

| | AIE REPOR | | KIM | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| (to be | e completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a det | ermination pursu | ant to paragraph | n 37 of the JI quidelines) | | |
| | dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form | - | | | | |
| | JI acti | vity | | | | |
| Refere | Reference number and title of JI activity Reference number and title of JI activity O187, Introduction of a 12.5 MWe CHP with coke plant's flue gases utilization at the branch ISTEK LLC "Horlivka Coke Plant" | | | | | |
| Partie | s involved in the JI activity | Ukraine The Netherlands | | | | |
| Projec | ct participants | Ukraine - ISTEK LLC The Netherlands - Global Carbon BV | | | | |
| Coord | dinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) | N/a | | | | |
| Туре | of JI activity: ☑ large-scale ☐ small- | -scale 🗆 | LULUCF | □ РоА | | |
| Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals | | | | | | |
| Monit | oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) | 01/04/2011 – 31/12/2011 | | | | |
| sourc | ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals aks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.) | 46 693 t CO2 equivalent | | | | |
| | resent verification is the first with regard to activity | ☑ ' | Yes | □ No | | |
| to JI y | Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) | ☑ N/A | | | | |
| Applicable to JI PoA only | Reference number of JPAs verified | ☑ N/A | | | | |
| Appl | Sampling approach used to verify the PoA | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | |
| Statements regarding requirements and possible changes | | | | | | |
| Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: ✓ Yes No | | | | | | |
| Verific | cation opinion | | | | | |
| Please docur | e provide a verification opinion (or a reference ments attached), including a statement regard dance with the Standard for applying the cond | ing materiality | and level of | assurance, in | | |
| | erification opinion is provided in the attached Verif n 02 dated 06/04/2012. | ication Report I | No. UKRAINE | -ver/0407/2011, | | |
| monit | roject design was revised in the current oring period, after the determination has deemed final | | □ Yes | ☑ No | | |

| If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) | ☑ N/A | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|--------------|--|--|--|
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| If we is a decise we want and in the comment | | | | | | |
| If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: | ⊠ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| (a) Physical location of the project has not changed(b) If emission sources have changed, they are | - | | | | | |
| reflected in an updated monitoring plan | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| (c) Baseline scenario has not changed(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project | ☑ N/A | □ Yes | □ No | | | |
| The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period | ☑ Ye | es | □ No | | | |
| If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) | 210 | | - NO | | | |
| The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of | | | | | | |
| information collected, compared to the original | □ N/A | ☑ Yes | □ No | | | |
| monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans | | E 103 | □ 110 | | | |
| List of documents attached to the verification report form Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly | | | | | | |
| ✓ Verification report | | | | | | |
| ☑ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable ☑ Other relevant documents: | | | | | | |
| Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable | | | | | | |
| Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the origina determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are | | | | | | |
| still met ☑ Revised monitoring plan (included in the Monitoring Report) | | | | | | |
| ☑ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/o | | | | | | |
| applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans 1 (included in the | | | | | | |
| Verification Report) □ Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>) | | | | | | |
| Any other documents (please list): a) Annual JI monitoring report; b) GHG calculation Excel spreadsheet | | | | | | |
| The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE | | | | | | |
| Operational manager signing for the AIE | Ivan Sokolov | | | | | |
| | | 11 | | | | |
| Date and signature | 06/04/2012 | 194 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |