



JI DETERMINATION REPORT FORM

(version 02)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Burea Veritas Certification Holding SAS
Proposed JI activity	
Reference number and title of proposed JI activity	0205 - Didsiliai wind power project
Host Party(ies)	Lithuania
Parties involved in the JI activity	Netherlands
Coordinating entity (<i>applicable to JI PoA only</i>)	N.A.
Project participants	Veju spektras, UAB SIA "E-Kvotas"
Type of JI activity:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> large scale <input type="checkbox"/> small scale <input type="checkbox"/> LULUCF <input type="checkbox"/> PoA
Brief description of the JI activity	Didsiliai wind power project would displace carbon intensive electricity produced from fossil fuel sources in the AB Lietuvos Elektrine. It is foreseen to install 12 wind power plants with the total capacity of 21.6 MW (2 MW x 10 and 0.8 MW x 2) in the western part of Lithuania. The wind power park, in a conservative approach, will generate about 58.8 GWh of electricity per year. Such wind park's generation will lead 36 809 tCO ₂ /year emission reductions on Lietuvos Elektrine side.
Determination report	
<p>Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to determinations pursuant to paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are met:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>	
General information on determination	
Please describe:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The scope of the determination process, including all documentation that has been reviewed and list the names of persons interviewed during the determination process, as applicable; ➤ The AIE's determination team, including a list of all persons involved in the determination process and a description of the functions assumed. 	
<p>The Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by the Project Participant and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Guidelines for Completing the Project Design Document (JI-PDD), Approved methodology, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be Checked by a Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed, as listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project Design Document, version 01, 27 October 2009 - Project Design Document, version 03, 07 May 2010 - Project Design Document, version 04, 19 July 2010 - Excel sheet for financial IRR calculation, 02 December 2009 - Excel sheet for financial IRR calculation, 21 June 2010 	

- Expertise about the wind potential and the energy output of wind turbines at a site near Didsiliai, Lithuania, made by anemos Gesellschaft für Umweltmeteorologie mbH, 29 May 2009
- License to increase power production capacity (for 5.8 MW capacity), 03 December 2008
- License to increase power production capacity, 03 December 2008
- Decision of the Silute Municipality regarding the approval of the Project detailed plan, 23 July 2009
- Klaipeda Regional Department of Environment conclusion No. 9.14.5 - LV4 - 7365 and No. 9.14.5 - LV4 - 7364 of December 4, 2008 (the conclusion, concerning the environmental impact of the planned economic activity)
- The letter of Endorsement issued by Lithuania Ministry of Environment on 6 November 2009 by the Communication No (10-7)-D8-9629 of the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania
- The letter of Approval issued by Lithuania Ministry of Environment on 01/10/2010 by the Communication No (10-2)-D8-9281 of the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Lithuania
- The letter of Approval issued by Netherlands Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture and Innovation issued on 18/11/2010

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD version 04, submitted on 19 July 2010.

On 08/02/2010 Bureau Veritas Certification performed interviews with representatives of Veju spektras, as informed below:

- Vidmantas Kniukšta - Project manager, Veju spektras, UAB
- Inga Valuntienė - Head of Energy division, COWI Lietuva, UAB
- Darius Biekša - Project manager, COWI Lietuva, UAB

Determination team:

- Ashok Mammen – Climate Change Lead Verifier;
- Tomas Paulaitis – Climate Change Verifier;
- Gediminas Vaskela – Financial Specialist.

Work verified by:

- Ivan Sokolov – Internal Technical Reviewer

Description of determination process

Please briefly describe and refer to:

- The review of the JI PDD/PoA DD and additional documentation attached to it;
- The assessment against JI requirements, e.g. by using a determination protocol;
- The report of findings by the AIE, including the use of different types of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations etc.).

Statements or assessments should be included in section “Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion”.

Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validating the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determinator will document how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol is enclosed in Appendix A to the determination report.

The determination findings for each determination subject are presented as follows:

1) The findings of the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are summarized. A more detailed record of these findings can be found in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

2) Where Bureau Veritas Certification had identified issues that needed clarification or that represented a risk to the fulfilment of the project objectives, a Clarification or Corrective Action request, respectively, have been issued. The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The conclusions for determination subject are presented.

Comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers

Please:

- Summarise the comments received pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI guidelines; and
- Provide a report of how due account was taken of these.

There are no comments received from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited observers.

Conclusions, final comments and determination opinion

Please provide:

- Conclusions describing how each of the requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol and the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC, in particular those referred to in paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines, have been met, including assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) related to each requirement, and statements on whether all issues raised have been addressed to the AIE's satisfaction;
- Final comments and a determination opinion.

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the Didsiliai wind power project in Lithuania. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion. Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides analysis of investment, technological and other barriers to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario. By building a wind farm the project is likely to result in reductions of GHG emissions. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions. The review of the project design documentation (version 4) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project correctly applied and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria. The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.

List of documents attached to the determination report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the determination process and check mark below accordingly

- PDD/PoA DD of the proposed JI activity**
- Determination report**
- Written approvals by the Parties involved, listed in the JI PDD/PoA DD.**
- Other relevant documents:**
 - Determination protocol**
 - List of persons interviewed**
 - Any other documents (please list):** Excel sheet for financial IRR calculation

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the determination for the proposed JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Witold Dżugan

Date and signature

05/05/2011