach. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the monitoring report against the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of SIA "Vidzeme Eko" is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 2.0. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2.0 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 05/05/2008 to	30/09/2012	
Baseline emissions	: 4923976	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Project emissions	: 124940	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Leakages	: -1415725	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 6214761	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
From 05/05/2008 to 31/12/2008		
Baseline emissions	: 644598	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Project emissions	: 18377	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Leakages	: -186180	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 812401	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
From 01/01/2009 to 31/12/2009		
Baseline emissions	: 1155397	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
Project emissions	: 27874	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Leakages	: -332247	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
Emission Reductions	: 1459770	tonnes of CO2 equivalent.



From 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2010 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 1133844 : 28699 : -330425 : 1435570	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 01/01/2011 to 31/12/2011 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 1138180 : 28410 : -324200 : 1433970	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.
From 01/01/2012 to 30/09/2012 Baseline emissions Project emissions Leakages Emission Reductions	: 851957 : 21580 : -242673 : 1073050	tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent. tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### 5 REFERENCES

#### **Category 1 Documents:**

Documents provided by SIA "Vidzeme Eko" that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

- /1/ Project Design Document "Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processing through CEP" version 2.0 dated 17/10/2012
- /2/ Monitoring Report "Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processing through CEP" version 1.0 dated 19/10/2012
- /3/ Monitoring Report "Waste heaps of Volodarskogo mine and CJSC "Ugolinvest" dismantling and processing through CEP" version 2.0 dated 24/10/2012
- /4/ ERUs calculation Excel-file "Calculation\_Ugolinvest\_K.xls"
- /5/ Letter of Approval #3083/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 issued by State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine
- /6/ Letter of Approval #12.2-02/13627 issued by Ministry of Environment Protection and regional development of republic Latvia 12/10/2012

#### **Category 2 Documents:**

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

- /1/ Subcontract #22/04 from 22/04/08 between "Arsenal-Don" Ltd. and "Ukrprombud" Ltd.
- /2/ Delivery Agreement # 14/04-08 from 14/04/2008 between "Arsenal-Don" Ltd. and "The Prom" Ltd
- /3/ Contract for weighing works #15/04 from 15/04/08 between "Arsenal-Don" Ltd. and "Tandem 2006" Ltd.
- /4/ Contract for the rock expertise #15/04/01 from 15/04/08 between "Arsenal-Don" Ltd. and "Tandem 2006" Ltd.
- /5/ Certificate of performed work of weighing from 01/12/09 of 172563,00 t
- /6/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of work completion from 01/12/2009 for 24181530,28 UAH and costs calculations of works for completion certificate from 01/12/2009
- /7/ Sale invoice #VN-0000277 from 02/12/2009 for 24897180,00 UAH, the amount 59279,00t
- /8/ Certificate of coal quality UDK # 6/2 for the period from 01/12/2009 till 31/12/2009, the weight 179033,66 t
- /9/ Certificate of performed work of weighing from 01/10/10 of 180354,00 t
- /10/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of work completion from 01/10/2010 for 27598013,16 UAH, 180354,00 t and costs calculations of works for completion certificate from 01/10/2010
- /11/ Sale invoice #VN-000220 from 04/10/2010 for 25590600,00 UAH, the amount: 60930,00 t
- /12/ Certificate of coal quality UDK # 13/3 for the period from 01/10/2010 till 31/10/2010, the weight 176007,98 t

VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



- /13/ Certificate of performed work of weighing from 01/04/11 of 17518 t
- /14/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of work completion from 01/04/2011 for 29437861,66 UAH, and costs calculations of works for completion certificate
- /15/ Sale invoice #VN-000048 from 04/04/2011 for 25069380,00 UAH, the amount: 59689 t
- /16/ Certificate of coal quality UDK # 17/4 for the period from 01/04/2012 till 30/04/2011, the weight 193072,33 t
- /17/ Certificate of performed work of weighing from 01/05/12 of 174914 t
- /18/ Delivery and acceptance certificate of work completion from 01/05/2012 for 29998454,02 UAH, and costs calculations of works for completion certificate
- /19/ Sale invoice #VN-000092 from 04/05/2012 for 24325140 UAH, the amount: 59917 t
- /20/ Certificate of coal quality UDK # 36/1 for the period from 01/05/2012 till 31/05/2012, the weight 199902 t

