

8	C) AIE REP	'OF	RT F	OR	M		
at.	(ve	ersion	04)				
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)							
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS					
JI activity							
Reference number and title of JI activity			«Implementation of the energy efficiency measures and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere at State Enterprise "DZERZHINSKUGOL"				
Parties involved in the JI activity			Ukraine Switzerland Great Britain				
Project participants			SE "DZERZHINSKUGOL" CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. EVO CARBON TRADING SERVICES LTD				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)			N/A				
Туре	Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small-			□ LU	LUCF	□ РоА	
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)			01/01/2008 – 31/12/2011				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)				1 542 05	4		
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity				☑ Y	es	□ No	
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/	YYYY)	Ø	N/A			
Applicable to PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	N/A			
Appl	Sampling approach used to verify the P	οA	Ø	N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
releva paragı	e <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article nt requirements defined by the CMP or t raph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes						
	eation opinion		4 - 4				
Please	Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the						

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report №UKRAINEver/0706/2012 version 02 dated 03/10/2012.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		☑ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:						
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		☑ No			
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)						
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
List of documents attached to the verification report	<u>form</u>					
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	process and mai	rk below acc	cordingly			
<ul> <li>✓ Verification report</li> <li>□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable</li> <li>☑ Other relevant documents:</li> <li>□ Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li>□ Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li>□ Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li>□ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li>□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)</li> <li>☑ Any other documents: Excel calculation file</li> </ul>						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verific does not constitute a conflict of interest which is inco						
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov Bur	/				
Date and signature	03/10/2012	Holdin	e SAS			
	The American Designation					