



## JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

**Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form** Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

### JI activity

**Reference number and title of JI activity** UA1000022. Revamping and Modernization of the Alchevsk Steel Mill, Ukraine

**Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)** N.A.

**Parties involved in the JI activity** Ukraine  
The Netherlands

**Type of JI activity:**     large scale     small scale     LULUCF     PoA

### Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals

**Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)** 01/04/2010 – 30/06/2010

**Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)**  N.A.

**A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)**     N.A.     Yes     No

**Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)**  N.A.

**Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent)** 203 695 t CO<sub>2</sub> e

**The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity**     Yes     No

### Statements regarding requirements and possible changes


Please **confirm that all requirements** of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines **are met**:

- Yes  
 No

#### Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver.03 dated 29/03/2011.

The project design was revised after the determination has been deemed final.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	
If project design was revised, please confirm that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, please confirm that:		
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
The monitoring plan was revised	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)		
The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>List of documents attached to the verification report form</b>		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification report <input type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents (please list): Annual JI monitoring report; Excel file "Alchevsk monitoring database-Q2-2010-3" with calculations</li> </ul>		
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE		
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes	
Date and signature	29/03/2011	