



Verification Report

Danish Energy Agency

Second Periodic Verification of the Project
“Energy efficiency improvement of the
district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin”
under JI Track 1

3rd Monitoring period: 01-01-2009 to 31-12-2009

Report No. 600500438

15 October 2010

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH
Carbon Management Service
Westendstrasse 199 - 80686 Munich - GERMANY

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1
 "Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta
 Turnu-Severin (2009)"



Industrie Service

Page 1 of 18

| Report No. | Date of first issue | Version No.: | Revision date | No. of pages |
|---|---------------------|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 6005004382 | 11-08-2010 | 02 | 15-10-2010 | 18 (without cover page and annexes) |
| Subject: | | | Second Periodic Verification under JI Track 1 | |
| Executing Operational Unit: | | | | |
| TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH, Carbon Management Service Westendstrasse 199 - 80686 Munich, Federal Republic of Germany | | | | |
| Project Participant (client): | | | | |
| 1. (Buyer of credits and client of TÜV SÜD) Ministry of Climate and Energy Danish Energy Agency Amaliegade 44 DK-1256; Copenhagen K; Denmark 2. (Project owner) ROMAG TPP | | | | |
| Registration number / Project Title | | | Project: "Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin" | |
| Monitoring period: | | | 01-01-2009 to 31-12-2009 | |
| First Monitoring Report (version/date) | | | Version 01 / 22-01-2010 | |
| Final Monitoring Report (version/date) | | | Version 02 / 22-07-2010 | |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Summary:

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH has performed the second periodic verification of the JI track 1 project: “Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu Severin”. This is in total the third verification that has been conducted for this project. The initial and first verification was for emission reductions achieved in the year 2007 (Pre-JI) for the purpose of transferring AAUs and the second was for ERUs from the first Year under JI Track 1. The project consists of 38 heat conversion substations connected to the secondary network of the Drobeta Turnu-Severin district heating system operated by ROMAG Thermo PP. The total length of the secondary networks pipework is approximately 175 km including heating and hot portable water distribution. Heat to the network is provided by the ROMAG Thermo PP CHP plant that is equipped with 6 boiler units and 6 turbines.

The management of ROMAG Thermo PP is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emission reductions.

A document review, followed by a site visit was conducted to verify the information submitted by the project participant regarding the present verification period. Based on the assessment carried out, the verifier confirms the following:

- that the project has been implemented and operated in accordance with the description given in the approved and registered PDD (version 08, 07 11 2009).
<http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/09PG38GL1EVUCD8D8JUNQEI4RPHUVJ/details>
- that the project is completely implemented as described in the PDD.
- that the monitoring plan complies with the project specific methodology (described in the registered PDD, see above) and the monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the monitoring plan. Installed equipment essential for generating emission reductions run reliably and the meters are calibrated appropriately. The project is generating emission reductions as a JI track 1 project.

The verifier can confirm that the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements. Our opinion refers to the project’s GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported, both determined using the valid project’s baseline, its monitoring plan and its associated documents.

Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm that the implementation of the project resulted in 71.316 t CO_{2e} of emission reductions (ERUs) during the verification period 01-01-2009 to 31-12-2009. In the registered PDD it has been estimated that the yearly ERs would be approximately 83,868 tCO_{2e}. The less ERs from 2009 are a result of a higher thermal efficiency of the power plant by operating mostly in combined heat and power (CHP) process. Since they are less than estimated it is conservative and thus can be accepted.

A possibility of double counting of ERs is also excluded as clearly stated in §8 of the LoA from the Romanian DFP. These ERs can be transferred as ERUs as long as there is an agreement between the Host country and the Buyer country.

Assessment Team Leader:
Thomas Kleiser

Assessment Team Members:
Auditor Steffen Klein

Project Manager:
Georgios Agrafiotis

Technical Reviewer:
Robert Mitterwallner

Certification Body responsible:
Rachel Zhang
Deputy Head of Certification Body





Abbreviations

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| ACM | Approved Consolidated Methodology |
| CAR | Corrective Action Request |
| CDM | Clean Development Mechanism |
| CDM-EB | CDM Executive Board |
| CER | Certified Emission Reduction |
| CMP | Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol |
| CO_{2e} | Carbon dioxide equivalent |
| CR / CL | Clarification Request |
| DEA | Danish Energy Agency |
| DNA | Designated National Authority |
| DOE | Designated Operational Entity |
| DVM | Determination and Verification Manual |
| EF | Emission Factor |
| EIA / EA | Environmental Impact Assessment / Environmental Assessment |
| ER | Emission Reduction |
| FAR | Forward Action Request |
| FSR | Feasibility Study Report |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas(es) |
| GWP | Global Warming Potential |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| IRL | Information Reference List |
| JI | Joint Implementation |
| KP | Kyoto Protocol |
| LoA | Letter of Approval |
| MP | Monitoring Plan |
| MR | Monitoring Report |
| NGO | Non-Governmental Organisation |
| PDD | Project Design Document |
| PP | Project Participant |
| TÜV SÜD | TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| VP | Verification Protocol |



Main Documents (referred to in this report)

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------|
| Methodology (name / version) | N/A | |
| Scope | 3 (Energy demand) | |
| Technical Area | 3.1 (Heat and Steam efficiency) | |
| PDD: | Version 08. 07 11 2009 | |
| Revised Monitoring Plan: | N/A | |
| | Version | Date |
| Published Monitoring Report | 01 | 22-01-2010 |
| Revised Monitoring Report | 02 | 22-07-2010 |
| Project documentation link: | http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/09P/G38GL1EVUCD8D8JUNQEI4RPHUVJ/details | |

| Table of Contents | Page |
|--|-------------|
| 1 Introduction | 5 |
| 1.1 Objective | 5 |
| 1.2 Scope | 5 |
| 1.3 GHG Project Description..... | 5 |
| Besides greenhouse gas emission reductions, there is expected to be a decrease of local dust and particle pollution from lignite transportation and combustion in association with the proposed project activity. | 6 |
| 2 Methodology..... | 7 |
| 2.1 Verification Process | 7 |
| 2.2 Verification Team | 7 |
| 2.3 Review of Documents | 8 |
| 2.4 On-site Assessment and follow-up Interviews | 8 |
| 2.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine Emission Reductions | 8 |
| 2.6 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective and Forward Action Requests | 9 |
| 2.7 Internal Quality Control | 9 |
| 3 Verification Results | 10 |
| 3.1 FARs from Determination / Previous Verification..... | 10 |
| 3.2 Project Implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document..... | 10 |
| 3.3 Compliance of the Monitoring with the Monitoring Plan..... | 11 |
| 3.4 Assessment of Data and Calculation of Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions | 14 |
| 4 Summary of Findings | 15 |
| 5. Verification Statement | 18 |

Annex 1: Verification Protocol

Annex 2: Information Reference List

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin” (2009)



Industrie Service

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

Danish Energy Agency has commissioned an independent verification by TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD) of its registered JI track 1 project: “Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu Severin”.

The objective of the verification work is to comply with the requirements of paragraph 62 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures and with the Decision 9 (JI Guidelines) issued in COP/MOP 1 Montreal 2005. The JI DVM was also used as guidance for the verification since it came into force in the mean time. Finally national requirements of Romania as they are described in the Romanian National JI Track I Procedure have been taken under consideration.

According to this assessment TÜV SÜD shall:

- ensure that the project activity has been implemented and operated as per the PDD “Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu Severin” Version 08, 07-11-2009, and that all physical features (technology, project equipment, monitoring and metering equipment) of the project are in place as described in the PDD.
<http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/09PG38GL1EVUCD8D8JUNQEI4RPHUVJ/details>
- ensure that the published MR and other supporting documents provided are complete, verifiable and in accordance with applicable JI requirements,
- ensure that the actual monitoring systems and procedures comply with the monitoring systems and procedures described in the monitoring plan and the registered PDD.

1.2 Scope

The verification scope encompasses an independent and objective review and ex-post determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions by the Accredited Independent Entity. The verification is based on the submitted monitoring report, the determined project design documents including its monitoring plan and determined report, the applied monitoring methodology, relevant decisions, clarifications and guidance from the CMP and the JISC and any other information, references and national regulations relevant to the project activity’s resulting emission reductions. These documents are reviewed against the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI Modalities and Procedures and related rules and guidance as well as against requirements as described in the Romanian National Guidelines and Procedures for JI Track 1 projects.

