

MONITORING REPORT

JI0078 - CMM utilisation on the Coal Mine № 22 “Kommunarskaya” of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company „GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass“

Monitoring Report 03

Monitoring period
16/03/2011 to 30/04/2012

Version 1
15 June 2012

CONTENTS

- A. General project activity and monitoring information
- B. Key monitoring activities
- C. Quality assurance and quality control measures
- D. Calculation of GHG emission reductions

Annexes

Annex 1: References

Annex 2: Technical drawing

Annex 3: Energy and material flowchart including metering positions

Annex 4: Differences between the determined PDD and implemented project

Annex 5: History of the Document

SECTION A. General project activity information

A.1 Title of the project activity:

CMM utilisation on the Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company „GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass“

Table - 1 Parties involved in the project

Party involved (*) (host) indicates a host Party)	Legal entity project participant (as applicable)	Please indicate if the Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant (Yes/No)
Netherlands	Carbon-TF B.V.	no
Ukraine (host)	PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY “COLLIERY GROUP “DONBAS” ¹	no

A.2. JI registration number:

UA2000013, JI0078

The project is approved as JI-project since 30/12/2009.

(http://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Projects/DeterAndVerif/Verification/FinDet.html)

Details of the project approval can be found under Annex I of this Monitoring Report.

A.3. Short description of the project activity:

In this project CMM, which has been sucked out of the active coal mine Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya, has been utilised in flares, a cogeneration unit, boilers and a ventilation air heater. The methane has been burned to less harmful CO₂. The cogeneration unit has generated power which has displaced conventionally produced power and gained an additional amount of CO₂ reductions.

In summer 2010 a second flare has been installed and started production at 10/08/2010. A third flare has been installed at the air shaft of the coal mine and started production at 29/10/2010.

Table - 2 Amount of methane utilised for heat and power generation and flaring

Unit	period	CH ₄ [t/period]	Heat and power generated [MWh]
Flares	16/03/2011-30/04/2012	9,597	n.a.
Boilers	16/03/2011-30/04/2012	1,867	14,040
Ventilation air heater	16/03/2011-30/04/2012	304	4,163
Cogeneration unit	16/03/2011-30/04/2012	973	4,407

¹ The name of the coal mine changed to PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY “COLLIERY GROUP “DONBAS”, see B.4. for justification

Total	2010-2011	12,741	n.a.
--------------	------------------	---------------	-------------

A.4. Monitoring period:

Start date 16/03/2011

End date 30/04/2012

Start day and end day included.

A.5. Methodology applied to the project activity (incl. version number):

A.5.1. Baseline methodology:

The approved consolidated methodology ACM0008 / Version 03 "Consolidated baseline methodology for coal bed methane and coal mine methane capture and use for power (electrical or motive) and heat and/or destruction by flaring") has been used with some project specific adjustments to identify the baseline scenario of the proposed JI project [ACM0008].

According to ACM0008 the methodological "Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane", EB 28 Meeting report, Annex 13, has been taken for the determination of the project emissions from flaring. In difference to the flaring tool, a combustion efficiency of 99.5%, according to the IPCC guidelines, has been taken into account instead of the default value of 90% as given in the flaring tool.

A.5.2. Monitoring methodology:

A monitoring plan provided by the "Approved consolidated baseline methodology ACM0008", Version 03, Sectoral Scope: 8 and 10, EB28 is applied to the project [ACM0008]. According to ACM0008 the methodological "Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane", EB 28 Meeting report, Annex 13, has been taken for the determination of the project emissions from flaring. In difference to the flaring tool, a combustion efficiency of 99.5%, according to the IPCC guidelines, has been taken into account instead of the default value of 90% as given in the flaring tool.

Applicability requirements for the monitoring plan of the ACM008 methodology are identical to respective requirements of the baseline setting.

A.6. Status of implementation including time table for major project parts:

The project installation has not been installed as described in the PDD. Two further flares have been installed. The second cogeneration has not been installed. See A.7. for further details.

Table - 3 Status of Implementation

Unit: Flare 1
Manufacturer: Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH
Type: KGU 5/8
Serial Number: 142301
Capacity: 10 MW

MONITORING REPORT FORM

Efficiency methane destruction: 99.5%	
Combustion temperature: 850°C	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2008
Last inspection	2009 – AS Wärmetechnik GmbH
Start of operation	18/12/2008

Unit: Flare 2	
Manufacturer: Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	
Type: KGU 5/8	
Serial Number: 1256	
Capacity: 8 MW	
Efficiency methane destruction: 99.5%	
Combustion temperature: 850°C	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2005
Last inspection	10/08/2010 – Eco Alliance
Start of operation	08/08/2010

Unit: Flare 3	
Manufacturer: Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	
Type: KGU 5/8	
Serial Number: 142401	
Capacity: 10 MW	
Efficiency methane destruction: 99.5%	
Combustion temperature: 850°C	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2008
Last inspection	2009 – AS Wärmetechnik GmbH
Start of operation	05/11/2010

Unit: cogeneration unit	
Manufacturer: Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH using a gas engine from Deutz AG	
Type: NC620K16	
Serial Number: CHP unit:143901; Gas engine: 69886800270	
Capacity: 3.750 MW firing, 1.35 MW_{el}, 0.93 MW_{th}	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2004
Last major overhaul	June 2008
Last inspection	none
Start of operation	29/01/2009
Planned installation date [PDD]	01/2008

Unit: boilers, 5 identical units, previously coal fired steam boilers, upgraded to hot water production

MONITORING REPORT FORM

with CMM-burners	
Manufacturer: Monastyrishchenskiy Mashzavod named after 60-years of October	
Type: E-1,0-0,9	
Serial Numbers: Nr.1 - Serial (not visible, but stated in pass) 17998, Inventar (visible) 228648 Nr.2 - Serial 10364, Inventar 229444 Nr.3 - Inventar 229415 Nr.4 - Inventar 228576 Nr 5 - Inventar 228944	
Capacity: 5 x 1 GCal heat production	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2008 - 228648 and 229444 2009 - 229415, 228576, 228944
Last major overhaul	none
Last inspection	none
Start of operation	October 2008 - 228648 and 229444 October 2009 - 29415, 228576, 228944
Planned installation date [PDD]	12/2007

The ventilation air heater consists of three modules, two modules a 1.0 MW and one module of 0.75 MW. The modular technology provides better regulation response of the heat production depending on the heat demand.

Unit: ventilation air heater	
Manufacturer: Kamensky Zavod	
Type: VGS 1,0	
Serial Numbers: 245969, 245970 two identical modules	
Capacity: 2 x 1.0 MW heat production 245969	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2009
Last major overhaul	none
Last inspection	none
Start of operation	October 2009
Planned installation date [PDD]	01/2008

Unit: ventilation air heater	
Manufacturer: Promgazapparat	
Type: KRON - 6U	
Serial Numbesr: 246216	
Capacity: 1 x 0.75 MW heat production	
Activity	Status
Year of construction	2009
Last major overhaul	none
Last inspection	none
Start of operation	October 2009
Planned installation date [PDD]	01/2008

The coordinates given in the PDD uses the SK-42 reference system which uses a slightly different reference ellipsoid than the WGS84 system used by Google. The SK-42 system and the substantial cartography are still in use in the most CIS countries and Ukraine too.

