JI	Verification		F - JI - VRep				
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
-	edited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS					
	JI acti	vity					
Refere	ence number and title of JI activity	0034:Benaiciai wind power project					
Partie	es involved in the JI activity	Lithuania Sweden					
Projec	ct participants	UAB Renerga					
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (NEFCO) N.A.					
Туре	of JI activity: 🛛 large-scale 🗆 small	-scale 🛛 LULU(CF 🗆 PoA				
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2	2011-31/12/2011				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)		24759					
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Yes	⊠ No				
to JI	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)) 🛛 N/A					
Applicable to PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A					
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A [⊐Yes □No				
	Statements regarding requirer	nents and possib	le changes				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :							
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications							
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 4th periodic verification of the "Benaiciai wind power project" in Lithuania, which applies the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.							

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of RENERGA, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 06. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 dated 21/06/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2011 to 31/12/2011

Baseline emissions :	24759 t CO2 equivalents.
Project emissions :	0 t CO2 equivalents.
Emission Reductions (Year 2011):	24759 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	

List of documents attached to the verification report form								
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly								
Verification report								
□ det	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.							
⊠	☑ Other relevant documents:							
		Document listing all revisions to the project desi	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met						
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans						
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)	ng plan, if applicable <i>(JI PoA only)</i>					
		Any other documents (please list):	Any other documents (please list):					
	Monitoring report version (version 2, dated 21/06/2012)							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE								
Operational manager signing for the AIE			old Dzugan					
Date and signature			2-07-12 July					