JI Verification



(version 04)									
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)									
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS									
JI activity									
Reference number and title of JI activity			0089 - ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project						
Parties involved in the JI activity			Lithuania Netherlands						
Project participants			ACHEMA AB ECOCOM BG Ltc						
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.							
Туре	of JI activity: 🛛 🛛 large-scale 🛛 🕁 sm	all-scale		ULUCF	🗆 PoA				
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals									
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YY	(Y)	10/08/2011-28/08/2012 LINE 1: 23/08/2011 – 21/03/2011 LINE 2: 09/12/2011 – 17/07/2012 LINE 3: 26/08/2011 – 26/07/2012 LINE 4: 21/10/2011 – 28/08/2012 LINE 5: 28/11/2011 – 10/07/2012 LINE 6: 10/08/2011 – 23/04/2012 LINE 7: 02/09/2011 – 07/05/2012 LINE 8: 01/09/2011 – 05/04/2012						
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)			1,056,081						
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity			□ Yes 🛛 No						
to JI J	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YY	YY)	⊠ N/A						
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified		⊠ N/A						
Appl	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	1	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes									
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No									

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 5th periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project", located in Lithuania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N2O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v02. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of ACHEMA, AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 5 issued on 07/09/2009. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 dated 21 November 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 10/08/2011 to 28/08/2012								
Emission Reductions (year 2011): 393,123	3 t CO2	t CO2 equivalents						
Emission Reductions (year 2012): 662,958	3 t CO2	t CO2 equivalents.						
Emission Reductions (total): 1,056,0	81 t CO2	t CO2 equivalents.						
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	[□ Yes						
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A							
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:								
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period		□ Yes						
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)								

improv inform monito	ve the ation oring evan	t <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> e accuracy and/or applicability of n collected, compared to the original plan without changing conformity with at rules and regulations for establishing plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
List of documents attached to the verification report form										
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly										
Verification report										
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable									
	Other relevant documents:									
	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable									
	Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met									
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable								
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans									
	Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)									
	Any other documents (please list):									
	- [Monitoring Report – version 2 dated October	23, 2012							
	- (Calculations models access list								
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE										
Operational manager signing for the AIE Witold Dżugan										
Date a	nd si	ignature	2012-11-21	ling						