The WKS84 coordinates are:

Main Shaft: 48°07'10" N, 38°13'03" E

Air Shaft: 48°06'58" N, 38°16'05" E

A.7. Intended deviations or revisions to the registered PDD:

There are some deviations to the project installation as described in the PDD.

The installation of the boilers was delayed due to the Global Financial Crisis. Instead of the installation of two new boilers as described in the PDD, five small coal boilers have been purchased from another coal mine and have been upgraded with a CMM burner system.

The installation of the ventilation air heater was delayed due to the Global Financial Crisis. Instead of the installation of three identical modules as stated in the PDD, two bigger modules a 1 MW and one smaller module with 0.75 MW, with a total of 2.75 MW have been installed.

In the PDD a flaring capacity of 5 MW was given. The installed flare capacity has originally a capacity of up to 8.525 MW and has been slightly modified to reach an extended capacity of up to 10 MW. This allowed a higher utilisation of CH₄ in the beginning of the project while the installation of the other units was delayed. The modification has been done by the manufacturer.

Two further flares have been installed at the coal mine.

The second flare has been originally installed at the coal mine Molodogvardeyskaya in August 2007. After one year of operation the flare has been moved to the coal mine Krasnoarmeyskaya-Zapadnaya Nr.1 in July 2008 but has not been put in operation by the coal mine. In Summer 2010 this flare has been installed by Eco-Alliance at the Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya as flare Nr 2 and started operation at 08/08/2010.

The flare Nr.3 has been originally installed at the Coal Mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya also owned by PJSC "COLLIERY GROUP "DONBAS". Due to the lacking gas amount at the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya the flare has been moved to the Air Shaft of the «Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya» and started operation at 05/11/2010.

The installation of the second cogeneration should follow in Summer 2012.

Table - 4 Implementation plan

unit	Planned installation date (PDD)	firing capacity	Date of installation or envisaged new date of installation new timetable	realised firing capacity or envisaged firing capacity
boiler No: 1 & 2	12.2007	2 x 3,150 kW 6,300 kW total	10.2008 – two units 10.2009 – three units	5 x 1,167 kW 5,835 kW total

MONITORING REPORT FORM

flare No: 1	12.2007	5,000 kW	12.2008	10,000 kW
flare No: 2	-	-	08.2010	8,000 kW
flare No: 3	-	-	10.2010	10,000 kW
ventilation air heater	1.2008	3,000 kW	10.2009	2 x 1,000 kW 1 x 750 kW 2,750 kW total
cogeneration unit 1	1.2008	1,350 kWel	12.2008	1,350 kWel
cogeneration unit 2	1.2009	1,350 kWel	<i>June 2012</i>	<i>1,350 kWel</i>

A.8. Intended deviations or revisions to the registered monitoring plan:

A revised monitoring plan has been provided. See <Revised Monitoring Plan-K22.V5.pdf>

The calculation of the emission reductions is not calculated on a yearly basis, but for an individual period. See A.4. for detailed data.

Flow data and flare efficiency as well as the methane amount destroyed by flaring MD_{Fl} are calculated in 15 min. intervals in Excel sheets. The main emissions variables for project emissions, baseline emissions and emissions reductions are calculated on a monthly basis. Yearly sums and a total sum for the monitoring are calculated.

The formula for the calculation of project emissions from uncombusted methane has been updated. Formulae from the «Methodological “Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”» [AM_Tool_07]) have been applied, see Annex 4. The calculation of project emissions from uncombusted methane from flaring is now more accurate.

The heat amount produced by the ventilation air heater is not measured but calculated using the utilised methane amount.

Additionally monitoring procedures applied during the monitoring period are described in Annex 3.

A.9. Changes since last verification:

None.

A.10. Person(s) responsible for the preparation and submission of the monitoring report:

PJSC “COLLIERY GROUP “DONBAS”

- Viktor Ivanovich Orlov, Chief Engineer

Eco-Alliance

- Vladimir Kasyanov, Managing Director
- Pavel Shelegeda, Deputy Director
- Aleksandr Didenko, Monitoring Assistant
- Viktor Avtonomov, Monitoring Assistant

Carbon-TF B.V

- Adam Hadulla, Director Business Development
- Karl Wöste, Senior Consultant

MONITORING REPORT FORM

SECTION B. Key monitoring activities

B.1. Monitoring equipment:

B.1.2. Table providing information on the equipment used (incl. manufacturer, type, serial number, date of installation, date of last calibration, information to specific uncertainty, need for changes and replacements):

Table - 5 Monitoring equipment

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
1	CH ₄ concentration	Infrared measurement	Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH using gas analysers from Emerson Process Management GmbH&Co. OHG	Pro2 SAS1 / BINOS 100	120482003016	0-100% CH ₄	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	1.5%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibrations made using procedures of Eco Alliance every 2 weeks.	01/12/2010 02/12/2011	Sumy Standart-metrologya Eco-Alliance
2	NMHC concentration	lab analysis Gas-phase Chromatograph	Gazohrom	LHM-8MD	75 307	0-100%.	yearly	n. n.	2.5%	The approved laboratory is responsible for regular recalibrations of the system.	15/10/2010 07/11/2011	Donetskstandart metrologya
3	CMM amount to flare 1 (calculated with meters IDs: 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	calculation	n.a.	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	calculation	none	n.a.	n.a.
4	Gas flow (flare 1)	Standard orifice	Himpe AG	annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	Rings:361899 501871 (K22-F1)	0-2,500 m ³ /h	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008 Disk changed on 11/11/2009	0.75 % DIN EN ISO 5167-T.1-4	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	27/12/2011 passport to flow meter №501871 (K22-F1)	Sumy standart metrologya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
5	Pressure difference (flare 1)	Pressure difference transmitter	Honeywell	ST3000	08W18 C30591540010 01	0-100 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	20/12/2010 certificate № 2485 09/12/2011 certificate № 2352	Sumy Standart Metrologya
6	Pressure (flare 1)	Pressure transmitter Dry ceramic sensor	Noeding	P 121	EX812126961	0-250 mbar, rel	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	20/12/2010 certificate № 2486 29/12/2011 certificate № 2484	Sumy Standart Metrologya
7	Temperature (flare 1)	Resistance thermometer	JUMO GmbH & Co. KG	Type 90.2002	98026/2	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	DIN EN 60 751, Class B 0.3+0.005T	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	16/11/2010 18/10/2011 passport to Resistance thermometer № 92026/2	Sumy Standart Metrologya
8	Flame temperature, Flare 1	Thermo couple	Herth GmbH	DIN 43733 Type S, PtRh-Pt	56934 until 08/09/2011 76205	0-1,700°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	Sept. 2010 Sept. 2011	DIN 43733, Class 2 0°C - 600°C +/-1.5 K 600°C - 1600°C +/- 0.25%	Calibration made using procedures of manufacturer, according to DIN 43733. No recalibration, thermocouple is supposed to be changed at least one time per year, according to the flaring tool	nono	Herth
9	CMM amount to cogeneration unit (calculated with meters IDs: 10, 11, 12, 13)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	calculation	none	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	calculation	none	n.a.	n.a.

