

ALE REPORT FORM

N.		AIL IX		(1 1 O 1	ZIAI		
(to be	(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)						
Accre	dited independ	lent entity (AIE) sub	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
			JI activ	vity			
Refere	ence number a	nd title of JI activity	0236: Kreivenai III wind power park project				
Parties	s involved in th	ne JI activity	Lithuania Netherlands				
Projec	t participants		Vejo vatas, UAB Ecocom BG, LTD				
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI Po	N.A.				
Туре	of JI activity:	□ large-scale	⊠ small-	scale 🗆 l	LULUCF	□ РоА	
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)				01/01/2012-31/10/2012			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)				20039			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity				_ ·	Yes	⊠ No	
to JI y	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-D	D/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A			
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nur	mber of JPAs verifie	ed	⊠ N/A			
Appl	Sampling app	roach used to verify	the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
furthe to para	r relevant requ	all requirements of a lirements defined by ne JI guidelines <u>are</u>	y the CMP o				
Verification opinion							
Please	e provide a ver	ification opinion (or), including a staten					

accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "Kreivenai III wind power park project" in Lithuania, which applies project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Vejo vatas, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 03. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 dated 27/11/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 31/10/2012 Baseline emissions: 20,039 t CO2 equivalents.

Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents.

Emission Reductions (Year 2012): 20,039 t CO2 equivalents.				
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report form Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly				

Verification report							
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable						
	Other relevant documents:						
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	 Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met 						
		□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans					
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
		Any other documents (please list):					
Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 27/11/2012)							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
Operational manager signing for the AIE		I manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dzugan				
Date and signature			2012-12-07				