Based on the requirements in the JI DVM, TÜV SÜD has applied a rule-based approach for the verification of the project. The principles of accuracy, completeness, relevance, reliability and credibility were combined with a conservative approach to establish a traceable and transparent verification opinion.

The verification considers both quantitative and qualitative information on emission reductions.

The verification is not meant to provide any consultancy towards the client. However, stated requests for clarifications, corrective and/or forward actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring activities.

1.3 GHG Project Description

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Project activity: | “Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu Severin” |
| UNFCCC registration number: | RO1000133 |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Page 6 of 18

UNFCCC link: http://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Parties/DB/5T6DPV4N47Z6OW3HI1GX0QKFOUI6YL/viewDFP

Project Participants:

ROMAG TPP, Regia Autonoma pentru Activitati Nucleare –
RAAN – project owner represented by the Director GABRIEL
BALACI

Danish Energy Agency, Danish Ministry of Climate and
Energy represented by Inge Gerhardt Pedersen, Chief
Programme Coordinator for Romania

Location of the project: Latitude 44°40 min 25.5 N, Longitude 22°41 min 18 E

Date of registration as Track 1: 02-04-2010

<http://ji.unfccc.int/JIITLProject/DB/09PG38GL1EVUCD8D8JUNQEI4RPHUVJ/details>

Starting date of the official

Jl crediting period: 01-01-2008

After ROMAG TPP took over the district heating system from the municipality in 2003, the power plant initiated a number of activities in order to improve the overall efficiency of heat and hot portable water supply to consumers.

The JI Track 1 project activity focuses on the heat conversion part of the heat conversion substations and the secondary district heating network for supply of heat and hot portable water. In this context the project includes the redesign of the secondary district heating network and a subsequent replacement of in total approximately 190 km of heat and hot portable water pipes by new pre-insulated district heating pipes. Moreover the project comprises the installation of 114 new heat exchangers in 38 heat conversion substations located within the secondary district heating network. In connection with the rehabilitation work, heat metering devices have been installed at the heat conversion substation to meter the heat and hot portable water consumption side.

The district heating network rehabilitation project reduces heat and water losses within the secondary district heating network and the heat conversion substations connected to primary network. Bottom up this leads to the fuel consumption reduction at the ROMAG – TERMO CHP power plant and effects a reduction of the annual greenhouse gas emissions of the coal fired plant.

Besides greenhouse gas emission reductions, there is expected to be a decrease of local dust and particle pollution from lignite transportation and combustion in association with the proposed project activity.

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Verification Process

The verification process is based on the approach depicted in the JI Determination and Verification Manual.

Standard auditing techniques have been adopted for the verification process. The verification team performs first a desk review, followed by an on-site visit, which results in the formation of a protocol that includes all the findings. The next step involves the evaluation of the findings through direct communication with the PPs and then finally the preparation of the verification report. This verification report and other supporting documents then undergo an internal quality control by the CB "climate and energy" before submission to the client, the Danish Energy Agency (DEA) and the Romanian DFP.

2.2 Verification Team

The appointment of the verification team takes into account the technical area(s), sectoral scope(s) and relevant host country experience required amongst team members for verifying the ER achieved by the project activity in the relevant monitoring period for this verification.

The verification team consisted of the following members:

| Name | Qualification | Coverage of scope | Coverage of technical area | Host country experience |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Thomas Kleiser | ATL | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Steffen Klein | GHG-A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Georgios Agrafiotis | GHG-T | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |

Thomas Kleiser is Head of the Certification Body of "Carbon Management Service" at TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH since 2009 and lead auditor. He has participated in more than 100 CDM and JI project assessments, (validations /determinations and verifications) and more than 20 voluntary projects under different schemes.

Steffen Klein is an appointed auditor for CDM and JI projects of the CB "climate and energy" of TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH. The experience in CDM and JI projects he has gained (determination and verification) by participation in projects in Russia, Poland, Czech Republic, Pakistan and Colombia. As a graduated engineer he is an expert in the field of energy systems performing energy consultancy and certification services. Working for TÜV SÜD over 12 years he is a lead auditor in EU-ETS since 2004.

Georgios Agrafiotis is determiner and verifier for JI and voluntary projects. He has work experience in the field of industrial environmental technology and protection and also in technical environmental projects. As GHG trainee he has been appointed scopes 1,5 and 13 as per UNFCCC definition.

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Page 8 of 18

2.3 Review of Documents

The Monitoring Report version 01 submitted by the PP on 22/01/2010 and was assessed based on all the relevant documents as listed above. The aim of the assessment in the desk review was to:

- verify the completeness of the data and the information presented in the MR,
- check the compliance of the MR with respect to the monitoring plan depicted in the registered PDD. Particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of the metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures was paid,
- evaluate the data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions.

A complete list of all documents reviewed is available in annex 2 of this report.

2.4 On-site Assessment and follow-up Interviews

During 28-04-2010 to 29-04-2010, TÜV SÜD performed a physical site inspection and on-site interviews with project stakeholders to:

- confirm the implementation and operation of the project,
- review the data flow for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters,
- confirm the correct implementation of procedures for operations and data collection,
- cross-check the information provided in the MR documentation with other sources,
- check the monitoring equipment against the requirements of the PDD including calibrations, maintenance etc.
- review the calculations and assumptions used to obtain the GHG data and ER,
- identify if the quality control and quality assurance procedures are in place to prevent or correct errors or omissions in the reported parameters.

A list of the persons interviewed during this verification activity is included in annex 2.

2.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine Emission Reductions

Among several evidence items submitted, the following relevant and reliable evidence material have been used by the audit team during the verification process:

1. Monitoring report for 2009
2. Monitoring Plan, Guidelines and Procedures
3. JI Process Data Log Sheets 2009, Monthly reports
4. Calibration protocols
5. Determination of fuel parameters
6. Training evidences
7. Process control equipment (data collection)
8. Quality assurance procedures of all relevant processes

Sufficient evidence covering the full verification period in the required frequency/completeness is available to validate the figures stated in the final MR. The source of the evidence will be discussed in chapter 3 of this report. Specific cross-checks have been done in cases that further sources were available. The monitoring report's figures were checked by the audit team against the raw data. The



data collection system meets the requirements of the monitoring plan as per the project specific methodology.

2.6 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective and Forward Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the verification process is to resolve any outstanding issues which require clarification for TÜV SÜD's positive conclusion of the achieved GHG emission reduction. The findings raised as Forward Action Requests (FARs) (if any) indicated in previous reports (determination/verification) were discussed during communications between the PP and TÜV SÜD. To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised in the desk review, the on-site audit assessments and the follow up interviews together with the responses that have been provided by the PP are documented in Annex 1 (verification protocol).

A Corrective Action Request (CAR) is raised where TÜV SÜD identifies:

- non-conformities in monitoring and/or reporting with the monitoring plan and/or PDD;
- that the evidence provided is not sufficient to prove conformity;
- mistakes in assumptions, data or calculations that impair the ER;
- FARs stated during determination that are not solved until the on-site visit.

A Clarification Request (CR) is raised where TÜV SÜD does not have enough information or the information is not clear in order to confirm a statement or data.

A Forward Action Request (FAR) is raised where TÜV SÜD identifies that monitoring and/or reporting require special attention or adjustments for the next verification period.

Information or clarifications provided as a response to a CAR, CL or FAR can also lead to a new CAR.

2.7 Internal Quality Control

As a final step of verification, the final documentation including the verification report and annexes have to undergo an internal quality control by the Certification Body (CB) "climate and energy", i.e. each report has to be finally approved either by the Head of the CB or the Deputy (a Veto person and /or Expert fully independent from the project and the verification process can be further linked to the review). In case one of these two persons is part of the assessment team, the approval can only be given by the person who is not a part of the assessment team. If the documents have been satisfactorily approved, the Final Verification Report is submitted to the Danish Energy Agency along with the relevant documents.



3 VERIFICATION RESULTS

In the following sections, the results of the verification are stated. The verification results relate to the project performance as documented and described in the final PDD and Monitoring Report (22-07-2010, version 02). The verification findings for each verification subject are presented below:

3.1 FARs from Determination / Previous Verification

The verification team confirms that all FARs presented in the determination report have been correctly addressed by the PPs.

