JI Verification		F - JI - VRep			
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)					
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certifica	ation Holding SAS			
JI acti	vity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	0220: YARA Köping S3 Sweden	N2O abatement project in			
Parties involved in the JI activity	arties involved in the JI activity Sweden Netherlands				
Project participants	YADA AD				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.				
Type of JI activity:	scale 🛛 LULU	CF 🗆 PoA			
Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of rem	novals			
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	09/05/20	010 - 06/05/2011			
/erified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	⊠ Yes	No 🗆			
کر Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A				
Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A				
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A [∃Yes □No			
Statements regarding requiren	nents and possib	le changes			
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :					
No					
Verification opinion					
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications					
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 1st periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "YARA KÖPING S3 N2O ABATEMENT PROJECT IN SWEDEN", which applies the methodology AM0034 version 03.4.					
The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.					

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the

baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of YARA AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 8 issued on 02/09/2011. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 02 dated 27 January 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period:	From 09/05/201	10 to 06/05/2011	
Emission Reductions (year 2010):	257681	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions (year 2011):	129723	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions (total):	387404	t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the	□ Yes			
required information) If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Ye	es	⊠No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				

improv inform monite	ve the lation oring evan	t <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> e accuracy and/or applicability of n collected, compared to the original plan without changing conformity with at rules and regulations for establishing plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
List of	doc	uments attached to the verification report	<u>t form</u>		
Please	atta	ch relevant documents used in the verification	n process and r	nark below acc	cordingly
Ver	rifica	tion report			
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
	Other relevant documents:				
	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable			
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
	Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
	Any other documents (please list):				
	Monitoring Report version 02, dated 27/01/2012				
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE					
Operational manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan					
Date and signature 2012-02-08					