## JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

| (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JI activity   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reference number and title of JI activity   | "Rehabilitation of the District Heating System in<br>Donetsk City" |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)   | N/a  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parties involved in the JI activity   | - Ukraine<br>- Switzerland   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of JI activity: □ large scale ☑ sma  | all scale □ LULUCF □ PoA   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY   | 01/10/2010 — 30/06/2011  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)   | ☑ N.A.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A sampling approach was used to verify the JI Po (applicable to JI PoA only)  | DA ☑ N.A. □ Yes □ No   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)   | ☑ N.A.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)  | 40097  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity  | □ Yes ☑ No   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statements regarding requirements and possible changes  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:  Yes  No  Verification opinion  Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver.01, of 22/08/2011.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final   | □ Yes     ☑ No   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)  |  |   |  | ☑ N.A.                 |            |               |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|------------------------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met  |  |   |  | <b>☑</b> N.A.          | □ Yes      | □ No          |  |  |  |
| If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion  |  |   |  | <b>☑</b> N.A.          | □ Yes      | □ No          |  |  |  |
| lf m   | !  | doolan  | was revised places confirm that  | <b>5</b> 7             |            |               |  |  |  |
| -  | -  | _   | was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that:   | ☑ N.A.                 | □ Yes      | □ No          |  |  |  |
| (a)  | _  | sical location of the project has not nged  |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| (b)  |  |   | ources have changed, they are<br>in updated monitoring plan  | ☑ N.A.                 | □ Yes      | □ №           |  |  |  |
| (c)  | Base   | eline sce   | nario has not changed  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| (d)  |  |   | consistent with JI specific  | ☑ N.A.                 | □ Yes      | □ No          |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | CDM methodology upon which ation was prepared for the project  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   |  | ., .  |  | ☑ N.A.                 | □ Yes      | □ No          |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | lan was revised  | ☑ Yes                  |            | □ No          |  |  |  |
| If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)   |  |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans                             |  |   | applicability of information<br>red to the original monitoring plan<br>g conformity with the relevant<br>ions for the establishment of | ☑ Yes                  | □ No       | □ <b>N.A.</b> |  |  |  |
| List   | of do  | ocument   | s attached to the verification repor   | t form                 |            |               |  |  |  |
| Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly   |  |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| ☑ Verification report  |  |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  | □ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable   |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ C  | ther do   | cuments:   |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  |  | Doc   | ument listing all revisions to the pro   | oject design, if app   | licable    |               |  |  |  |
|  |  | <ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the<br/>original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the<br/>JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul> |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable   |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans |  |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
| □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)   |  |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ Any other documents (please list):   |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Annex 2 to the Monitoring Report for the reporting period "Calculation of CO2<br/>emissions reduction in the system of Municipal commercial enterprise<br/>"Donetskteplomerezha" and plan of project measures implementation" (Excel file)</li> </ul> |   |  |                        |            |               |  |  |  |
|  |  | -   | Annex 3 to the Monitoring Report for (Excel file)  | or the reporting perio | d "Project | equipment"    |  |  |  |

- Annex 4 to the Monitoring Report for the reporting period "Measuring equipment"

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Flavio Gomes

Bureau Verific Contification

22/08/2011

Hold Monitorized SAS, o