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
10	Gas flow (cogeneration unit)	Standard orifice	Himpe AG	annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	Rings:364581 491973	0-1,200 m ³ /h	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	0.57 % DIN EN ISO 5167-T.1-4	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	19/11/2010 passport to flow meter № 491973 11/1/.2011 passport to flow meter № 491973	Sumy standart metrologya
11	Pressure difference (cogeneration unit)	Pressure difference transmitter	Honeywell	ST3000	08W30 C30881000010 01	0-100 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	18/11/2010 certificate № 2279 11/10/2011 certificate № 2179	Sumy Standart Metrologya
12	Pressure (cogeneration unit)	Pressure transmitter Dry ceramic sensor	Noeding	P 121	EX812127126	0-250 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	18/11/2010 certificate № 2278 11/10/2011 certificate № 2178	Sumy Standart Metrologya
13	Temperature (cogeneration unit)	Resistance thermometer	JUMO GmbH & Co. KG	Type 90.2002	TN005115988 01264830010 0837003 (98026 for calibration)	-40-120°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	2008	DIN EN 60751, Class B 0.3+0.005T	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	30/03/2011 passport to Resistance thermometer № 98026	Sumy Standart Metrologya
14	Power production	Electricity meter	Actaris	SL7000 Type – SL761C07	53026020	n.a	Continuous, cumulative value Read period monthly	2009	0.5%	Initial calibration made by manufacturer using procedures according to IEC61036. Calibration is spent 1 time in 6 years	03/2009 passport to Electricity meter	Manufacturer

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
14a	Power production	Electronical load counter	DEIF	PPU	103461 G 203450000B	n.a.	Continuous, cumulative value	2008	1%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer..	Initial calibration by manufacturer.	Manufacturer
15	CMM amount to flare 3 (calculated with meters IDs: 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	calculation	none	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010		Calculation	None	none
16	Gas flow (flare 3)	Standard orifice	Himpe AG	annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	501871 (SG-F1)	0-2,500 m ³ /h	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010	0.75% DIN EN ISO 5167-T.1-4	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy Standard metrologya.	27/10/2010 passport to flow meter № 501871 (SG-F1) 03/10/2011 passport to flow meter № 501871 (SG-F1)	Sumy Standart Metrologya
17	Pressure difference (flare 3)	Pressure difference transmitter	Honeywell	STD-3000	C30591540010 03	0-100 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	27/10/2010 certificate № 2135 30/11/2011 certificate № 2501	Sumystandart-metrologya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
18	Pressure (flare 3)	Pressure transmitter	Noeding	P 121 E02-311	EX812126966	0-250 mbar, rel	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	02/11/2010 certificate № 2171 30/11/2011 certificate № 2502	Sumystandart-metrologya
19	Temperature (flare 3)	Resistance thermometer	JUMO GmbH	dTRANS TO1 Typ 90.2820/10	4571/1	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010	DIN EN 60 751, Class B 0.3+0.005T	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	27/10/2010 30/11/2011 passport to Resistance thermometer № 4571/1	Sumystandart-metrologya
20	CH ₄ concentration (flare 3)	Infrared meter	Pro 2 Anlagen- technik GmbH	BINOS 100	120482003017	0-100% CH ₄	Continuous record period 15 min.	29/10/2010	1.5%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibrations made using procedures of Eco Alliance OOO every 2 weeks.	01/12/2010 02/12/2011 passport to gasanalyzer № 120482003 017	Eco-Alliance Sumystandart-metrologya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
21	Flame temperature, flare 3	Thermo couple	Herth GmbH	DIN 43733, Type S, PtRh-Pt	66315 until 01/08/2011 76538	0-1,700°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	Oct. 2010 Aug. 2011	DIN 43733, Class 2 0°C - 600°C +/-1.5 K 600°C - 1600°C +/- 0.25%	Calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. No recalibration, thermocouple is supposed to be changed at least one time per year, according to the flaring tool	none	Herth
22	CMM amount to flare 2 (calculated with meters IDs: 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	Pro2 Anlagentechnik GmbH	calculation	none	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	08/2010		Calculation	none	none
23	Gas flow (flare 2)	Standard orifice	Himpe AG	annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	486343	0-2,500 m ³ /h	Continuous record period 15 min.	13/11/2009	0.75% DIN EN ISO 5167-T.1-4	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya.	19/11/2010 18/10/2011 passport to flow meter № 486343	Sumy Standart Metrologya
24	Pressure difference (flare 2)	Pressure difference transmitter	Honeywell	STD-3000	0609 C28014130010 01	0-100 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.	03/2009	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya.	18/11/2010 certificate № 2280 18/10/2011 certificate № 2005	Sumy Standart Metrologya
25	Pressure (flare 2)	Pressure transmitter	Noeding	P 121-EB4-311	Ex612124593	0-250 mbar, rel	Continuous record period 15 min.	03/2009	0.25%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya.	18/11/2010 certificate № 2277 18/10/2011 certificate № 2006	Sumy Standart Metrologya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
26	Temperature (flare 2)	Resistance thermometer	JUMO GmbH	dTRANS TO1 Typ 90.2820/10	92026/2	-50-150°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	03/2009	DIN EN 60 751, Class B 0.3+0.005T	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya.	01/12/2010 29/12/2011 passport to Resistance thermomete r № 92026/2	Sumy Standart Metrologya
27	CH ₄ concentration (flare 2)	Infrared meter	Pro 2 Anlagen- technik GmbH	BINOS 100	49939003	0-100% CH ₄	Continuous record period 15 min.	03/2009	1.5%	Yearly calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibrations made using procedures of Eco Alliance every 2 weeks.	20/12/2010 19/12/2011 passport to gasanalyzer № 49939003	Sumy Standart Metrologya Eco-Alliance
28	Flame temperature, flare 2	Thermo couple	Herth GmbH	DIN 43733, Type S, PtRh-Pt	66503 until 10/10/2011 77056	0-1,700°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	2010 2011	DIN 43733, Class 2 0°C - 600°C +/-1.5 K 600°C - 1600°C +/- 0.25%	Calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. No recalibration, thermocouple is supposed to be changed at least one time per year, according to the flaring tool	n.a.	Herth
29	CMM amount to boilers (calculated with meters IDs: 30, 31, 32, 33)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	ECO-Alliance OOO	calculation	none		Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	n.a.	Calculation	n.a.	n.a.

