

## AIF REPORT FORM

AL KLI OKT I OKW								
(to	(version 04)  (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
		dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS					
JI activity								
Refe	ere	ence number and title of JI activity	UA2000004 / JI0035 "Utilization of Coal Mine Methane at the Coal Mine named after A.F. Zasyadko"					
Part	ie	s involved in the JI activity	Ukraine Japan Switzerland The Netherlands					
Proj	ec	et participants	Ukraine: PJSC "Shakhta im. O.F. Zasyadka" Japan: Marubeni Corporation Switzerland: VEMA S.A. The Netherlands: Global Carbon B.V.					
Coo	rd	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/A					
Тур	e c	of JI activity: ☑ large-scale ☐ small	-scale □ Ll	JLUCF	□ РоА			
		Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of	removals				
Mor	ite	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/06/2011 — 30/04/2012					
sou	rc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	411 273					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□ <b>Y</b> €	es	☑ No			
to JI	_	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ N/A					
Applicable to	PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A					
Appl	<u>.</u>	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:  Yes								
		No						

Verification opinion							
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications							
The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report UKRAINE- ver/0414/2012 ver.02 of 31/05/2012.							
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		☑ No				
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A						
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:							
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		☑ No				
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)							
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
List of documents attached to the verification report form							

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

☑	Ver	Verification report					
	Wri	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
	Other relevant documents:						
		Document listing all revisions to the project	design, if applicable				
	<ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines ar still met</li> </ul>						
	□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable						
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans						
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)						
		Any other documents:					
		- calculations of emission reductions excel file					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
Opera	tiona	I manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov				
Date and signature			31/05/2012				