

AIF REPORT FORM

A.			(version	04)			
(to be	completed by ar	AIE requesting the public	ation of a det	ermination pur	rsuant to paragrap	oh 37 of the JI guidelin	ies)
Accre	dited indepen	dent entity (AIE) subm	itting form	Bureau Verita	as Certification H	olding SAS	
			JI activ	vity			
Reference number and title of JI activity			0173: Mockiai Wind Power Joint Implementation Project				
Parties involved in the JI activity			Lithuania Netherlands				
Project participants				UAB Iverneta Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF)			
Coord	inating entity	(applicable to JI PoA	only)	N.A.			
Туре	of JI activity:	□ large-scale	⊠ small-	scale	□ LULUCF	□ PoA	
		Emission reduction	ons/Enha	ncements	s of removal	S	
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/10/2012-3				01/10/2012-3	1/12/2012		
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)			6,43	32			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity				□ Yes	⊠ No		
to JI y	Verification p	fication period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)			1		
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nu	ference number of JPAs verified			1		
Appl	Sampling app	pling approach used to verify the PoA			∆ □ Yes	□ No	
	State	ements regarding	requirem	ents and	possible ch	anges	
furthe	r relevant requ	all requirements of A uirements defined by he JI guidelines <u>are n</u>	the CMP o				ıant
Verific	ation opinion						

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd monitoring period verification of "Mockiai wind power park joint implementation project", which applies the project specific methodology.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The management of UAB Iverneta is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 1.7 (dated 26/05/2011). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Monitoring Report version 1 (dated 26/02/2013) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is

free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:					
Reporting period: From 01/10/2012 to 31/12/2012					
Baseline emissions:	6,432 t CO2 equivalents;				
Project emissions:	0 t CO2 equivalents;				
Emission Reductions:	6,432 t CO2 equivalents.				
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		⊠ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:					
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)					
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
List of documents attached to the verification repor	t form	manula balanca -			

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

١ ،	Verif	ficat	ion report					
1	 Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process. 							
	⊠ (Other relevant documents:						
			Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
			Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met					
			Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
			Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)							
	Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 26/02/2013)							
	Excel spreadsheet Monitoring data Mockiai 2012							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE								
Оре	eratio	ona	I manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan					
			('/)					

Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dzugan		
Date and signature	2013-03-08		