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
30	Gas flow (boilers)	Standard orifice	PRPE "Energoteh"	Annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	40	0-2000 m ³ /h,	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	none	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibration made using procedures of Sumy standard metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	11/05/2010 26/07/2011 17/04/201 passport to flow meter № 40	PRPE "Energoteh" Sumy standard metrologya.
31	Pressure difference (boilers)	Pressure difference transmitter	Siemens	SITRANS P DS III	N1-AO11-9174903	0-60 mbar	Continuous record period 15 min.		0.0375 %	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	11/04/2012 certificate № 676	Siemens Sumy standard metrologya.
32	Pressure (boilers)	Pressure transmitter	Noeding	P 121 E02-311	E812127127	0-250 mbar rel	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 certificate № 1442 11/04/2012 certificate № 680	Noeding Sumy standard metrologya.

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
33	Temperature (boilers)	Resistance thermometer	AOZT «TERA»	TSP U 1-3 PT-100	09453	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	0.5%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 11/04/2012 passport to Resistance thermometer № 09453	AOZT «TERA» Sumy standard metrologiya.
34	Heat production boilers	Calculation	ECO-Alliance OOO	none	none	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	none	calculation	n.a.	n.a.
35	Hot water flow (boilers)	Standard orifice	PRPE "Energoteh"	Annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	41	46,42-250 m³/h	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	none	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	25/11/2010 06/07/2011 7/04/2012 passport to flow meter № 41	"Energoteh" Sumystandart-metrologiya
36	Pressure of hot water (the - chamber)	Pressure difference transmitter	Siemens	SITRANS P Serie Z 7MF1564	AZB/XD18838 8	0-10 bar abs	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 certificate № 1440 11/04/2012 certificate № 677	Siemens Sumystandart-metrologiya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
37	Pressure of hot water (the + chamber)	Pressure transmitter	Siemens	SITRANS P Serie Z 7MF1564	AZB/XD18838 7	0-10 bar abs	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 certificate № 1441 11/04/2012 certificate № 678	Siemens Sumystandart-metrologiya
38	Temperature on an input (boilers)	Resistance thermometer	AOZT «TERA»	TSP U 1-3 PT-100	09454	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	0.5%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 11/04/2012 passport to Resistance thermometer № 09454	AOZT «TERA» Sumystandart-metrologiya
39	Temperature of hot water	Resistance thermometer	AOZT «TERA»	TSP U 1-3 PT-100	09439	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	11/2010	0.5%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 11/04/2012 passport to Resistance thermometer № 09439	AOZT «TERA» Sumystandart-metrologiya
40	CMM amount to VAH (calculated with meters IDs: 41, 42, 43, 44)	Standard orifice and pressure difference meter	ECO-Alliance OOO	none	none	n.a.	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	none	calculation	n.a.	n.a.

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
41	Gas flow (VAH)	Standard orifice	PRPE "Energoteh"	Annular chamber standard orifice DIN 19205	39	0-800 m ³ /h	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	none	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 17/04/2012 passport to flow meter № 39	"Energoteh" Sumystandart-metrologiya
42	Pressure difference (VAH)	Pressure difference transmitter	Siemens	SITRANS P DS III	N1-AO11-9174904	0-60 mBar	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	0.0375 %	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	11/04/2012 certificate № 671	Siemens Sumy standard metrologiya.
43	Pressure (VAH)	Pressure transmitter	Noeding	P 121 EE5-311	Ex812126972	-500-250 mBar, rel	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	0.25%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	11/04/2012 certificate № 679	Noeding Sumystandart-metrologiya

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ID	Data	Method	Manufacturer	Classification	Serial number	Range	Frequency of Measurement	Installation	Uncertainty level of data	Calibration procedure	Last calibration	Calibrator
44	Temperature (VAH)	Resistance thermometer	AOZT «TERA»	TSP U 1-3 PT-100	09441	-50-250°C	Continuous record period 15 min.	05/2010	0.5%	Initial calibration made using procedures of manufacturer. Further calibrations made using procedures of Sumystandart-metrologiya. Calibration frequency – 1 year.	05/07/2011 11/04/2012 passport to Resistance thermometer № 09441	AOZT «TERA» Sumystandart-metrologiya

B.1.3. Involvement of Third Parties:

- The lab analysis for the determination of the NMHC concentration has been done by MAKNI
- The gas chromatograph for NMHC analysis has been calibrated by Donetskstandartmetrologiya
- Initial calibrations have been provided by the manufacturers, further calibrations have been done by Sumystandartmetrologiya.
- Regular calibration of CH₄-concentration has been done by Eco Alliance
- Eco-Alliance supported the coal mine with the collecting of the monitoring data.
- Carbon-TF B.V. supervised the data for plausibility and completeness.

B.2. Data collection (accumulated data for the whole monitoring period):

B.2.1. List of fixed default values:

Table - 6 List of ex-ante fixed values

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Comment
P8, B49 CEF _{ELEC,PJ}	Carbon dioxide emission factor of CONS _{ELEC,PJ}	National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine, NEIA	tCO _{2eq} /MWh	Official Ukrainian data have been published at 28/03/2011, 12/05/2011 at the NEIA website. According to the information given in the PDD these data are taken into account. Set to: 1.063 t CO ₂ / MWh for 2011 and 2012. Value for thermal power plants which are connected to the Ukrainian Power grid. [NEIA]
P13 Eff _{FL}	Flare combustion efficiency	monitored data, revised monitoring plan	%	Set to: 99.5 % for: T _{Flame} > 850°C [PDD, ACM0008/IPCC] 90% for: 500°C < T _{Flame} < 850°C [AM_Tool_07] 0% for: T _{Flame} < 500°C [AM_Tool_07]
P16 Eff _{ELEC}	Efficiency of methane destruction / oxidation in power plant	ACM0008 / IPCC	%	set at 99.5% (IPCC)
P19 Eff _{HEAT}	Efficiency of methane destruction / oxidation in heat plant	ACM0008 / IPCC	%	set at 99.5% (IPCC)
P23, B19 CEF _{CH4}	Carbon dioxide emission factor for combusted methane	ACM0008 / IPCC	t CO _{2eq} /t CH ₄	set at 2.75 t CO _{2eq} /t CH ₄
P28, B18 GWP _{CH4}	Global warming potential of methane	ACM0008 / IPCC	t CO _{2eq} /t CH ₄	set at 21

