

## **AIE REPORT FORM**

| (versio  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a de  |  |  |  |  |
| Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form  | TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland)   |  |  |  |
| JI act   | tivity   |  |  |  |
| Reference number and title of JI activity  | Recultivation of waste heaps in Donetsk region in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere |  |  |  |
| Parties involved in the JI activity  | Ukraine (host Party)     Estonia   |  |  |  |
| Project participants   | «RS-ARPI" LLC     ProEffect OÜ   |  |  |  |
| Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)  | N/A  |  |  |  |
| Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale ☐ small   | III-scale   LULUCF   PoA   |  |  |  |
| Emission reductions/Enh  | hancements of removals   |  |  |  |
| Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY  | period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/01/2008 - 30/09/2012  |  |  |  |
| Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)                                    |  |  |  |  |
| The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity   | ☑ Yes □ No   |  |  |  |
| Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY   | Y) ☑ N/A   |  |  |  |
| Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)  Reference number of JPAs verified  Sampling approach used to verify the PoA   | ☑ N/A  |  |  |  |
| Sampling approach used to verify the PoA   | ☑ N/A □ Yes □ No   |  |  |  |
| Statements regarding require   | ements and possible changes  |  |  |  |
| Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the J paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :  ☑ Yes □ No |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Verification opinion</u>  |  |  |  |  |

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) has performed the initial and first periodic verification of the emission reductions generated by the JI project "Recultivation of waste heaps in Donetsk region in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere " for the period from 01/01/2008 till 30/09/2012.

The project participants are responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan

and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project.

It is TUV Rheinland's responsibility to express an independent verification opinion - conclusion on the verified amount of emission reductions from the project.

TUV Rheinland has conducted the verification on the basis of the monitoring plan contained in the registered Project Design Document version 2.0 dated 03/10/2012 and the Monitoring Report version 2.0 dated 14/12/2012.

The verification included the assessment of:

- Project implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document (PDD);
- Compliance with the monitoring plan;
- Calculation of emission reductions and expression of a conclusion with a reasonable level of assurance about whether the reported GHG emission reduction data are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements;
- Quality and management of data and verification that reported GHG emission reductions data is sufficiently supported by evidence.

TÜV Rheinland's (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) verification approach draws on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. TUV Rheinland planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) considers necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

In TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) opinion the emission reductions generated by the project "Recultivation of waste heaps in Donetsk region in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere" for the period from 01/01/2008 till 30/09/2012 are fairly stated, accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements in the monitoring report, version 2.0 dated 14/12/2012.

The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the registered project design document version 2.0 dated 03/10/2012.

TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland) is able to verify that the emission reductions generated by the JI project "Recultivation of waste heaps in Donetsk region in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere" for the period from 01/01/2008 till 30/09/2012 amount 4 837 114 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent.

| The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final  | □ Yes     |       | ☑ No |  |
|--|-----------|-------|------|--|
| If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design<br>and express a determination opinion (or provide a<br>reference to an attached document containing the<br>required information) | ☑ Not app |       |      |  |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met                                      | ⊠ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion   | ⊠ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:   |           |       |      |  |
| (a) Physical location of the project has not changed   | ☑ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |
| (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan   | ☑ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |
| (c) Baseline scenario has not changed  | ☑ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |
| (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project  | ☑ N/A     | □ Yes | □ No |  |

| monite                              | onitoring plan was revised in the current bring period   | ☑ N/A       | □ Yes            | □ No                                       |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|--|--|
| under e                             | f yes, please specify for which technologies and measures<br>under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised<br>applicable to JI PoA only)  |             |                  |  |  |
| impro<br>inform<br>monite<br>the re | urrent revisions to the monitoring plan we the accuracy and/or applicability of nation collected, compared to the original oring plan without changing conformity with levant rules and regulations for establishing oring plans   | ⊠ N/        | A □ Yes          | s 🗆 No                                     |  |
|                                     | f documents attached to the verification report attach relevant documents used in the verification   |             | and mark below   | accordingly                                |  |
| i icasc                             |  | i process a | and mark below   | accordingly                                |  |
| ☑                                   | Verification report  |             |                  |  |  |
| $\square$                           | Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable   |             |                  |  |  |
| ☑                                   | Other relevant documents:  |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable  |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the origina determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met   |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | □ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable   |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | □ Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | □ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)   |             |                  |  |  |
|                                     | ☑ Any other documents (please list): GHG emission reduction calculation spreadsheet in Excel   |             |                  |  |  |
| The A                               | E herewith declares that undertaking the verifi  | ication for | the JI activity  | referred to above                          |  |
| does i                              | not constitute a conflict of interest which is inc   | ompatible   | with the role of | of an AlE                                  |  |
| Opera                               | tional manager signing for the AIE   |             |                  | la(fichina) lAccredited<br>ational Manager |  |
|                                     | and signature  | 24/12/2012  | ME               | Odlan                                      |  |