JI Verification



AIE REPORT FORM								
(version 04)								
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)								
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS								
JI activity								
Refer	rence number and title of JI activity	Dismantling of waste heap at former mine #5 near Leninske urban village						
Partie	es involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, Latvia						
Proje	ct participants	SPE "Laguna", SIA "Vidzeme Eko"						
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) Not applicable								
Туре	of JI activity: 🗹 large-scale 🛛 small	-scale 🗆 LULU(CF 🗆 PoA					
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals								
Monit	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	03/03/2008-3	30/09/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO2 eq.)2 306 497								
	present verification is the first with regard to I activity	🗹 Yes	□ No					
to JI	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A						
pplicable to JI PoA onlv	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A						
Appl	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	🗆 Yes 🛛 No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No								
Verification opinion								
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications								
Verification opinion is provided in the verification Report #UKRAINE-ver/0810/2012								
	project design was revised in the current toring period, after the determination has deemed final	□ Yes	☑ No					

and ex	pres	use <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design as a determination opinion (or provide a to an attached document containing the	2 N/A					
		iformation)						
monite	oring	lesign was revised in the current g period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	D No			
monito	oring	lesign was revised in the current g period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes er the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
		lesign was revised in the current period, <u>please confirm</u> that:						
(a) Ph	nysic	al location of the project has not changed	2 N/A	□ Yes	D No			
		sion sources have changed, they are ed in an updated monitoring plan	Ø N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No			
(c) Ba	selir	ne scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
or	CDN	es are consistent with JI specific approach M methodology upon which the lination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	D No			
monito If yes, p under e	oring oleas bach t	Dring plan was revised in the current g period e specify for which technologies and measures type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised o JI PoA only)	□ Yes		Ø No			
improv inform monito the rel	ve the atio oring evar	nt <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> be accuracy and/or applicability of in collected, compared to the original is plan without changing conformity with int rules and regulations for establishing is plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
		uments attached to the verification report f	ter (s terreter and second					
Please	atta	ch relevant documents used in the verification	process and ma	ark below acc	cordingly			
Ø	Verification report							
	Other relevant documents:							
	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable							
	Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met							
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable						
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans							
	a							
	Any other documents (please list): ERUs calculation Excel-file "CalculationT33Km.xls"							
Tho All	E be							
does n	ot c	rewith declares that undertaking the verific onstitute a conflict of interest which is inco	mpatible with	the role of an	AIE AIE			
Operat	iona	I manager signing for the AIE	van Sokolov	u Veritas (Certification			
Date ar	nd si	ignature	1/11/2012	1				