MONITORING REPORT FORM

B55 EF _{HEAT}	CO ₂ emission factor of fuel used for captive power or heat	National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine, NEIA	tCO ₂ /MWh	set to 0.3415 tCO ₂ /MWh Using the value for "Other Bituminous Coal" of 25,87 t C/TJ, [NEIA-2]
B57 Eff _{COAL}	Energy efficiency of previously coal fired heat plant	Technical report	%	86 % upgraded boiler (measured value)
Eff _{VAH}	Efficiency of the heat generation by ventilation air heater	Technical report	%	Set to 74.2% (measured value)
HV _{CH4}	Heating value of methane	DIN EN ISO 6976	kWh/m ³ MWh/kg	set to 9.965 kWh/m ³ equal to 13.899 MWh/kg

B.2.2. List of variables:

Table - 7 List of variables

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Comment
P1 PE	Project emissions	monitored data	tCO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (1) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P2 PE _{ME}	Project emissions from energy use to capture and use methane	monitored data	tCO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (2) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P3 PE _{MD}	Project emissions from methane destroyed	monitored data	tCO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (3) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P4 PE _{UM}	Project emissions from uncombusted methane	monitored data	tCO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (9) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P5 CONS _{ELEC,PJ}	Additional electricity consumption by project	power meter	MWh	
P11 MD _{FL}	Methane destroyed by flaring	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (5) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P12 MM _{FL}	Methane sent to flare	flow meter	t CH ₄	
PE _{Flare}	Project emissions from flaring	monitored data	t CO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (9a) from the revised Monitoring Plan
T _{Flame}	Flame temperature of the flare	thermo couple	°C	
P14 MD _{ELEC}	Methane destroyed by power generation	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (6) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P15 MM _{ELEC}	Methane sent to power plant	flow meter	t CH ₄	
P17 MD _{HEAT}	Methane destroyed by heat generation	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (7) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P18 MM _{HEAT}	Methane sent to heat generation	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (7a) from the revised Monitoring Plan
MM _{Boiler}	Methane sent to boilers	flow meter	t CH ₄	

MONITORING REPORT FORM

MM _{VAH}	Methane sent to ventilation air heater	flow meter	t CH ₄	
P24 CEF _{NMHC}	Carbon dioxide emission factor for combusted non methane hydrocarbons (various)	lab analysis	t CO ₂ /t _{NMHC}	Calculated if applicable
P25 PC _{CH4}	Concentration of methane in extracted gas	IR measurement	%	
P26 PC _{NMHC}	NMHC concentration in coal mine gas	lab analysis	%	Used to check if more than 1% of emissions and to calculate r, if applicable
P27 r	Relative proportion of NMHC compared to methane	lab analysis	%	Calculated if applicable, based on the lab analysis.
B1 BE	Baseline emissions	monitored data	t CO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (10) from the revised Monitoring Plan
B3 BE _{MR}	Baseline emissions from release of methane into the atmosphere that is avoided by the project activity	monitored data	t CO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (14) from the revised Monitoring Plan
B4 BE _{Use}	Baseline emissions from the production of power, heat or supply to gas grid replaced by the project activity	monitored data	t CO _{2eq}	calculated using formula (24) from the revised Monitoring Plan
B14 CMM _{PJ}	CMM captured and destroyed in the project activity	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (14a) from the revised Monitoring Plan
B46 GEN	electricity generation by project	power meter	MWh	
B47 HEAT	Heat generation by project	monitored data	MWh	calculated using formula (25) from the revised Monitoring Plan
HEAT _{Boiler}	Heat generation by boilers	heat meter	MWh	measured
HEAT _{VAH}	Heat generation by Ventilation Air Heater	monitored data	MWh	calculated using formula (26) from the revised Monitoring Plan

B.2.3. Data concerning GHG emissions by sources of the project activity

Table - 8 GHG emissions by sources of the project activity

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Comment
P12 MM _{FL}	Methane sent to flare	monitored data	t CH ₄	Sum of three flow meters
P15 MM _{ELEC}	Methane sent to power plant	flow meter	t CH ₄	measured
P18 MM _{HEAT}	Methane sent to heat generation	monitored data	t CH ₄	calculated using formula (7a) from the revised Monitoring Plan
P25 PC _{CH4}	Concentration of methane in extracted gas	IR measurement	%	

MONITORING REPORT FORM

P26 PC _{NMHC}	NMHC concentration in coal mine gas	lab analysis	%	Used to check if more than 1% of emissions and to calculate r.
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------	---	----------------------------------------------------------------

B.2.4. Data concerning GHG emissions by sources of the baseline

Table - 9 GHG emissions by sources of the baseline

ID number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Comment
B14 CMM _{PJ}	CMM captured and destroyed in the project activity	Sum of flow meters	t CH ₄	sum of boilers, VAH, flare and cogeneration
B47 HEAT	Heat generation by project	monitored data	MWh	sum of heat generated by boiler + VAH
B46 GEN	electricity generation by project	monitored data	MWh	

B.2.5. Data concerning leakage

Not applicable.

B.2.6. Data concerning environmental impacts

PJSC "COLLIERY GROUP "DONBAS" is the owner of two coal mines, coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya and Coal Mine Nr 22 Kommunaraskaya. PJSC "COLLIERY GROUP "DONBAS started works on reducing greenhouse gas emissions already in 2006. As first pilot CMM utilisation two previously coal fired boilers at the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya have been upgraded with CMM burning systems. This early action has been verified as Greening AAU's. In the second phase further CMM utilisation units followed and the second JI project at Coal Mine Nr 22 Kommunaraskaya was initiated. At the time nearly all of the CMM from the suction system of both coal mines is utilised and no longer blown into atmosphere.

B.3. Data processing and archiving (incl. software used):

Three different but similar systems are used for electronically data collection.

Data from the boilers and the VAH are collected, processed and stored using a Siemens SIMATIC PLC S7 system and Siemens WINCC programming software. All data is stored in the internal memory about 2 GB. One time per hour the data are sent via GPS to an Internet-based Server data base. Eco-Alliance ensures regular back up's and archiving. The data can be read any time from the internet data base by authorised personnel. The utilised methane amount is automatically calculated and stored in the PLC. As all input data are stored, the automatically calculation can be checked in retrospect any time.

Data from the flare and the cogeneration unit are collected, processed and stored using a Siemens SIMATIC PLC S7 system and Siemens WINCC programming software. All data is stored in the internal memory about 2 GB. The data are read daily by Kuhse GmbH via GPS and stored in the Kuhse database in Germany. The data can be viewed any time using special access software provided by Kuhse. Kuhse ensures regular back ups and archiving. The data are regularly reviewed by Carbon-TF and Eco-Alliance. Carbon-TF provides regularly storing and archiving of the data as well as regularly transfer to Excel sheets for analysis, evaluation and reporting procedures.

The data can be read any time from the Kuhse data base by authorised personnel. The utilised methane amount is automatically calculated and stored in the PLC. As all input data are stored, the automatically calculation can be checked in retrospect any time.

