## **JI Verification**



## AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(tobe completed by an AIE requesting the publication of adetermination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity					
		"Reduction of methane leaks on the gas equipment of the gas distribution points and on the gas armature, flanged, threaded joints of the gas distribution pipelines of PJSC "Dniprogaz"			
Parties involved in the JI activity		- Ukraine - Switzerland			
Projec	t participants	<ul><li>PJSC "Dniprogaz"</li><li>CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.</li></ul>			
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoAonly) N/a					
Туре о	of JI activity:   ☑large-scale    □ small-s	scale  □ LULUCF  □PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals					
Monite	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/11/2012 – 31/12/2012			
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of $CO_2$ eq.)		113 717			
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		⊡Yes ØNo			
to JI ly	Verification period(DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	<b>⊠</b> N.A.			
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	<b>⊠</b> N.A.			
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑N.A. □Yes □ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes					
furthe pursu ₽		of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and MP or the JISC with regard to verifications <u>et</u> :			

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver. 02, as of 12/08/2013

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final

□Yes ØNo

and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠N.A.		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	ØN.A.	□Yes	🗆 No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<b>⊠</b> N.A.	□Yes	🗆 No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:	⊠N.A.	□Yes	□ No
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed			
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠N.A.	□Yes	□ No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	₽N.A.	□Yes	D No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	ØN.A.	□Yes	□ No
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□Yes	₽No	
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)			
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□Yes	🗆 No	⊠N.A.
List of documents attached to the verification report f	orm		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	process and	mark belo	ow accordingly
☐Written approvals by the Parties involved, if appl ☑Other relevant documents: □ Document listing all revisions to the project of	design, if app <u>ect design,</u> if	applicable	
<ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project determination opinion and that the condition are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the monitoring number of and/or applicability of information collected, or changing conformity with relevant rules and</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>MAny other documents (please list):</li> <li>Annex A. Supporting document1. Calculation of by the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks points and on the gas armature, flanged, three places are and the project are provided from Neurophysical from the place of the project are provided from Neurophysical from Neurophysi</li></ul>	itoring plan, compared to regulations fo greenhouse on the gas aded joints o	f applicat the origin or establis gas emiss equipme of the gas	ble, improve the accurac al monitoring plan withou hing monitoring plans sion reductions generate nt of the gas distributio s distribution pipelines o
<ul> <li>determination opinion and that the condition are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the mon and/or applicability of information collected, or changing conformity with relevant rules and</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>MAny other documents (please list):</li> <li>Annex A. Supporting document1. Calculation of by the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks</li> </ul>	itoring plan, compared to regulations for greenhouse on the gas aded joints of 1, 2012 to De cation for the	f applicat the origin or establis gas emiss equipme of the gas ecember 3	ble, improve the accurac al monitoring plan without thing monitoring plans sion reductions generate nt of the gas distribution s distribution pipelines of 31, 2012. ty referred to above
<ul> <li>determination opinion and that the condition are still met</li> <li>Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>Determination that the revisions to the mon and/or applicability of information collected, or changing conformity with relevant rules and</li> <li>Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li>MAny other documents (please list):</li> <li>Annex A. Supporting document1. Calculation of by the JI project "Reduction of methane leaks points and on the gas armature, flanged, three PJSC "Dniprogaz" in the period from November</li> </ul> The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verific does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incomparison.	itoring plan, compared to regulations for greenhouse on the gas aded joints of 1, 2012 to De cation for the	f applicat the origin or establis equipme of the gas ecember 3 JI activi th the rol	ble, improve the accurace al monitoring plan without thing monitoring plans sion reductions generate nt of the gas distribution s distribution pipelines of 31, 2012. ty referred to above