3.2 Project Implementation in accordance with the Project Design Document

The project was implemented modular in several phases since October 2006, finished before the end of the year 2007. When finishing a relevant phase this part is completely operational.

The project is fully implemented according to the description presented in the registered PDD since December 2007.

The project includes the redesign of the secondary district heating network and a subsequent replacement of in total approximately 190 km of heat and hot portable water pipes by new pre-insulated district heating pipes. Moreover the project comprises the installation of 114 new heat exchangers in 38 heat conversion substations located within the secondary district heating network.

The verifier confirms, through the visual inspection, that all physical features of the proposed JI project activity including data collecting systems and storage have been implemented in accordance with the PDD. The project activity is completely operational since finalizing the last phase in December 2007.

There is no difference between the values of the data and/or variables presented in the MR and the stated data in the PDD. The project activity is completely operational since finalizing the last phase in December 2007. Then in total 1,485 consumers are connected to the district heating system, 419 consumers to the primary network and 1,066 consumers to the secondary network.

There is a growing number of new connections to consumers in the secondary network from HCS that are a part of the project. Until the end of 2009 there are in total 468 new consumers connected to secondary network, including 162 new connections in 2009. Further more in 2009 there have been connected 88 new consumers to primary network, in total 222 until the end of 2009.

These connections are monitored separately and considered as not project integrated. Baseline and project emissions caused by connections to new consumers of the primary and secondary network are discounted in the calculation. This is due to the project specific methodology in the registered PDD.

The estimated ERs in the registered PDD with annual 83.868 t CO_{2e} meet the ERs really achieved in 2007. The estimation in the registered PDD was used as reference for judging of the annual ERs during the crediting period of the project.

In the monitoring period 2009 the result with 71.316 t CO_{2e} ERs is lower than it was estimated in the approved PDD. It is significant lower than in the monitoring period 2008 with 110.054 t CO_{2e} ERs.

This was caused by a 10 % higher thermal efficiency of the ROMAG THERMO power plant in 2009 while running mostly in CHP process. The average temperatures in the heating seasons of 2008 and 2009 in the local area of the project activity are not significant different and there is a higher heat consumption in the secondary network of approximately 6 % in 2009. The increased efficiency in the heat production process also overcompensate the higher heat consumption in 2009.

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

3.3 Compliance of the Monitoring with the Monitoring Plan

The monitoring has been carried out in accordance with the Monitoring Plan contained in the PDD. All parameters were monitored and determined as per the Monitoring Plan.

The verification of the parameters required by the Monitoring Plan is provided as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P, DH, primary}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to the primary network |
| Source of data used: | Recordings in logbooks are archived in paper form, the values of the daily reports are put into an excel spreadsheet prepared for one month. The project relevant data of one month are summarized in a JI Process Data Log Sheet. The equipment used has been calibrated according to the requirements of the approved monitoring plan. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports and related samples of daily records issued by the Heat Production Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool and used in the calculation Excel sheets. |
| Cross-check | The parameter that can be used for plausibility crosscheck is the calculated system efficiency of primary network in the Monitoring Excel Spreadsheet Tool. The efficiency is related to total amount of heat delivered to the primary network. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P, ps}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | Process steam produced for heavy water producers |
| Source of data used: | Continuous measuring system transferring data hourly to the TPP central control room (digital). Data were also manually recorded in the logbook and logged for the day. The equipment used has been calibrated according to the requirements of the approved monitoring plan. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Heat Production Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked by monthly or annual heat balances between fuel consumption and total heat production considering the thermal efficiency of the boilers. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P, DH, HCS}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to heat conversion substations (HCS) |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually and automatically, acquired and stored with the SCADA-System, every hour and logged for the day. The equipment used has been calibrated according to the requirements of the approved monitoring plan. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to consumers considering the efficiency of HCS. |

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P, DH, pr. con}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Description: | District heat supplied to consumers connected to the primary network |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually monthly. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the general heat balance of power plant and supplied networks. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P,DH,secondary}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to secondary network |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually and automatically, acquired and stored with the SCADA-System, every hour and logged for the day. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to single consumers connected to the heat conversion substations (HCS). |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P,DH,consumers}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to consumers connected to the secondary network |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually monthly, stored in the accounting system of the District Heat Department and provided to the JI-Department. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to secondary network considering the efficiency of the secondary network. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | $Q_{P,DH,pr.new_con}$ |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to new consumers connected to the primary network |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually monthly, stored in the accounting system of the District Heat Department and provided to the JI-Department.. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. New customers will be separately monitored and documented as foreseen in the registered PDD. The separate accounting of new consumers is done with the contract management system. Additional new consumers have been connected during the monitoring period 2009. |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the balance of total heat supplied to primary network considering the efficiency of primary network. |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | Q_{P,DH,sec,new_con} |
| Data unit: | Gcal |
| Description: | District heat supplied to new consumers connected to the secondary network |
| Source of data used: | Data are collected manually monthly, stored in the accounting system of the District Heat Department and provided to the JI-Department.. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. New customers will be separately monitored and documented as foreseen in the registered PDD. The separate accounting of new consumers is done with the contract management system. Additional new consumers have been connected during the monitoring period 2009. |
| Cross-check | Calculation can be crosschecked with the balance of total heat supplied to secondary network considering the efficiency of secondary network. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | $CV_{P,lignite}$ |
| Data unit: | Kcal/kg |
| Description: | Net calorific value of lignite |
| Source of data used: | Onsite analysis manufacturer, stored at the ROMAG TPP laboratory and provided to the JI-Department. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department. The responsible JI-Project management and the staff of the ROMAG TPP laboratory was interviewed during audit how sampling and analysing processes are conducted. The calibration of the equipment was checked onsite the ROMAG TPP laboratory by certificates. The procedures are well implemented and the accreditation of the ROMAG TPP laboratory is in process. |
| Cross-check | A second analyse from each delivery is made by ROMAG TPP laboratory. In case of a deviation a backup witness sample will be analysed by both. Net calorific value of lignite is one component for the calculation of the boiler applied fuel heat. The general energy balance of the power plant that is done anyway gives the possibility of crosscheck in combination with the amount of fired lignite. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | $CV_{P,oil}$ |
| Data unit: | Kcal/kg |
| Description: | Net calorific value of oil |
| Source of data used: | Onsite analysis manufacturer, stored at the ROMAG TPP laboratory and provided to the JI-Department. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department. The responsible JI-Project management and the staff of the ROMAG TPP laboratory was interviewed during audit how sampling and analysing processes are conducted. The calibration of the equipment was checked onsite the ROMAG TPP laboratory by certificates. The procedures are well implemented and the accreditation of the ROMAG TPP laboratory is in process. |
| Cross-check | Net calorific value of fuel oil is one component for the calculation of the boiler applied fuel heat. The balances of the boilers, only fired with oil, give the |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

| | |
|--|--|
| | possibility of crosscheck in combination with the amount of fired oil. |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Data / Parameter: | $V_{P,lignite}$ |
| Data unit: | T |
| Description: | Quantity of lignite consumed |
| Source of data used: | invoices, weight sheets, stock survey, stored at the technical department and provided to the JI-Department. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | The total amount of delivered lignite is weighted by deliverer and invoiced. Incoming waggons are weighted by weigh-bridge for check. Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department and single consumption sheets for each month. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. |
| Cross-check | The amount of consumed lignite is one component for the calculation of the boiler applied fuel heat. The general energy balance of the power plant that is done anyway gives the possibility of crosscheck in combination with the net calorific value of lignite. |

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Data / Parameter: | $V_{P,oil}$ |
| Data unit: | T |
| Description: | Quantity of oil consumed |
| Source of data used: | invoices, weight sheets, tank level, stored at the technical department and provided to the JI-Department. |
| Means of verification/Comments: | The total amount of delivered oil is weighted by deliverer and invoiced. Incoming waggons are weighted by weigh.bridge for check. Monthly mass balances are representative in accordance with the produced and measured heat. Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department and single consumption sheets for each month.. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. |
| Cross-check | The amount of consumed oil is one component to calculate the fuel heat applied by the boiler. The balances of the boilers, only fired with oil, give the possibility of crosscheck in combination with the net calorific value of fired oil. |

3.4 Assessment of Data and Calculation of Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions

All data have been available and all the parameters have been monitored in accordance with the monitoring plan.