The CMM flow to the cogeneration unit is not registered by the PLC of the unit. The data are recorded by a DAVID System (Data acquisition and visualisation device) developed by the Fraunhofer Institute UMSICHT. The data are stored in the internal memory of the DAVID. One time per day the data are recalled via GPS to the central data base at the Fraunhofer Institute and are available via an internet front end. The server provider ensures regular back ups and archiving.

For plausibility checks and potential data back up, data recorded by coal mine personnel in hand written journals can be taken. The journals are stored by the coal mine.

B.4. Special event log:

None.

SECTION C. Quality assurance and quality control measures

C.1. Documented procedures and management plan:

C.1.1. Roles and responsibilities:

The general project management is implemented by the Technical Director of PJSC “COLLIERY GROUP “DONBAS”, the Holding Company of the Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya, through supervision and coordination of activities of his subordinates, such as deputy director on surface degasification, heat technician, and heads of safety engineering departments.

Daily a group of mechanics and electricians who are responsible for the measures and maintenance of all technological equipment and measuring instruments are present on-site. The operation and maintenance of the plant is provided by Eco Alliance OOO.

The monitoring system is supervised by the administration of the coal mine under the existing control and reporting system. The general supervision of the electronically monitoring system is executed by Carbon-TF.




Figure 1 – Organigram

C.1.2. Trainings:

The employees responsible for the monitoring control have been trained on-the-job during the installation of the system.

The responsible personnel of Eco-Alliance has been trained on the handling with CMM-utilisation units and the applied monitoring systems, during an eight week long practical course in Germany in the autumn of 2005 and a two-week practical course in August/September 2008. In this courses which has been carried out by A-TEC Anlagentechnik GmbH, a Joint-Venture participant of Eco-Alliance, also the basic principles of emissions trading and the background of the monitoring has been explained. A-TEC Anlagentechnik GmbH is already running several CMM utilisation plants and monitoring systems in Germany.

These trained personnel is the basis of a team of engineers, which should establish a specialised service team in the Ukraine and instruct further operating and monitoring personnel, as well for this project.

C.2. Involvement of Third Parties:

- MakNII Institute, the “State Makeyevka Institute for Research and Education for Safe Work in the Coal Mining Industry”, a subsidiary of the “Ukrainian Ministry for Fuel and Energy”, has been involved for the lab analysis (NMHC) of the CMM.
- Sumystandardmetrologiya has been involved for the regular calibrations and service of the monitoring devices

C.3. Internal audits and control measures:

Methane concentration and CMM flow data of the flares are compared with the indication of the meters from the vacuum pump station for plausibility. The coal mine personnel have been instructed by Eco-Alliance.

QM procedure:

- Electronic data are stored at Eco-Alliance and Carbon-TF.
- Back-ups are made regularly by Eco-Alliance and Carbon-TF.
- A monitoring engineer from Eco-Alliance checks the data from web-site every day and makes internal weekly reports.
- Eco Alliance prepares monthly reports which are checked by Carbon-TF B.V.
- Carbon-TF prepares the monitoring report, which is checked by Eco-Alliance and the coal mine.
- Additionally data are recorded manually in journals by the coal mine personnel
- The journals are checked daily by the chief heat technician and cross-checked monthly by Eco Alliance
- The paper data are stored at the coal mine.
- Every 2 weeks a monitoring engineer from Eco-Alliance makes audits and remarks this in the operation journal.
- The mechanic on duty from the coal mine makes daily audits.
- Eco-Alliance makes service audits every month.

C.4. Troubleshooting procedures:

The general troubleshooting for the boilers and the VAH are available at the coal mine. The coal mine personnel are instructed to follow the procedures. In case of disturbance the gas supply to the boilers and the ventilation air heater are shut down by a quick acting valve and the CMM supplied by the degasification system of the coal mine is blown to the atmosphere. The flares and the cogeneration unit are also automatically shut down in case of faults.

SECTION D. Calculation of GHG emission reductions

D.1. Table providing the formulas used:

Table - 10 Formulae used taken from the revised Monitoring Plan

ID number	Data variable	Nr.	Formula
P1 PE	Project emissions	(1)	$PE = PE_{ME} + PE_{MD} + PE_{UM}$
P2 PE _{ME}	Project emissions from energy use to capture and use methane	(2)	$PE_{ME} = CONS_{ELEC,PJ} \times CEF_{ELEC,PJ}$
P3 PE _{MD}	Project emissions from methane destroyed	(3)	$PE_{MD} = (MD_{FL} + MD_{ELEC} + MD_{HEAT}) \times (CEF_{CH4} + r \times CEF_{NMHC})$
P4 PE _{UM}	Project emissions from uncombusted methane	(9)	$PE_{UM} = GWP_{CH4} \times [MM_{ELEC} \times (1 - Eff_{ELEC}) + MM_{HEAT} \times (1 - Eff_{HEAT})] + PE_{Flare}$
PE _{Flare}	Project emissions from flaring	(9a)	$PE_{Flare} = (MM_{Fl} - MD_{Fl}) \times GWP_{CH4}$
P11 MD _{FL}	Methane destroyed by flaring	(5)	$MD_{FL} = \sum_{i=1}^n MM_{FL,i} \times \eta_{flare,i}$
P14 MD _{ELEC}	Methane destroyed by power generation	(6)	$MD_{ELEC} = MM_{ELEC} \times Eff_{ELEC}$
P17 MD _{HEAT}	Methane destroyed by heat generation	(7)	$MD_{HEAT} = MM_{HEAT} \times Eff_{HEAT}$
P18 MM _{HEAT}	Methane sent to heat generation	(7a)	$MM_{HEAT} = MM_{Boiler} + MM_{VAH}$
P27 r	Relative proportion of NMHC compared to methane	(4)	$r = PC_{NMHC} / PC_{CH4}$
B1 BE	Baseline emissions	(10)	$BE = BE_{MR} + BE_{Use}$
B3 BE _{MR}	Baseline emissions from release of methane into the atmosphere that is avoided by the project activity	(14)	$BE_{MR} = CMM_{PJ} \times GWP_{CH4}$
B4 BE _{Use}	Baseline emissions from the production of power, heat or supply to gas grid replaced by the project activity	(24)	$BE_{Use} = GEN \times EF_{ELEC} + (HEAT / Eff_{COAL}) \times EF_{HEAT}$
B14 CMM _{PJ}	CMM captured in the project activity	(14a)	$CMM_{PJ} = MM_{FL} + MM_{ELEC} + MM_{HEAT}$
B47 HEAT	Heat generation by project	(25)	$HEAT = HEAT_{Boiler} + HEAT_{VAH}$
HEAT _{VAH}	Heat generation by VAH	(26)	$HEAT_{VAH} = (MD_{VAH} \times Eff_{VAH}) \times HV_{CH4}$
ER	Emission reductions	(18)	$ER = BE - PE$

MONITORING REPORT FORM

D.2. Description and consideration of measurement uncertainties and error propagation:

Some minor errors which have been identified in hand written operation journals have been corrected. Mistakes were made during the writing the DATA from the monitor into journals. During checking the DATA, the monitoring engineer has made adjustments to the time of measurement, namely: record the exact time (hours and minutes).

