UNITY OF A STATE OF A				
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certi	ification Holding SAS		
JI activity				
Reference number and title of JI activity		ruction of the units at the skaya TPP" of the "Skhidenergo"		
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a			
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine			
Type of JI activity: ☑ large scale □ small	scale 🗆 LULU	ICF 🗆 PoA		
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals				
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01.01.2010-3	31.03.2011		
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.			
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.	□ Yes □ No		
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	273322			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes	⊠ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :				
□ No				
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:				
The verification opinion provided in Verification Report №UKRAINE-ver/0252/2011				
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes	s 🗹 No		

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N.A.		
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	🗆 No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	🗆 No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u>	□ Yes	*	⊠ No
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			
The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	□ Yes	□ No	⊠ N.A.
List of documents attached to the verification report f	orm		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	process and che	ck mark belov	vaccordingly
☑ Verification report			
Written approvals by the Parties involved, if approvals by the Parties involved, if approvals by the Parties involved.	oplicable		
☑ Other documents:			
 Document listing all revisions to the proj Determination that the revisions to the projoriginal determination opinion and that the projoriginal determination opinion and that the project of the project of	oject design, if a	applicable, d	
JI guidelines are still met			
 Revised monitoring plan, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the monitorial statement of the statement	onitoring plan	fannligable	improve the
Determination that the revisions to the m accuracy and/or applicability of informati monitoring plan without changing confor for the establishment of monitoring plans	on collected, co mity with the re	mpared to th	e original
 Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) 			
Any other documents (please list): Emission The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verific does not constitute a conflict of interest which is inco	ation for the JH	activity refer ne role of an	ed to above
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Flavio Gomes	Bar	Hating SAS
Date and signature	25/05/2011	••• 	