

AIF REPORT FORM

| (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a de Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| | District Control of the Control of t | all | (TOV Rheinland) | | |
| | Ji ac | tivity | | | |
| Reference number and title of JI activity | | Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region ITL Project ID UA1000181 | | | |
| Part | ties involved in the JI activity | Ukraine (host Party)The Netherlands | | | |
| roj | ect participants | LLC "Electrostal" Global Carbon B.V. | | | |
| 200 | rdinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) | N/A | | | |
| Гур | e of JI activity: ☑ large-scale ☐ sma | III-scale 🗆 LULUCF | □ PoA | | |
| | Emission reductions/En | nancements of removals | THE PARTY | | |
| lon | itoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY | | | | |
| our | fied reductions in anthropogenic emissions by rces/enhancements of anthropogenic removal inks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.) | 417,740 tonnes of C | O ₂ eq. | | |
| he ne . | present verification is the first with regard to | ☑ Yes | □ No | | |
| e to JI | Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYY | () ☑ N/A | | | |
| Applicable to JI | Reference number of JPAs verified | ☑ N/A | | | |
| Ap | Sampling approach used to verify the PoA | ☑ N/A □ Yes | □ No | | |
| | Statements regarding require | ments and possible cha | nges | | |
| ara | se <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of rant requirements defined by the CMP or the J graph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : ☑ Yes ☑ No | the Kyoto Protocol, the JI gu ISC with regard to verificatio | idelines and furth | | |
| 1 | | | | | |

TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) has performed the verification of the emission reductions that have been reported for the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of August 2011 till the 31th of July 2012.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan

and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is TUV Rheinland's responsibility to express an independent verification opinion - conclusion on the verified amount of emission reductions from the project.

TUV Rheinland has conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 27th of May 2010 and the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 25 October 2012.

The verification included the assessment of:

- Project implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document (PDD);
- Compliance with the monitoring plan;
- Calculation of emission reductions and expression of a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements;
- Quality and management of data and verification that reported GHG emission reductions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

TÜV Rheinland's (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. TUV Rheinland planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions of the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of August 2011 till the 31th of July 2012 are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in the Monitoring Report Version 2.0 dated 25 October 2011.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document Version 2.0 dated 27th of May 2010.

TUV Rheinland Ukraine LLC is able to verify that the emission reductions from the "Implementation of Arc Furnace Steelmaking Plant "Electrostal" at Kurakhovo, Donetsk Region" (ITL Project ID UA1000181) for the period from the 1st of August 2011 till the 31th of July 2012 amount to 417 740 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent.

| The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final | | Yes | ☑ No | |
|--|------------------|-------|------|--|
| If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) | ☑ Not applicable | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion | ⊠ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that: | | | | |
| (a) Physical location of the project has not changed | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |
| (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |
| (c) Baseline scenario has not changed | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |
| (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project | ⊠ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | |

| monit If yes, under (applic) The cimpro inform monit the re | nonitoring plan was revised in the current coring period please specify for which technologies and measures each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised eable to JI PoA only) urrent revisions to the monitoring plan ove the accuracy and/or applicability of nation collected, compared to the original coring plan without changing conformity with alevant rules and regulations for establishing | ☑ N/A □ Yes □ No | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | oring plans f documents attached to the verification report | form | | | |
| | e attach relevant documents used in the verification | | | | |
| Ø | Verification report | | | | |
| Ø | Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable | | | | |
| Ø | Other relevant documents: | | | | |
| | Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable | | | | |
| | Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met | | | | |
| | □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable | | | | |
| | □ Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans | | | | |
| | □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) | | | | |
| | $\ensuremath{	infty}$ Any other documents (please list): Estimation of greenhouse gas emission reductions in Excel format | | | | |
| The Al | IE herewith declares that undertaking the verif | ication for the JI activity referred to above compatible with the role of an AIE | | | |
| Operational manager signing for the AIE | | Dr. Manfred Brinkmann — Accredited Ltd. Independer(t)Entity Operational manager, TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TWV Rheinland) | | | |
| Date and signature | | 30/10/2012 M. 13/2 War | | | |