#### Persons interviewed:

List persons interviewed during the verification or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

- /1/ Victoriya Volodymyrivna Malyukina Head of laboratory "Tandem-2006" Ltd
- /2/ Mykola Sergiyovych Kondratyuk head of VTK "Arsenal-Don" Ltd
- /3/ Sergiy Petrovych Zhurba head of an industrial site "Arsenal-Don" Ltd
- /4/ Maksym Viktorovych Oleinyk Power Engineer "Arsenal-Don" Ltd
- /5/ Zinchenko Tetyana Mykolaivna chief accountant of "UTP INVEST" Ltd
- /6/ Yuriy Vyacheslavovych Pyankov director "UTP INVEST" Ltd
- /7/ Gints Klavinsh SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Project Manager
- /8/ Tymofeev Sergiy Petrovych SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant
- /9/ Stah Yuri Mykhailovych SIA "Vidzeme Eko" JI Consultant

### VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION PROTOCOL VERIFICATION PROTOCOL

#### Check list for verification, according to the JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

DVM	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft	Final
Paragrap h			Conclusion	Conclusion
Project ap	provals by Parties involved			
90	Has the DFPs of at least one Party involved, other than the host Party, issued a written project approval when submitting the first verification report to the secretariat for publication in accordance with paragraph 38 of the JI guidelines, at the latest?	The project was approved by both Parties involved. Letter of Approval #3083/23/7 dated 18/10/2012 has been issued from State Environment Investment Agency of Ukraine. Letter of Approval #12.2-02/13627 dated 12/10/2012 has been issued from Latvian Ministry of Environment Protection and Regional Development	ОК	ОК
91	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	The abovementioned approvals are unconditional	ОК	OK
Project im	plementation			
92	Has the project been implemented in accordance with the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	The project has been implemented in accordance with the PDD which the determination has been deemed final and available at JI UNFCCC website	ОК	ОК
93	What is the status of operation of the project during the monitoring period?	The project is in operation during the monitoring period. Decisive project parameters are provided in the section B of the MR. <u>CAR01</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration.	CAR01 CL01	OK OK



DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
		This is not fully reasonably, because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 9 months of 2012 is factual. Please provide adequate explanation. <u><i>CL01</i></u> Please clarify if used to waste heap dismantling equipment was changed or replaced during the monitoring period.		
Complianc	e with monitoring plan			
94	Did the monitoring occur in accordance with the monitoring plan included in the PDD regarding which the determination has been deemed final and is so listed on the UNFCCC JI website?	The monitoring was provided in line with the monitoring plan contained in the PDD regarded which the determination has been deemed final and listed at JI UNFCCC web-site	OK	ОК
95 (a)	For calculating the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals, were key factors, e.g. those listed in 23 (b) (i)-(vii) above, influencing the baseline emissions or net removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?	The key factors listed in the sections 23 (b) (i)-(vii) of the DVM are taken into account in appropriate way	ОК	ОК
95 (b)	Are data sources used for calculating emission reductions or enhancements of net removals clearly identified, reliable and transparent?	<u>CAR02</u> Please indicate in the MR source of diesel fuel density <u>CAR03</u> Please indicate in the MR source of specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate at enrichment plant	CAR02 CAR03	OK OK



DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
95 (c)	Are emission factors, including default emission factors, if used for calculating the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals, selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?	The emission factors used for calculating the emission reductions are selected carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, the choice of them are appropriately justified in the section B of the MR	ОК	OK
95 (d)	Is the calculation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?	The calculation of emission reductions are based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible future scenarios in a transparent manner <u>CAR04</u> Please correct units designation of specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate at enrichment plant	CAR04	ОК
	to JI SSC projects only_Not applicable			
	to bundled JI SSC projects only_Not appli f monitoring plan	Capie		
	only if monitoring plan is revised by project	ct participant		
99 (a)		The monitoring plan has not been revised during the	ОК	ОК
99 (b)	Does the proposed revision improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans?	Not applicable	ОК	ОК
Data mana	gement			



tem	Initial finding	Draft Conclusion	Final Conclusion
n of data collection cordance with the cluding the quality surance procedures?	The implementation of data collection procedures is in line within the monitoring plan, including quality control and quality assurance procedures	OK	ОК
nonitoring equipment, n status, in order?	<u>CAR05</u> The automobile scales JUMBO serial #8003 was installed 06/04/2008. The MR indicates the date of last calibration in 06/10/2011. Please add data on scales calibration if 2009 and 2010 years. <u>CAR06</u> Please add data on laboratory equipment used in the laboratory involved to the project	CAR05 CAR06	OK OK
records used for the ed in a traceable	The evidences and records for monitoring are obtained in a traceable manner	OK	OK
n and management t in accordance with		OK	ОК
t	in accordance with es of activities (addi		in accordance with project is in accordance with the monitoring plan es of activities (additional elements for assessment) _Not applicable

# VERIFICATION REPORT: WASTE HEAPS OF VOLODARSKOGO MINE AND CJSC "UGOLINVEST" DISMANTLING AND PROCESSING THROUGH CEP



#### Table 2 Resolution of Corrective Action and Clarification Requests

Draft report clarification and corrective action requests by verification team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participant response	Verification team conclusion
<u>CAR01</u> The MR indicates in the section A.7 table 1 that values of ERUs obtained in 2012 year is differ than indicated in the PDD by difference in monitoring period duration. This is not fully reasonably, because values in PDD for 2012 year are obtained on the basis of ex-post estimations and data for 9 months of 2012 is factual. Please provide adequate explanation.	92	Project participants during the first 9 months in 2012 used actual data for calculations, and for the last 3 months - predictable. Therefore, in the monitoring report, which covers 9 months in 2012, the difference between values of emission reductions from the data in the PDD consists only of predictable reductions during the last 3 months in 2012.	The issue is closed
<u>CAR02</u> Please indicate in the MR source of diesel fuel density	95(b)	Added in section B.3.: If the data in these documents are given in liters instead of tonnes, the data must be transferred through the coefficient 0.85 kg / I. Reference to "GOST 305-82, Diesel fuel. Technical characteristics": <u>http://elarum.ru/info/standards/gost-305- 82/</u> . 0.85 kg / I is an average value for fuel of two types: summer and winter fuel.	The issue is closed
<u>CAR03</u> Please indicate in the MR source of specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate at enrichment plant	95(b)	The source of data on the specific energy consumption per tonne of enriched coal is the calculation of technology department of enrichment plant (see Annex 4 of the PDD).	The issue is closed



BUREAU

<u>CAR04</u> Please correct units designation of specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate at enrichment plant	95(d)	correct units designation of specific electricity consumption per tonne of coal concentrate at enrichment plant are provided, Table 4: MWh/t	The issue is closed
<u>CAR05</u> The automobile scales JUMBO serial #8003 was installed 06/04/2008. The MR indicates the date of last calibration in 06/10/2011. Please add data on scales calibration if 2009 and 2010 years.	101(b)	Data on the automobile scales JUMBO last calibration is added in Table 2, Section B.1.2.	The issue is closed
<u>CAR06</u> Please add data on laboratory equipment used in the laboratory involved to the project	101(b)	Laboratory data is not directly used for the calculation of emission reductions or for other purposes in connection with the project implementation. Laboratory data is not monitored. The only reference on laboratory of the enrichment plant was made to admit that the coal concentrate, obtained after benefication, has characteristics that are not worse than characteristics of the coal obtained in regular mine. Therefore, there is no need to add data about laboratory equipment.	The issue is closed
<u>CL01</u> Please clarify if used to waste heap dismantling equipment was changed or replaced during the monitoring period.	92	Large amount of mining equipment is involved in waste heaps dismantling. During project implementation its number and models of machinery can be changed and it doesn't significantly affect the project indicator. Other equipment changes didn't take place.	The issue is closed