The reported data have been cross-checked against other sources available as explained above in chapter 3.3.

The verifier confirms that the methods and formulae used to obtain the baseline, project and leakage emissions are appropriate. The same has been done in accordance with the methods and formulae described in the monitoring plan and PDD.

The verifier confirms that all the emission factors and default values (ex-ante values from PDD) have been correctly justified. All the emission factors and default values are explicitly mentioned in the monitoring report.

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Page 15 of 18

4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The verifier can confirm that the MR and related documents are complete and verifiable in accordance with the JI Track 1 requirements. All the findings raised by the verification team, the responses by the PPs and the conclusion from the team are presented in Annex 1. The means of verification and resulting changes in the MR or related documents are identified in the following table:

| |
|--|
| CAR 1: The value for January in the sheet IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION of the calculation tool is not consistent to the record in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department. |
| CAR 1, means of verification |
| Updated MR spreadsheet was checked. |
| CAR 1, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The value for January in the sheet IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION of the calculation tool has been updated accordingly to the record in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department. This mistake must be an oversight and has to be avoided by doublechecking each input value of the calculation tool. |
| CAR 2: Please put the completely parameter description in the sheet IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION column E (H) of the calculation tool. |
| CAR 2, means of verification |
| Updated MR spreadsheet was checked. |
| CAR 2, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The parameter description in column E (H) in the sheet IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION of the calculation tool has been updated. Now the parameter description is accordingly to the MR and the PDD. |
| CAR 3: An update of the annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 chapter 3.10 and 7. is necessary after completed correction according to CAR#1. |
| CAR 3, means of verification |
| Updated MR was checked against corrected MR spreadsheet. |
| CAR 3, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 have been updated accordingly to the new results after implementing corrected values in the calculation tool. There are no more discrepancies. |
| CR 1: Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided onsite. Please provide the missing copies of March and December 2009. |
| CR 1, means of verification |
| The completeness of the monthly reports was checked. The values have been crosschecked with the calculation tool. |
| CR 1, changes in the MR or related documents |
| Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided completely. |
| CR 2: Please provide the certificate to accreditation of the laboratory of ROMAG TPP coal department that is conducting the analysis of the lignite. |
| CR 2, means of verification |
| For the Monitoring Period 2009 the determination of the calorific values for lignite and oil for |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Page 16 of 18

| |
|---|
| comparison with supplier determined values was carried out by an accredited third party laboratory. In the year 2010 this task was delegated to ROMAG Thermo coal department laboratory. During onsite audit the calibration certificates for the equipment have been checked. The accreditation process is in progress. FAR#1 has been issued. |
| CR 2, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The accreditation process of ROMAG TPP Laboratory for fuel analysis is right now in progress. The certificate will be provided once the accreditation process is completed and the certificate has been issued. (see FAR 1) |
| CR 3: Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of lignite determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck. |
| CR 3, means of verification |
| There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. |
| CR 3, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of lignite reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department have been provided. These were referenced in the IRL. |
| CR 4: Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of oil determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck. |
| CR 4, means of verification |
| There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. |
| CR 4, changes in the MR or related documents |
| The monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of oil reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department have been provided. These were referenced in the IRL. |
| CR 5: Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of lignite consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosscheck. |
| CR 5, means of verification |
| There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. |
| CR 5, changes in the MR or related documents |
| Monthly values and the single consumption sheets for 2009 of the quantity of lignite consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department have been provided. These were referenced in the IRL. |
| CR 6: Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of oil consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosschecks. |
| CR 6, means of verification |
| There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. |
| CR 6, changes in the MR or related documents |
| Monthly values and the single consumption sheets for 2009 of the quantity of oil consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department have been provided. These were referenced in the IRL. |
| CR 7: What were the changes at power plant side in general to reach this indicated improvement of thermal efficiency? |
| CR 7, means of verification |
| The declaration can be followed by considering the calculation with values verified by TÜV |

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta
Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Page 17 of 18

SÜD for the Monitoring periods 2008 and 2009. The fact of a higher total efficiency of the ROMAG THERMO power plant, major less fuel consumption because of almost pure CHP processing, leads to less emission reduction for the project in 2009. This is although the heat delivered to the district heating system is comparable.

CR 7, changes in the MR or related documents

There was no change in the documents necessary.

FAR 1: The accreditation process of ROMAG TPP Laboratory for fuel analysis is right now in progress. The certificate should be provided once the accreditation process is completed (latest Dec 2010), and the certificate has been issued.

FAR 1, means of verification

The accreditation has to be considered for the Monitoring Period 2010.

FAR 1, changes in the MR or related documents

N.A.

SECOND PERIODIC VERIFICATION UNDER TRACK 1

“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin (2009)”



Industrie Service

Page 18 of 18

5. VERIFICATION STATEMENT

TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH has performed the second periodic verification for ERs from 2009 of the JI track 1 project: “Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu- Severin”. The verification is based on the currently valid documentation of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and takes into account in general all requirements for JI projects as well as specific national regulations as described in the Romanian National JI Track I Procedure of the Romanian DFP.

The management of ROMAG TPP is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emission reductions on the basis set out within the project’s Monitoring Plan indicated in the PDD version 08, dated 07-11-2009. The verifier can confirm that:

- the development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures are in accordance with the monitoring plan;
- the project is operated as planned and described in the project design document;
- the installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately;
- the monitoring system is in place and generates GHG emission reductions data;
- the GHG emission reductions are calculated without material misstatements;
- the monitoring plan in Monitoring Report is as per the PDD
- that the monitoring plan in latest determined PDD is in accordance with the approach taken regarding baseline setting and monitoring (please see Appendix B of the JI Guidelines – Decision 9 COP/MOP).

Our opinion is based on the project’s GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported, which have been both determined through the valid project’s baseline, its monitoring plan and its associated documents.

The AIE confirms that the reported emission reductions or enhancements of removals by sinks are accurate and free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01-01-2009 to 31-12-2009

Verified emissions in the above reporting period:

| | | |
|----------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Baseline emissions: | 454,077 | t CO _{2e} |
| Project emissions: | 382,761 | t CO _{2e} |
| Leakage emission: | 0 | t CO _{2e} |
| Emission reductions: | 71,316 | t CO _{2e} |

Munich, 15-10-2010

Munich, 15-10-2010

Rachel Zhang
Deputy Head of the Certification Body “climate
and energy”
TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH

Thomas Kleiser
Assessment Team Leader

PERIODIC VERIFICATION
"Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta
Turnu-Severin" (2009)

Annex 1: Verification protocol

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
Number of Pages: 72

Input by audit team in blue colour

Old text from previous verification (unchanged situation) in black colour

Table of Contents

1. Project Activity Implementation
 - 1.1. Technology
 - 1.2. Organization
 - 1.3. Quality Management System
 - 1.4. Remaining FARs from previous Verifications (or forwarded issues of validation report)
2. Monitoring Plan Implementation
 - 2.1. Parameters
 - 2.2. Parameters measured through sampling
 - 2.3. Parameters obtained through external sources and accounting data
- 2.4. Other parameters not included in the methodology/tool but included in the PDD
3. Data Processing and ER calculation
4. Additional assessment
 - 4.1. Internal Review
 - 4.2. Peculiarities
 - 4.3. Further additional requirements
 - 4.4. Data Reporting
5. Compilation and Resolutions of CARs, CRs and FARs