D.3. GHG emission reductions (referring to B.2. of this document):

D.3.1. Comparison:

Period	Prospected emission reductions, PDD [t CO _{2eq}]		Monitored emission reductions [t CO _{2eq}]	
	Full year	Proportionally for the monitoring period	in tonnes and percentage of prospected emissions	
16/03/2011-31/12/2011	178,029 (2011)	140,940	154,648	109,7%
01/01/2012-30/04/2012	177,767 (2012)	59,256	80,749	136,3%
Total 2011-2012		200,196	235.397	117.6%

The monitored values are higher than the prospected values in 2011 and 2012 because of the installation of the third flare at the air shaft of the coal mine. See A.3. for justification.

D.3.2 Monitored project emissions

Monitored project emissions [t CO _{2eq} / a]			
period	16/03/2011-31/12/2011	01/01/2012-30/04/2012	Total 2011-2012
methane destruction			
flaring	22,934	11,665	34,599
heat generation	3,337	2,833	6,170
power generation	1,869	895	2,764
additional power consumption			
power generation	110	45	155
Total	28,250	15,438	43,688

D.3.3 Monitored baseline emissions

Monitored baseline emissions [t CO _{2eq} / a]			
period	16/03/2011-31/12/2011	01/01/2012-30/04/2012	Total 2011-2012
release of methane that is avoided by the project			

MONITORING REPORT FORM

flaring	137,963	63,575	201,538
heat generation	24,668	20,935	45,603
power generation	13,812	6,615	20,428
production of heat that is displaced by the project	3,374	3,458	6,831
production of power that is displaced by the project	3,082	1,603	4,685
Total	182,899	96,186	279,085

D.3.4 Project emissions, baseline emissions and resulting emission reductions

Project emissions and emission reductions during the 2st verification period				
period	Monitored project emissions (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	Monitored leakage (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	Monitored baseline emissions (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	Monitored emissions reductions (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)
16/03/2011-31/12/2011	28,250	-	182,899	154,648
01/01/2012-30/04/2012	15,438	-	96,186	80,749
Total (tonnes of CO2 equivalent)	43,688	-	279,085	235,397

Annex 1**REFERENCES**

- [PDD], Project Design Document; Version 06, dated 2009-08-06
- Final Determination Report for the project: JI0078 CMM utilisation on the Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company „GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass“ Report No: 2008-1643 Rev 01, by DNV Det Norske Veritas, dated 2009-08-02
- Letter of Approval, Nr. M000016, issued on 2008-03-26 by the Ukraine (host party)
- Letter of Approval, Nr. 2008JI05, issued on 2008-04-22 by the Kingdom of the Netherlands (investor party)
- The project is approved as JI-project since 30/12/2009
(http://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Projects/DeterAndVerif/Verification/FinDet.html)
Registration numbers UA2000013, JI0078
- [NEIA] Baseline carbon emission factor for electric power approved in Ukraine:
<http://www.neia.gov.ua/nature/doccatalog/document?id=127498>
- [NEIA-2] Baseline carbon emission factor for other bituminous coal approved in Ukraine: 25,87 t C/TJ (National Inventory Report of Anthropogenic Emissions from Sources and Absorption by Absorbers of Greenhouse Gases in Ukraine for 1990-2009, Table P4.7)
- [IPCC], Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Reference Manual (Volume 3), Chapter Energy, 1.4.1 Unoxidized Carbon, Page 1.32, 1996, <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs6a.htm>
- [ACM0008], Approved consolidated baseline methodology ACM0008 – Consolidated baseline methodology for coal bed methane and coal mine methane capture and use for power (electrical or motive) and heat and/or destruction by flaring, version 03, EB28
<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAMethodologies/approved.html>
- [AM_Tool_07], Methodological “Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”, EB 28, Meeting report, Annex 13
- Determination and verification manual (version 01), undated
<http://ji.unfccc.int/Ref/Guida/index.html>
- supporting evidence documents provided by the coal mine




Figure-3 Installation scheme – Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunarskaya – Air shaft

Annex 3

Energy and material flowchart including metering positions

A3.1 Monitoring procedure:

The electronically data storage system is fully in operation. Manual records (journals) are still used by the coal mine and can be taken for backup. The heat produced by the VAH is not measured but calculated using the utilised methane amount. The general installation schemes are given in Figure-3 for the main shaft and Figure-4 for the air shaft.

A3.2 Project emissions from flaring

The formula for the calculation of project emissions from uncombusted methane has been updated. The calculation of project emissions from uncombusted methane from flaring are now more accurate.

In the PDD the formula for project emissions from uncombusted methane is given as per:

$$PE_{UM} = GWP_{CH4} \times [(MM_{FL} \times (1 - Eff_{FL}) + MM_{ELEC} \times (1 - Eff_{ELEC}) + MM_{HEAT} \times (1 - Eff_{HEAT}))] \quad (9) \text{ old}$$

In the revised monitoring plan the formula (9) has been replaced by the following formula:

$$PE_{UM} = GWP_{CH4} \times [MM_{ELEC} \times (1 - Eff_{ELEC}) + MM_{HEAT} \times (1 - Eff_{HEAT})] + PE_{flare} \quad (9) \text{ new}$$

PE_{Flare} is calculated using adopted formulae from the «Methodological “Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”» [AM_Tool_07] and ACM0008 Version 5. The original formulae refers to a yearly basis. The formulae have been adapted in the revised monitoring plan to variable monitoring periods:

The original formulae are:

$$PE_{flare} = \sum_{i=1}^n TM_{RG,i} \times (1 - \eta_{flare,i}) \times \frac{GWP_{CH4}}{1000} \quad (9a)$$

where:

- PE_{flare} Project emissions from flaring in the regarded period (t CO₂eq)
- TM_{RG,i} Mass flow rate of methane in the regarded interval i (kg/interval)
- η_{flare,i} flare efficiency in the interval i
- GWP_{CH4} Global warming potential of methane (21 tCO₂eq/tCH₄)
- n number of samples (intervals) in the regarded period

and

$$MD_{FL} = MM_{FL} - (PE_{flare}/GWP_{CH4}) \quad (5)$$

where:

- MD_{FL} Methane destroyed through flaring in the regarded period (t CH₄)
- MM_{FL} Methane sent to flaring in the regarded period (t CH₄)
- PE_{flare} Project emissions from flaring in the regarded period (t CO₂eq)
- GWP_{CH4} Global warming potential of methane (21 tCO₂eq/tCH₄)

MONITORING REPORT FORM




Figure -4 General installation scheme at main shaft

MONITORING REPORT FORM




Figure -5 General installation scheme at air shaft

In the revised monitoring plan and this monitoring report, formulae 9a and 5, see above have been resolved to fit better to the monitored data.

