

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certifi	cation Hold	ing SAS	
JI activ	ity			
Reference number and title of JI activity	UA1000156, ""Recand power plant""	onstruction	n of Kramatorsk hea	
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/A			
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine Germany			
Type of JI activity: ☑ large scale ☐ small s	cale 🗆 LULU	JCF	□ РоА	
Emission reductions / Enha	incements of re	movals		
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2009	01/01/2009 — 31/12/2009		
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	⊠ N.A.			
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	⊠ N.A.			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	28 016 tonr	nes of CO ₂	equivalent	
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes		☑ No	
Statements regarding requirem	ents and possi	ble chan	iges	
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JIS paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : ☑ Yes □ No	ne Kyoto Protocol, C with regard to v	the JI gui erification	delines and further	
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ Ye	S	⊠ No	
If yes, please respond to the inquiries below:				
Please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	N.A.			

Please <u>express</u>	the determination opinion	N.A.	
	that conditions defined by the JI guidelines are still met	□ Yes	□ No
Please confirm original determi	that the changes do not alter the nation opinion	□ Yes	□ No
Please confirm	that:		
(a) Physical loc changed	cation of the project has not	□ Yes	□ No
	sources have changed, they are an updated monitoring plan	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline sc	enario has not changed	□ Yes	□ No
approach o	e consistent with JI specific r CDM methodology upon which nation was prepared for the project	□ Yes	□ No
If yes, please info	plan was revised orm for which technologies and each type of JPA the monitoring plan	□ Yes	□ No
monitoring plar	ations for the establishment of ns nts attached to the verification report fo	<u>orm</u>	
Please attach re	levant documents used in the verification	process and check	mark below accordingly
✓ Verifica	tion report		
	approvals by the Parties involved, if ap	pplicable	
Other d	ocuments:		
□ Do	cument listing all revisions to the proje	ect design, if appli	icable
ori	termination that the revisions to the pr ginal determination opinion and that th guidelines are still met		
□ Re	vised monitoring plan, if applicable		
ac mo	termination that the revisions to the mouracy and/or applicability of informationitoring plan without changing conforthe establishment of monitoring plans	on collected, com mity with the rele	pared to the original
□ Sa	mpling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)		
☑ An	y other documents (please list):		
ER	Us_2009 v.2 Eng.xlsx		
	th declares that undertaking the verific itute a conflict of interest which is inco		
Authorized offi	cer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes	- Tortingalion
		I H	olding SAS