## **JI Verification**



AIE REPORT FORM						
(version 04)						
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)						
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS						
JI activity						
Reference number and title of JI activity	Waste heaps dismantling near Hrystoforivka village					
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, Latvia					
Project participants	"Kompaniya "Persha Yurydychna" Ltd, SIA "Vidzeme Eko"					
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) Not applicable						
Type of JI activity:  ☑ large-scale   □ small	-scale 🗆 LULUCF 🗆 PoA					
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals						
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	18/12/2008-31/10/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals5 127 097by sinks (tonnes of CO2 eq.)5 127 097						
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	☑ Yes 🗆 No					
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ N/A					
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A					
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A □ Yes □ No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes						
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No						
Verification opinion						
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications						
Verification opinion is provided in the verification Report #UKRAINE-ver/0880/2012						
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes 🗹 No					

and exp reference	ease <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design ress a determination opinion (or provide a se to an attached document containing the l information)	☑ N/A				
monitor	t design was revised in the current ing period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	1 N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No		
monitor	t design was revised in the current ing period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes lter the original determination opinion	2 N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No		
	t design was revised in the current ing period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:					
(a) Phys	sical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
	nission sources have changed, they are acted in an updated monitoring plan	1 N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Base	eline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
or C	nges are consistent with JI specific approach DM methodology upon which the ermination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No		
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period □ Yes ☑ No						
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)						
improve informat monitor the relev	rent <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> the accuracy and/or applicability of tion collected, compared to the original ing plan without changing conformity with vant rules and regulations for establishing	☑ N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No		
monitoring plans List of documents attached to the verification report form						
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly						
Verification report						
	Verification report Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
	Other relevant documents:	appricable				
		t design if applica	ahle			
<ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> </ul>						
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
	Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
	Any other documents (please list): ERUs calculation Excel-file "CalculationT40.xls"					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the release of an AIE						
Operational manager signing for the AIE Ivan SokolovBureau Veritas Certification						
Date and	d signature	17/12/2012	Holding	J SAÐ		