The project emissions from flaring are calculated using the equation:

$$PE_{flare} = (MM_{FI} - MD_{FL}) * GWP_{CH4} \tag{9a}$$

where:

PE_{flare} Project emissions from flaring in the regarded period (t CO₂eq)

MD_{ELEC} Methane destroyed through power generation (t CH₄)

MM_{ELEC} Methane measured sent to power plant (t CH₄)

GWP_{CH4} Global warming potential of methane (21 tCO₂eq/tCH₄)

The formula for the methane destroyed through flaring is:

$$MD_{FL} = \sum_{i=1}^n MM_{FL,i} * \eta_{flare,i} \tag{5}$$

where:

MD_{FL} Methane destroyed through flaring (t CH₄)

$MM_{FL,i}$ Methane sent to flaring in the interval i (t CH₄)

$\eta_{flare,i}$ Efficiency of methane destruction/oxidation in flare in the interval i, see below

n number of samples (intervals) in the regarded period

The interval is set to 15 min during the monitoring period, which is more accurate than the 1 h intervals from the «Methodological “Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane”» [AM_Tool_07])

For $\eta_{flare,i}$ three different values are taken, depending on the current combustion temperature $T_{Flame,i}$ of the flare in the interval i:

$T_{Flame,i}$	$\eta_{flare,i}$	Source
> 850°C	99.5%	[PDD, revised monitoring plan Section D.1.1 and Annex 3]
500-850°C	90.0%	[AM_Tool_07-15]
< 500°C	0%	[AM_Tool_07-15]

where:

$T_{Flame,i}$ Flame temperature of the flare in the regarded interval i (°C)

$\eta_{flare,i}$ flare efficiency in the interval i

A.3.3 Cogeneration unit

There are two power meters installed. The first power meter DEIF PPU (ID 14a) is an electronically counter, which is counting the produced power amount directly at the generator.

The second power counter ACTARIS SL-7000 (ID 14) is a smart power meter, which is counting the power amount, which is fed-in into the grid after the transformer. This counter is taken as GEN for the calculation of BE_{Use} .

A3.5 Heat generation by VAH

The heat amount produced by the VAH has can not be measured, so it is calculated using the utilised CH₄ amount and the combustion efficiency.

$$\text{HEAT}_{\text{VAH}} = \text{MD}_{\text{VAH}} \times \text{Eff}_{\text{VAH}} \times \text{HV}_{\text{CH}_4}$$

with

HEAT _{VAH}	heat generated by the ventilation air heater [MWh]
MD _{VAH}	methane amount destroyed by ventilation air heater [t CH ₄]
Eff _{VAH}	efficiency of heat production in ventilation air heater; set to 74.2%
HV _{CH₄}	heating value of methane [9.965 kWh/m ³ equals to 13.899 MWh/t]

Annex 4**Differences between the determined PDD and implemented project**

There are some differences between the determined PDD and implemented project. The conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met for the project.

- The physical location of the project has not changed.
- The emission sources have not changed.
- The baseline scenario has not changed.
- The changes are consistent with the JI specific approach and/or the clean development mechanism (CDM) methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project.

The differences of the project installation as described in the PDD and the implemented project are listed in the table below.

unit	difference	justification
boilers No: 1 & 2	delay	The installation of the boilers was delayed due to lacking funds especially due to the Global Financial Crisis.
boilers No: 1 & 2	changed number of units and changed capacity 5 units a 1,167 kW with a total of 5,835 kW instead of 2 units with 3,150 kW and a total of 6,300 kW	There have been multiple proposals at the time of the PDD preparation. A proposal different to that one described in the PDD has been realised. Instead of the installation of two new boilers as described in the PDD, five small coal boilers have been purchased from another coal mine and have been upgraded with a CMM burner system. Five smaller boilers instead of two bigger provide better adoption of the heat production depending on the heat demand, especially during the changes from winter to summer period. Ukrainian units have been chosen for economical reasons like better support with spare parts and already existing experience at the coal mine. The difference between the planned and installed heat production capacity is negligible as the actually heat demand of the coal mine is the leading factor for the heat production.
flare No: 1	delay	The installation of the flare was delayed due to lacking funds due to delayed project registration.
flare No: 1	changed firing capacity 10 MW instead of 5 MW	In the PDD a flaring capacity of 5 MW was given. The installed flare has originally a capacity of up to 8.525 MW and has been slightly modified to reach an extended capacity of up to 10 MW. This allowed a higher utilisation of CH ₄ in the beginning of the project while the installation of the other units was delayed.
ventilation air heater	delay	The installation of the ventilation air heater was delayed due to lacking funds especially due to the Global Financial Crisis.

MONITORING REPORT FORM

ventilation air heater	changed capacity 2.7 MW instead of 3.0 MW	<p>Instead of the installation of three identical modules as stated in the PDD, two bigger modules a 1 MW and one smaller module with 0.75 MW, with a total of 2.75 MW have been installed. Newer planning status showed that 2.7 MW is sufficient for the coal mine.</p> <p>The difference between the planned and installed heat production capacity is negligible as the actually heat demand of the coal mine is the leading factor for the heat production.</p>
cogeneration unit 1	delay	The installation of the cogeneration unit was delayed due to lacking funds especially due to the Global Financial Crisis.
cogeneration unit 2	delay	The installation of the second cogeneration unit should progress in Summer 2012.
flare 2	additional implementation	<p>The second flare has been installed due to the big amount of still unused methane at the coal mine. The utilisation of methane has increased and additional environmental benefit is gained.</p> <p>The second flare has been originally installed at the coal mine Molodogvardeyskaya in August 2007. After one year of operation the flare has been moved to the coal mine Krasnoarmeyskaya-Zapadnaya Nr.1 in July 2008 but has not been put in operation by the coal mine. In Summer 2010 this flare has been installed by Eco-Alliance at the Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya as flare Nr 2 and started operation at 08/08/2010.</p>
flare 3	additional implementation	<p>The third flare has been installed at the Air Shaft of the Coal Mine Nr. 22 Kommunaraskaya. The CH₄ production of the coal mine is actually much higher than expected. For safety reasons a second degasification station has been installed at the Air Shaft in addition to the Main Shaft. A part of this gas is utilised in the third flare. The utilisation of methane by the project activity has increased and additional environmental benefit is gained.</p> <p>The flare Nr.3 has been originally installed at the Coal Mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya also owned by „Colliery Group “Donbas“. Due to the lacking gas amount at the coal mine Shcheglovskaya-Glubokaya the flare has been moved to the Air Shaft of the «Coal Mine Nr.22 Kommunaraskaya» and started operation at 05/11/2010.</p>

Annex 5

History of the Document

Version	Date	Nature of Revision
1	15 June 2012	Initial adoption