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

1. Project Activity Implementation 1.1. Technology

| Location (s) | PDD Description | Verified Situation | Conclusion and IRL |
|---|---|---|---|
| Description / Address: Calea Tg. Jiului, Km. 5, Drobeta Turnu-Severin, Mehedinți | ROMAG TPP is located approximately 5 km north-east from Drobeta Turnu-Severin in the Mehedinți Region, Romania | The location of the project site is described correct. There is the ROMAG THERM power plant outside the city connected with the project site, heat distribution network in Drobeta Turnu-Severin. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| GSP coordinates: | Latitude 44°40 min 25.5 N, Longitude 22°41 min 18 E | Latitude 44°40 min 25.5 N, Longitude 22°41 min 18 E The information in the PDD and refers to the information stated on site. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.0 |
| Technical Equipment – Main Components | | | |
| Description | PDD Description | Verified Situation | Conclusion and IRL |
| Component 1 - 6: Technical Features | Boiler No. 1 – No. 6 The CHP plant is equipped with 6 boiler units and 6 turbines. The thermal and electric efficiencies of the power plant amount to approximately 27% and 21%, respectively. | Boiler- and machine-house is one large but compact building. All the boilers and respective machines (turbine and generator) are in line. The operation of all the components could be followed up at the process control panels. Capacity: 258 Gcal/h equiv. 300 MWh each Manufacturer: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.4 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | <p>SC VULCAN BUCHAREST SA Romania Commissioning date / Serial number: No.1 31.07.1986 / CR1670 / 22244/82 No.2 30.06.1987 / CR1670 / 22250/82 No.3 27.04.1988 / CRG 1666 / 22721/84 No.4 28.11.1988 / CR 1244 / 23723/86 No.5 31.05.1990 / CR 1870 / 24729/88 No.6 28.02.1991 / CRG 1870 / 24737/89</p> | |
| Component 7: Technical Features | Turbo-generator no. 1, condensing turbine | <p>Capacity: 50 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA, Romania Commissioning date: 31.07.1986 Serial number: DSL 50-1 / 3829</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.4 |
| Component 8: Technical Features | Turbo-generator no. 4, condensing turbine | <p>Capacity: 50 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA, Romania Commissioning date: 31.07.1989 Serial number: DSL 50-1 / 3832</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.4 |
| Component 9: Technical Features | Turbo-generator no. 5, condensing turbine | <p>Capacity: 50 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA, Romania Commissioning date: 08.04.2004 Serial number: DSL 50-1 / 2660</p> | IRL No.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Component 10: Technical Features | Turbo-generator no. 6, condensing turbine | <p>Capacity: 25 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA,</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.4 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | <p>Romania Commissioning date: 29.12.2004 Serial number: SC 25 / 2682</p> | |
| <p>Component 11: Technical Features</p> | <p>Turbo-generator no. 2, backpressure turbine</p> | <p>Capacity: 50 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA, Romania Commissioning date: 30.06.1987 Serial number: DKUL / 3226 There are two backpressure turbines installed. The description in the PDD was updated in V8.</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.4</p> |
| <p>Component 12: Technical Features</p> | <p>Turbo-generator no. 3, backpressure turbine</p> | <p>Capacity: 22 MW Manufacturer: SC GENERAL TURBO SA, Romania Commissioning date: 02.08.2007 Serial number: DKAR 22 / 22966 Due to the verified situation onsite turbo-generator no. 3 is a backpressure machine.</p> | <p>IRL No.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Component 13: Technical Features</p> | <p>38 Heat conversion substations</p> | <p>Capacity: HEAT : 0 –3 Gcal/h; HOT SANITARY WATER : 0-2 Gcal/h Manufacturer: Reconstruction Commissioning date: 10/2006 - 28.02.2007 Serial number: HCS1; HCS2; HCS3; HCS4; HCS5; HCS6; HCS7; HCS8; HCS9; HCS13; HCS17; HCS19; HCS20; HCS21; HCS22; HCS23;</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Operation Status during verification | | Conclusion and IRL |
|---|---|---|
| Verified Situation | | |
| <p>HCS24; HCS25; HCS27; HCS29; HCS30; HCS31; HCS32; HCS35; HCS36; HCS38; HCS39; HCS40; HCS41; HCS42; HCS43; HCS53; HCS54; HCS55; HCS59; HCS60; HCS66; HCS67</p> | | |
| <p>Approvals / Licenses</p> <p>ROMAG Termo TPP is supplying heat to customers of the primary and secondary heat distribution network of the Drobeta Turnu-Severin over many years. The project was implemented to increase the efficiency of the secondary network in the city area. The distribution network is owned and operated by a branch of ROMAG Termo since January 2004 when it was handed over by the municipality.</p> <p>A contract (operation permit, concession) has been provided that the actual situation of ownership and operation will be covering the project lifetime.</p> | <p>Start date of operation (each site if applicable): 14/02/2006</p> <p>Under construction <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>In operation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Out of operation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Reason and date (if out of operation):</p> | <p>IRL No.32</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Actual Operation Status</p> | <p>The data basis for an appropriate operation provide the excel spreadsheets of the annual Monitoring Report that allowed the calculation of energy balances and shows several efficiencies. The operation was verified onsite by visiting the power plant and the central control room for the district heating system with an indication of every HCS in operation. Samples of HCS were visited as well to check the installation and metering system.</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Remarks to Special Operational</p> | <p>Phased implementation: The project was implemented between October 2006 and March 2008 in three stages. So it was finalized during the second monitoring period.</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| <p>Status During the Verification Period</p> | <p><i>The progress that was achieved is reflected by growing thermal efficiencies of the secondary network. After reconstruction the HCS were integrated in the project documented by Final Acceptance Reports. Then in total 1,485 consumers are connected to the district heating system, 419 consumers to the primary network and 1,066 consumers to the secondary network.</i></p> <p>Special cases:</p> <p><i>There is a growing number of new connections to consumers in the secondary network from HCS that are a part of the project. Until the end of 2009 there are in total 468 new consumers connected to secondary network, including 162 new connections in 2009. Further more in 2009 there have been connected 88 new consumers to primary network, in total 222 until the end of 2009.</i></p> <p><i>These connections are monitored separately and considered as not project integrated. Baseline and project emissions caused by connections to new consumers of the primary and secondary network are discounted in the calculation. This is due to the project specific methodology in the registered PDD.</i></p> | <p>IRL No.0 / No.36</p> |
|--|--|-----------------------------|

1.2. Organization

| Project Participant (s) | Verified Situation | Conclusion and IRL |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Entity / Responsible person: ROMAG TPP / General Director Danish Energy Agency / Deputy Programme Director</p> | <p><i>The responsibilities have not changed regarding to positions in comparison to the registered PDD.</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Jl Project management:</p> | <p><i>The responsibility has not changed due to the person.</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ROMAG TTP / Eng. Lelia Dobjanschi | |
|-----------------------------------|--|

1.3. Quality Management System

| General aspects of the Quality Management System | | Conclusion and IRL |
|--|--|---|
| | Verified Situation | |
| Quality Management Manual: | The Monitoring Plan Guidelines and Procedures, Version 4 (IRL No.3) is the basic document that detailed guides to fulfil the requirements of reporting accordance to the Monitoring Plan. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Responsibilities: | The Monitoring Plan refers directly to the Monitoring Plan Guidelines and Procedures. A further QM-Procedure "Management and Monitoring System, JI-Project (IRL No.7) provides all the responsibility in a detailed manner and refers to the JI-Project Organization Chart (IRL No.7) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Qualification and Training: | Basis of qualification and training of key personal is the "Personal Training Procedure". This is applied to the secondary and high education personnel. The training is organized once per year and includes all requirements regarding the JI-Project. Participation records were verified onsite. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 14 / No.15 |
| Implementation of QM-system | ROMAG TTP is practicing an approved QM-System based on ISO 9001. All the project relevant documents and procedures are integrated in the system that is strictly applied. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

1.4. Remaining FARs from previous Verifications (or forwarded issues of validation report)

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Remaining Requests from Previous Verifications | Summary of project owner response | Audit team Conclusion and IRL |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

2. Monitoring Plan Implementation

2.1. Parameters

| Parameters | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Meth/tool | PDD | MR | Included in table | Compliance | Conclusion and IRL | |
| | $V_{P, lignite}$ | $V_{P, lignite}$ | 2.4 table 1 | Power Plant Fuel Consumption Determination.doc | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $V_{P, oil}$ | $V_{P, oil}$ | 2.4 table 2 | Power Plant Fuel Consumption Determination.doc | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $CV_{P, lignite}$ | $CV_{P, lignite}$ | 2.3 table 1 | Fuels Calorific Values Determination.doc Code: PO – RT 78, Edition 1, revision 1. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $CV_{P, oil}$ | $CV_{P, oil}$ | 2.3 table 2 | Fuels Calorific Values Determination.doc Code: PO – RT 78, Edition 1, revision 1. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, primary}$ | $Q_{P, DH, primary}$ | 2.2 table 1 | IRL No.5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, ps}$ | $Q_{P, ps}$ | 2.2 table 2 | IRL No.5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, HCS}$ | $Q_{P, DH, HCS}$ | 2.2 table 3 | Heat and Steam Delivered to Consumers.doc, chap.6.2.2, IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, pr, con}$ | $Q_{P, DH, pr, con}$ | 2.2 table 4 | IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, secondary}$ | $Q_{P, DH, sec, onlary}$ | 2.2 table 5 | IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, consumers}$ | $Q_{P, DH, consumers}$ | 2.2 table 6 | IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | $Q_{P, DH, pr, new, con}$ | | 2.2 table 7 | IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Parameters | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Meth/tool | PDD | MR | Included in table | Compliance | Conclusion and IRL |
| | Q _{P, DH, sec, new, com} | | 2.2 table 8 | IRL No.11 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Parameters measured directly with instruments

