
## **JI** Verification



## **AIE REPORT FORM** (version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines) Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS **JI** activity «Implementation of the energy efficiency measures and reduction of greenhouse gas Reference number and title of JI activity emissions into the atmosphere at State Enterprise "Artemugol"» Ukraine Parties involved in the JI activity Switzerland Great Britain State Enterprise "Artemugol" Project participants CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. EVO CARBON TRADING SERVICES LTD Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) N/A Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small-scale **Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals** Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/01/2008 - 31/12/2011 Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals 1 848 059 by sinks (tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> eq.) The present verification is the first with regard to ☑ Yes the JI activity Applicable to J Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) ☑ N/A only Reference number of JPAs verified ✓ N/A PoA **⊠** N/A Sampling approach used to verify the PoA □ Yes Statements regarding requirements and possible changes Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: ☑ Yes □ No Verification opinion Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report №UKRAINEver/0705/2012 version 02 dated 08/10/2012.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	🗆 No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		☑ No
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No
List of documents attached to the verification report	form		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verificatio	n process and ma	rk below ac	cordingly
<ul> <li>✓ Verification report</li> <li>Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable</li> <li>Other relevant documents:         <ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>✓ Any other documents: Excel calculation file</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE			
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov	au Veritas Holding	Certification
Date and signature	08/10/2012	/	