JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM							
(version 03.1)							
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a dete	ermination pursuant	t to paragraph 37 of	the JI guidelines)				
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	TUEV-SUED						
JI activ	vity						
Reference number and title of JI activity	JI0078 - CMM utilisation on the Coal Mine № 22 "Kommunarskaya" of the State Holding Joint- Stock Company "GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass"						
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/A						
	Party involved	Legal entity project participant (as applicable)	Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant				
Parties involved in the JI activity	Netherlands	Carbon-TF B.V.	no				
	Ukraine (host Party)	Shakhtouprav lenye Donbass GOAO	no				
Type of JI activity: x large scale □ small s	cale 🗆 LU	JLUCF	🗆 PoA				
Emission reductions / Enha	ancements of	removals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	07/07/2008-31/03/2010						
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	X N.A.						
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	X N.A.	□ Yes	□ No				
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	X N.A.						
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	75,144						
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	X Yes 🗆 No						
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JIS paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : x Yes							

🗌 No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

Verification opinion is provided in the attached verification report.

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the				
determination has been deemed final	X Ye	es	□ No	
	Following revisions to the project design have occurred after the determination has been deemed final:			
	Registered PDD		Implemented project	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	2 new gas boilers with a total firing capacity of 6,300kW		5 modified gas boilers with a total firing capacity of 5,835kW	
	1 flare with a firing capacity of 5 MW		1 flare with a firing capacity of 5 MW (upgraded to 10 MW)	
	2 CHP units with a firing capacity of 2 x 1,350kW		1 CHP units with a firing capacity of 1,350kW	
	Ventilation Air Heater (3 Modules) with a total firing capacity of 3,000kW		Ventilation Air Heater (3 Modules) with a total firing capacity of 2,750kW	
	Verification opinion regarding the revisions to the project design is provided in the attached verification report.			
	TÜV SÜD confirms that the presented changes do not alter the original determination opinion.			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	□ N.A .	X Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	□ N.A .	X Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	□ N.A .	X Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	X N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	□ N.A.	X Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	□ N.A .	X Yes	s 🗆 No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u>	□ Yes		X No	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	□ Yes	1	lo X N.A.	

List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly

- x Verification report
- □ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable
- x Other documents:
 - Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
 - Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans
 - □ Sampling plan, if applicable (*JI PoA only*)
 - x Any other documents (please list):
 - x Monitoring report v8 dated 09.03.2011
 - x E1 Emission reductions calculation table

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized	l officer s	igning for	the Al	E
------------	-------------	------------	--------	---

Romy Welzel

Date and signature

17/03/2011

Bay Will