Table 1

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL | |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to the primary network | <i>n. a.</i> | district heat delivered to primary network | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{p, DH, primary}$ | <i>n. a.</i> | $Q_{p, DH, primary}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Data Unit | Gcal | <i>n. a.</i> | Gcal | Used unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | every 8 hours, daily log | <i>n. a.</i> | every 8 hours, daily log | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | <i>n. a.</i> | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Calibration requirements | every 4 years | <i>n. a.</i> | every 4 years | 1. Heat computer BV 0084760/23.01.08 BV 0085679/09.09.04 2. Twin Thermoresistances BV 0084761/23.01.08 BV | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.5 | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | 0083121/19.07.06 3. <i>Ultrasonic Flow Meter (FP)</i> BV 06.02 – 001/20.02.08 BV 06.02 – 007/27.09.04 4. <i>Ultrasonic Flow Meter (RP)</i> BV 06.02 – 002/20.02.08 BV 06.02 – 008/27.09.04 | |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a | 0.2 % | The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. This is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Ultrasonic flow meter, heat calculator | n. a | Ultrasonic flow meter, heat calculator | This is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Technical aspects | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Instrument Type: | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Heat Meter SONOFLO/CALEC consisting of: 1. Heat Computer CALEC-MB TWIN E 2. Twin Thermo Resistances Pt 100 TPK 3. Ultrasonic Flow Meter (Forward pipe) – SONO 3110/3000 4. Ultrasonic Flow Meter (Return pipe) – SONO 3110/3000 | |
| Serial Number: | 1. 4113149/00 2. 185055-00 3. 335908N310 4. 131204N417 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer CALEC-MB TWIN E 2. Twin Thermo Resistances Pt 100 TPK 3. Ultrasonic Flow Meter (Forward pipe) – SONO 3110/3000 4. Ultrasonic Flow Meter (Return pipe) – SONO 3110/3000 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Specific Location: | Romag Thermo TPP, At the fence of CHP | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Range: | 0 – 4000m3/h (0 – 160 Gcal/h) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument : | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for this instrument.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>according to Project Procedure PO-RT94</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | <i>Readouts of instrumentation collected manually every 8 hours in and logged in daily reports.</i> <i>Type: measured electronically (digital), logbook, daily report</i> <i>Procedures: Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | IRL |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | <p>QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, training on the job Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14.</p> <p>Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i></p> <p>Responsibility: <i>Control Room Operating Staff - Turbine Department (PO-RT82)</i></p> | <p>No.7/35 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/13</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7</p> |
| <p>Archiving of raw data and protection measures</p> | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations</p> <p><i>Recordings in logbooks are archived in paper form, the values of the daily reports are put into an excel spreadsheet prepared for one month. The project relevant data of one month are summarized in an JI Process Data Log Sheet.</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations</p> | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, from where they are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO₂ emission reduction is made, based on the project approved methodology.</p> <p>Cells containing project formulae are locked.</p> <p><i>Raw data related to Process Steam and Hot Water Production delivered to industrial consumers and district heating system are daily transferred electronically and as hard-copy to the Technical Department. Therefore the Turbine Department is responsible. The Technical Department is processing the data and validating it by calculation of heat balances.</i></p> <p><i>The Technical Department transfers the data to the JI-Department that is controlling, recording and archiving the data and performing the calculation.</i></p> <p><i>Data losses can be avoided because all data are daily stored electronically and per hardcopy. Raw data can be followed down to logbook recordings.</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 Annex 2</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Completeness of data | Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. The data flow was followed up from logs to monthly reports for the full monitoring period. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports and related samples of daily records issued by the Heat Production Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool and used in the calculation Excel sheets.</i> <i>Clarification Request No. 1: Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided onsite. Please provide the missing copies of March and December 2009.</i> | IRL No. 12, 13, 25 CR #1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> <i>The parameter that can be used for plausibility crosscheck is the calculated system efficiency of primary network in the Monitoring Excel Spreadsheet Tool. The efficiency is related to total amount of heat delivered to the primary network.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

Table 2

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---|---|---|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | Process steam produced for heavy water producers | n. a. | Process steam production | As it describes the process steam production that is only delivered to heavy water producers it is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{P, ps}$ | n. a. | $Q_{P, ps}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Used unit is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Every our (manually and automatically) logged for the day | n. a. | Every our (manually and automatically) logged for the day | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calibration requirements | every 2 years | n. a. | every 2 years | Recent calibration campaign in 2008, details for every part of the metering equipment are provided in IRL No.24 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.5/ No.24 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | and can be assessed with documents in IRL No.7 | | |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | | 0,1 % | | The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | n. a. | n. a. | | Differential pressure Diaphragm, heat calculator | | Evidence was supplied by calibration certificates. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.5 | |
| Technical aspects | | | | | | | | |
| Instrument Type: Instrument 1 - 3 | <p>Feeders 16 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer MULTICAL CCA1212 2. Thermo Resistances Pt 100 3. Diaphragm DN = 558.88 4. Differential Pressure Transducer 5. Relative Pressure Transducer <p>3 meters for each line A, B, C: Computer "Multical" CCA 1212+thermoreistance Pt100+diaphragm+differential pressure sensor+relative pressure sensor</p> | | | | | | | |
| Serial Number: | | | | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.17/18 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | <p>Feeder 1 – 16 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0242 2. Thermo Resistances – 447 3. Diaphragm – 740-4 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3031/01 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 403/00 <p>Feeder 2 – 16 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0243 2. Thermo Resistances – 51 3. Diaphragm – 740-5 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3040 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 401/00 <p>Feeder 3 – 16 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0244 2. Thermo Resistances – 360 3. Diaphragm – 740-6 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3035/01 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 5024/01 | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>IRL No.17/18</p> |
| <p>Manufacturer Model Nr.:</p> | <p>Feeders 16 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer MULTICAL CCA1212 2. Thermo Resistances Pt 100 3. Diaphragm DN = 558.88 4. Differential Pressure Transducer 5. Relative Pressure Transducer | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>Specific Location:</p> | <p>At the border between Romag Termo TPP and Romag Prod (Heavy Water Producer) <i>TPP , each main pipe 16 bar</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Measurement Range: | Feeders 16 bar: 1 – 16 bar / 0 – 400 t/h | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument : | Period There are no gaps in operating time for these instruments. In case of repair or calibration alternate pipe is used. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: n. a. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: n. a. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Instrument Type: Instrument 4 - 6 | <p>Feeders 40 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer MULTICAL CCA1212 2. Thermo Resistances Pt 100 3. Diaphragm DN20 = 226.31 4. Differential Pressure Transducer 5. Relative Pressure Transducer <p>3 meters for each line A, B, C: Computer "Multical" CCA 1212+thermoreistance Pt100+diaphragm+differential pressure sensor+relative pressure sensor</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.17/18 |
| Serial Number: | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.17/18 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | <p>Feeder 1 – 40 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0239 2. Thermo Resistances – 943 3. Diaphragm – 740-1 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3037/01 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 401/01 <p>Feeder 2 – 40 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0240 2. Thermo Resistances – 1241 3. Diaphragm – 740-2 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3023/01 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 9002/01 <p>Feeder 3 – 40 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer – 0241 2. Thermo Resistances – 107 3. Diaphragm – 740-3 4. Differential Pressure Transducer – 3036/01 5. Relative Pressure Transducer – 9001/01 | |
| <p>Manufacturer Model Nr.:</p> | <p>Feeders 40 bar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Computer MULTICAL CCA1212 2. Thermo Resistances Pt 100 3. Diaphragm DN20 = 226.31 4. Differential Pressure Transducer 5. Relative Pressure Transducer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>Specific Location:</p> | <p>At the border between Romag Termo TPP and Romag Prod (Heavy Water Producer) <i>Each main pipe 40 bar</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Measurement Range: | 1 – 40 bar / 0 – 120 t/h | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument : | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments. In case of repair or calibration alternate pipe is used.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>According to Project Procedure PO-RT94</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | Type: <i>Continuous measuring system transferring data hourly to the TPP central control room (digital). Data were also manually recorded in the logbook and logged for the day.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ref Nr.0 |
| | Procedures: <i>Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job</i> | IRL No.7/ 11/35 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/13 |
| | Responsibility: <i>Control Room Operating Staff - Turbine Department</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations. <i>The records are in paper and in electronic form. Data are archived in data files and stored in different places. (Technical department, JI-Department)</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, from where they are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO ₂ emission re- | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| | <p>duction is made, based on the project approved methodology. Cells containing project formulae are locked.</p> <p><i>Raw data related to Process Steam and Hot Water Production delivered to industrial consumers and district heating system are daily transferred electronically and as hardcopy to the Technical Department. Therefore the Turbine Department is responsible.</i></p> <p><i>The Technical Department is processing the data and validating it by calculation of heat balances.</i></p> <p><i>The Technical Department transfers the data to the JI-Department that is controlling, recording and archiving the data and performing the calculation.</i></p> <p><i>Data losses can be avoided because all data are daily stored electronically and per hardcopy. Raw data can be followed down to logbook recordings.</i></p> | Annex 2 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. The data flow was followed up from logs to monthly reports for the full monitoring period. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | <p>Consistency of raw data with calculation tool:</p> <p><i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Heat Production Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i></p> <p>Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report:</p> <p><i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i></p> | See CR#1 IRL No. 12, 13, 25 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | Calculation can be crosschecked by monthly or annual heat balances between fuel consumption and total heat production considering the thermal efficiency of the boilers. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

