## **JI Verification**



	AIE REPORT FORM							
(to be	(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							nes)
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form				Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
			JI activ	/ity				
			0178: Liepynes Wind Power Park Joint Implementation Project					
Parties involved in the JI activity				Lithuania Netherlands				
			Energogrupe, UAB Ecocom BG, LTD					
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) N.A								
Type of JI activity: □ large-scale								
		Emission reduction	ons/Enha	incement	s of re	movals		
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)			01/01/2012-31/10/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)			117	'37				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□ Yes					
to JI y	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-DE	D/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A	A			
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified			⊠ N/A	A			
	Sampling app	roach used to verify	the PoA	⊠ N/A	A	□ Yes	□ No	
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
		all requirements of A irements defined by						Jant

to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

- ⊠ Yes
- 🗆 No

## Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd periodic verification of the "Liepynes wind power park joint implementation project" Project in Lithuania, the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Vejo gusis, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 04 (dated 14/09/2009). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 31/10/2012

Baseline emissions : 11,737 t CO2 equivalents.

Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents.

Emission Reductions (Year 2012): 11,737 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ <b>No</b>	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Ye	⊠ No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report form				
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly				

Verification report							
	Writ	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
	Oth	ther relevant documents:					
		Document listing all revisions to the project de	ument listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
			ermination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original rmination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines still met				
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable	evised monitoring plan, if applicable				
		and/or applicability of information collected, co	rmination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without ging conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)	ampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
		Any other documents (please list):					
	Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 26/11/2012)						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
Operational manager signing for the AIE			itold Dzugan				
Date and signature			12-12-07 July				