F - JI - VRep



## JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines) Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity								
Reference number and title of JI activity	ence number and title of JI activity 0198, «Reconstruction of Units1,2,3 and 4 at Zuyevska Thermal Power Plant»							
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a							
Parties involved in the JI activity	<ul><li>Ukraine</li><li>Netherlands</li></ul>							
Type of JI activity:  ☑ large scale   □ small	scale 🗆 LULUCF 🗆 PoA							
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals								
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2009 – 31/12/2009							
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.							
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No					
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.							
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	97297							
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		Yes	□ No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No								
Verification opinion								
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:								
The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver.02, of 26/10/2010.								
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final		□ Yes	☑ No					
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N.A.							

If project design was revised, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion			• • •	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:									
(a)	-	nysical location of the project has not 🗹 N.A. 🗆 Yes nanged		□ No					
(b)		f emission sources have changed, they are eflected in an updated monitoring plan		☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
(c)	Bas	elin	e scenario has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
(d)	(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project			☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
The	mo	nito	ring plan was revised			_			
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			inform for which technologies and der each type of JPA the monitoring plan	□ Yes	2 No				
The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans						Ø N.A.			
List of documents attached to the verification report form									
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly									
☑ Verification report									
		Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable							
		Other documents:							
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable							
Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met									
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable							
Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans									
	Sampling plan, if applicable ( <i>JI PoA only</i> )								
Any other documents (please list):									
	<ul> <li>20100412_SD01_ER_MR_DTEK_ver1.0 (Excel file)</li> </ul>								
- 20100914_SD01_ER_MR_DTEK_ver2.0 (Excel file)									
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE									
Aut	Authorized officer signing for the AIE Flavio Gomes								
Date and signature				28/10/2010	/ V/yea	~~~			