Table 3

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to heat substations | n. a. (project specific approach presented in the approved and registered PDD) | District heat supplied to heat substations | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{P,DH,HCS}$ | n. a. | $Q_{P,DH,HCS}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Every our (manually and automatically) logged for the day | n. a. | Every our (manually and automatically) logged for the day | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calibration requirements | Every 4 years | n. a. | Every 4 years | Based on the following Verification Permits (BV): 1 Heat Computer V 0048706/14.01.09 V 0011784/04.05.06 2. Twin Thermo | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.5 The situation was documented for HCS |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|---|---|
| | | | | Resistances V 0048706/14.01.09 V 027656/04.05.06. 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer V 0048706/14.01.09 V 0011787/04.05.06 | no.3, All the project related 49 HCS are included in the calibration campaign |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | 2 % | The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. The valid calibration permits cover the whole monitoring period. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | This is consistent. More specification is provided within followed. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | | Conclusion and IRL |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meter KAMSTRUP A/S consisting of: 1. Heat Computer MULTICAL 2. Twin Thermo Resistances 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer ULTRAFLOW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Serial Number: | 1. Heat Computer - 4966623 2. Twin Thermo Resistances - 3589423 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer - 3589267 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer MULTICAL 2. Twin Thermo Resistances 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer ULTRAFLOW | |
| Specific Location: | HCS 3, district heating | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Range: | 0 – 5 Gcal/h | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94. Heat delivered to consumers related to the HCS the instrument fails can be used. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: According to Project Procedure PO-RT94. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | <i>Data are collected manually and automatically, acquired and stored with the SCADA-System, every hour and logged for the day.</i> Type: Digital | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/No.2 |
| | Procedures: Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11/ 35 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i></p> <p>Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/13 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | <p><i>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually.</i></p> <p><i>Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department of ROMAG THERMO.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <p><i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | <p>Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i></p> | See CR#1 IRL No.19 / 25 |
| | <p>Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <p><i>Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to consumers considering the efficiency of HCS.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Table 4

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL | |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to consumers connected to the primary network | n. a. | District heat supplied to consumers connected to the primary network | Description of the title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{P,DH,pr.con}$ | n. a. | $Q_{P,DH,pr.con}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Manually, monthly | n. a. | Manually, monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Calibration requirements | Every 4 years | n. a. | Every 4 years | 1. Heat Computer BV 0218320/05.12.06 2. Twin Thermoresistances BV 70288/05.12.06, 3. Flow Transducer BV 0218320/05.12.06. Before the project implementation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 The situation was documented for private heat station Gheorghe Titeica Nr.38 All the sta- | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------|------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | there was no measuring equipment installed. The billing of heat was made based on heated area. | tions of consumers connected to the primary network (379 similar) are included in the calibration campaign |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | 2 % | | The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. The valid calibration permits cover the whole monitoring period. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | | This is consistent. More specification is provided within followed. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | | | |
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meter POLLUSTAT EX consisting of: 1. Heat Computer 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| | | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | |
| Serial Number: | 1. Heat Computer – 6466008 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 07427 3. Flow Transducer 6466008 | ☑ IRL No.24 |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | POLLUSTAT EX | ☑ |
| Specific Location: | Private House – Druga Dumitru; 38 Gheorghe Titeica St., Drobeta Turnu Severin. | ☑ |
| Measurement Range: | 0 – 1 Gcal/h | ☑ |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument: | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i> | ☑ |
| | Default value used: <i>None default values have been used.</i> | ☑ |
| | Justification: <i>According to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i> | ☑ |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | Data are collected manually monthly. Type: digital Procedures: Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job | ☑ IRL No.1/No.2 ☑ IRL No.7/11/35 |
| | Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i> | ☑ IRL No. 12/13 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually. <i>Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i> | See CR#1 IRL No.19 / 25 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>Calculation can be crosschecked with the general heat balance of power plant and supplied networks.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Table 5

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL | |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to secondary network | n. a. | District heat supplied to secondary network | Description of the title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{p,DH,secondary}$ | n. a. | $Q_{p,DH,secondary}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Every our (manually and automatically) logged for the day | n. a. | Every hour (manually and automatically) logged for the day | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Calibration requirements | Every 4 years | n. a. | Every 4 years | HEAT System-latest 2 calibrations: 29.11.06 14.01.09. HOT SANITARY WATER System latest 2 calibrations: 04.05.2006 14.01.2009 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.27 The situation was documented for HCS no.3. All the project related 49 HCS are included in | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------|------------|---|--|
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | 2 % | The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. The valid calibration permits cover the whole monitoring period. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | This is consistent. More specification is provided within followed. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | | |
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meters KAMSTRUP A/S consisting of: 1. Heat Computer MULTICAL 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer ULTRAFLOW | | | | |
| Serial Number: | HEAT 1. Heat Computer – 4966675 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances - 3589411 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer – 3589305 HOT SANITARY WATER 1. Heat Computer – 4966559 | | | | |
| | | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances - 3589432 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer – 4966559 | |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer MULTICAL , 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances , 3. Ultrasonic Flow Transducer ULTRAFLOW | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Specific Location: | HCS no.3, district heating | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Range: | HEAT : 0 –3 Gcal/h; HOT SANITARY WATER : 0-2 Gcal/h | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94. Heat delivered to consumers related to the HCS the instrument fails can be used.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>According to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | <i>Data are collected manually and automatically, acquired and stored with the SCADA-System, every hour and logged for the day.</i> Type: Digital | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/No.2 |
| | Procedures: <i>Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | <i>Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job</i> | 11/ 35 |
| | Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/13 |
| | Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/11 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually. <i>Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i> | See CR#1 IRL No. 19 / 25 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to single consumers connected to the HCS.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
|--|--|--|

