

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AlE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the Ji guidelines)								
ccredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS								
JI activity								
Reference number and title of JI activity	0163: Kreiver	0163: Kreivenai wind power park						
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	Not applicable	Not applicable						
Parties involved in the JI activity	Lithuania and	Lithuania and the Netherlands						
Type of JI activity: ⊠ large scale □ smal	scale	□ LULUCF	□ РоА					
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals								
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY	01/07/2009 - 31/12/2010							
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to Jl PoA only)	⊠ N.A	u.						
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI Po	N.A	🗆 Yes	□ No					
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	⊠ N.A	u.						
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	41 993 tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent							
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	Σ	⊴ Yes	□ No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: Yes								
Verification opinion								

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 1st monitoring period verification of "Kreivenai wind power park" joint implementation project, which applies the project specific methodology.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Energogrupe, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 06 (dated 31/09/2009).

The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 02 (dated 28/01/2011) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/07/2009 to 31/12/2010

Baseline emissions: 41 993 t CO2 equivalents;

Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents;

Emission Reductions: 41 993 t CO2 equivalents; Emission Reductions (Year 2009) : 13 629 t CO2 equivalents; Emission Reductions (Year 2010) : 28 364 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	_ Y	⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N.A .			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, please confirm that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N.A .	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised	⊠ Ye	s	□ No	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				

accura collect withou	ed, control	ns to the monitoring plan improve the nd/or applicability of information compared to the original monitoring plan anging conformity with the relevant egulations for the establishment of plans	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N.A .				
List of documents attached to the verification report form									
Please	atta	ch relevant documents used in the verification	n process and check	mark below	accordingly				
⋈	Ver	ification report							
	Wri	tten approvals by the Parties involved, if	applicable						
⊠	Oth	er documents:							
	□ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable								
	 Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met 								
	⊠	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable. Note. Revised monitoring plan is attached in the Monitoring report, Annex 1							
	Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans. Note. Determination opinion is provided in the Verification report, section 3.4								
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)								
	\boxtimes	Any other documents (please list):							
	-	Revised monitoring report (version 02)							
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE									
Authorized officer signing for the AIE									
Date a	nd si	gnature	17/03/2011	l	Ling				
			17/03/2011						