



AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form		Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS	
JI activity			
Reference number and title of JI activity		Sunflower Husk Utilization for Steam and Electricity Generation at the Oil-Extraction Factory CJSC Modified Fats Factory	
Parties involved in the JI activity		Ukraine (Host party) Netherlands	
Project participants		Ukraine: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PrJSC Modified Fats Factory • UkrEximBank Netherlands: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF) 	
Coordinating entity (<i>applicable to JI PoA only</i>)		N/A	
Type of JI activity: <input type="checkbox"/> large-scale <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> small-scale <input type="checkbox"/> LULUCF <input type="checkbox"/> PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals			
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)		24/09/2009 - 31/12/2012	
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)		68799	
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	
	Reference number of JPAs verified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	
	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes			
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met :			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Verification opinion			
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications			

Verification opinion is provided in Section 4 of the Verification report UKRAINE-ver/0735/2012 revision 03

The **project design was revised** in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final Yes No

If yes, please **list all revisions** to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) N/A

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, **please confirm** that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met N/A Yes No

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, **please confirm** that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion N/A Yes No

If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, **please confirm** that:

(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

The **monitoring plan was revised** in the current monitoring period Yes No

If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)

The current **revisions to the monitoring plan** improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans N/A Yes No

List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

- Verification report**
- Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable**
- Other relevant documents:**
 - Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
 - Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans

- Sampling plan, if applicable (*JI PoA only*)
- Any other documents: ERs calculation excel file

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Operational manager signing for the AIE

Ivan Sokolov

Bureau Veritas Certification
Holding SAS

Date and signature

24/04/2013