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Table 6

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL | |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to consumers connected to the secondary network | n. a. | District heat delivered to consumers connected to secondary network | As it describes the heat to consumers connected to the secondary network it is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{P,DH,consumers}$ | n. a. | $Q_{P,DH,consumers}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Manually, monthly | n. a. | Manually, monthly | This is consistent. The system will be improved to SCADA (after completing the connections of local Heat Meters to the new installed M Bus cable) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 0 | |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | monthly | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Calibration requirements | Every 4 years | n. a. | Every 4 years | Evidence of the latest 2 calibrations: HEAT 1. Heat Computer BV 000062797/12.11.07 2. Twin Thermo- | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.28 The situation was documented for one of | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|---|--|
| | | | | <p>Resistances BV 000062647/12.11.07 3. Flow Transducer BV 000062650/12.11.07</p> <p>HOT SANITARY WATER 1. Heat Computer BV 241/ 21.07.08, 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances BV 000062647/21.07.2008 3. Flow Transducer BV 000062503/21.07.2008</p> <p>Before the project implementation there was no measuring equipment installed. The billing of heat was made based on heated area.</p> | <p><i>similar consumer connected to HCS No.54. All project related 1.502 consumers are included in the calibration campaign according to their time of connection.</i></p> |
| <p>Uncertainty level</p> | <p>low</p> | <p>n. a.</p> | <p>2 %</p> | <p><i>The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD.</i> <i>The valid calibration</i></p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------|------------|---|--|
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | permits cover the whole monitoring period. This is consistent. More specification is provided within followed. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | | |
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meter US ECHO/Botosani – Romania consisting of: 1. Heat Computer – ELTRAM CF 55 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer – USECHO II ELSAFLO. | | | | |
| Serial Number: | HEAT 1. Heat Computer – 07729981 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 0700190 3. Flow Transducer – 07725981 HOT SANITARY WATER 1. Heat Computer – 07741904; 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 0700103; 3. Flow Transducer – 07730054. | | | | |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer – ELTRAM CF 55 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer – USECHO II ELSAFLO | | | | |
| Specific Location: | Private House – Marica Stefan; 16 Decebal St., Drobeta Turnu Severin, (PT54) | | | | |
| Measurement Range: | HEAT: 0-1Gcal/h, HOT SANITARY WATER: 0-0,5 Gcal/h | | | | |
| | | | | | Conclusion and IRL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Gaps in operating time of instrument : | <p>Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i></p> <p>Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94. Heat supplied to secondary network related to the HCS the consumer is connected can be used.</i></p> <p>Justification: <i>According to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Source of data | <p>QA/QC aspects</p> <p><i>Data are collected manually monthly.</i></p> <p>Type: digital</p> <p>Procedures: <i>Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14</i> <i>QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job</i></p> <p>Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i></p> <p>Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff</p> | <p>Conclusion and IRL</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/No.2</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/11/35</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.12/13</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11</p> <p>Conclusion and IRL</p> |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations.</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11</p> |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually. <i>Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department.</i></p> <p>Quality of evidence</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11</p> <p>Conclusion and IRL</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Completeness of data | Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | <p>Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department.</i></p> <p>Error! Reference source not found.: <i>The value for January in the sheet INPUT_DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION of the calculation tool is not consistent to the records in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department.</i></p> <p><i>Corrective Action Request No.2: Please put the completely parameter description in the sheet INPUT_DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION column E (H) of the calculation tool.</i></p> | <p>See CR#1 IRL No.19 / 25</p> <p>CAR#1</p> <p>CAR#2 These issues have been solved. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <p>Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i></p> <p><i>Corrective Action Request No.3: An update of the annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 chapter 3.10 and 7. is necessary after completed correction according to CAR#1.</i></p> <p><i>Calculation can be crosschecked with the heat supplied to secondary network considering the efficiency of the secondary network.</i></p> | <p>CAR#3 MR was updated accordingly. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Table 7

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|---|---|---|
| Parameter title | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| | District heat supplied to new consumers connected to the primary network | n. a. | District heat delivered to new consumers connected to the primary network | As it describes the heat to new consumers connected to the primary network it is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1, No.2 |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $Q_{p_DH_pr_new_com}$ | n. a. | $Q_{p_DH_pr_new_com}$ | The order of parameter descriptions in the MR follows PDD and Monitoring Manual Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Manually, monthly | n. a. | Manually, monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | monthly | n. a. | monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calibration requirements | Every 4 years | n. a. | Every 4 years | Evidence of the latest calibration: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.32 The situation was documented for one of |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>1. Heat Computer BV 000112638/04.09.09</p> <p>2. Twin Thermo-Resistances BV 000112638/04.09.09</p> <p>3. Flow Transducer BV 000112638/04.09.09.</p> <p>The connection has been made in September 2009 (Commissioning Protocol dated 18.09.2009)</p> | <p>similar consumers recently new connected to primary network. All project related 197 consumers are included in the calibration campaign according to their time of connection.</p> |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | 2 % | | <p>The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD.</p> <p>The valid calibration certificate from the Meteorological Institute Craiova covers the whole Monitoring period.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | | <p>This is consistent. More specification is provided within fol-</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | <i>lowed.</i> | Conclusion and IRL |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------|---|
| | Technical aspects | | | | |
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meter ACTARIS – Romania consisting of: 1. Heat Computer – ELSONIC COMPACT 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer – ELSONIC COMPACT | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Serial Number: | 1. Heat Computer – 0879515; 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 0711708; 3. Flow Transducer – 0879515 | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer – ELSONIC COMPACT 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer – ELSONIC COMPACT | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Specific Location: | Private House – Rosculet Lenuta; 8, Aurelian St., Drobeta Turnu Severin | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Measurement Range: | 0-1Gcal/h | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument: | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: According to Project Procedure PO-RT94. | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | <i>Data are collected manually monthly.</i> Type: digital | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/No.2 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | <p>Procedures: Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 <i>QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job</i></p> <p>Implementation of procedure: <i>The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow.</i></p> <p>Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/11/35</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/13</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/14</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11</p> <p>Conclusion and IRL</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7</p> |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually. <i>Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <p><i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | <p>Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. New customers will be separately monitored and documented as foreseen in the registered PDD. The separate accounting of new consumers is done with the contract management system. Additional new consumers have been connected during the monitoring period 2009.</i></p> | <p>See CR#1 IRL No.19 / 25 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | Calculation can be crosschecked with the balance of total heat supplied to primary network considering the efficiency of primary network. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Table 8

| Parameter and instrumentation Information | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|--|---|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | District heat supplied to new consumers connected to the secondary network | n. a. | District heat delivered to new consumers connected to the secondary network | As it describes the heat to new consumers connected to the secondary network it is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 1, No.2 |
| Parameter ID (if available) | Q_{p,DH,sec,new_con} | n. a. | Q_{p,DH,sec,new_con} | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | Gcal | n. a. | Gcal | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring frequency (reading) | Manually, monthly | n. a. | Manually, monthly | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | When the SCADA-System will be fully operational data are monitored every our (manually and automati- | | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | <i>cally) logged for the day</i> | | |
| Monitoring frequency (recording) | <i>monthly</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>monthly</i> | <i>This is consistent</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calibration requirements | <i>Every 4 years</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>Every 4 years</i> | <p>HEAT 1. Heat Computer BV 00007530/04.11.08 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances BV 00007530/04.11.08 3. Flow Transducer BV 00007530/04.11.08 HOT SANITARY WATER 1. Heat Computer BV 000096028/27.02.09 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances BV 000096028/27.02.09 3. Flow Transducer BV 000096028/27.02.09. HEAT :The connection has been made in September 2008 (Commissioning Pro-</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.30 The situation was documented for one of similar consumers recently new connected to secondary network. All project related 437 consumers are included in the calibration campaign according to their time of connection</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | <p>ocol dated 04.11.2008) HOT SANITARY WATER: The connection has been made in August 2009</p> | |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | 2 % | <p>The value of the calibrated system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. The valid calibration permits cover the whole monitoring period.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Principle (if applicable) | Heat meter | n. a. | Ultrasonic | <p>This is consistent. More specification is provided within followed.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | Technical aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Instrument Type: | Heat Meter ACTARIS – Romania consisting of: 1. Heat Computer – ELSONIC COMPACT 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances 3. Flow Transducer – ELSONIC COMPACT | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Serial Number: | HEAT 1. Heat Computer – 08792500 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 09981 3. Flow Transducer – 08792500 HOT SANITARY WATER: 1. Heat Computer – 08792500 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 0711222 3. Flow Transducer – 08792500 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Manufacturer Model Nr.: | 1. Heat Computer – ELSONIC COMPACT 2. Twin Thermo-Resistances – 3. Flow Transducer – ELSONIC COMPACT | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Specific Location: | Private House Nicolici Stefan; 25, KISELEFF St., Drobeta Turnu Severin (PT10) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Measurement Range: | 0-1 Gcal/h | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in operating time of instrument: | Period: <i>There were no gaps in operating time for these instruments.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>In case of gaps according to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>According to Project Procedure PO-RT94.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Source of data | Data are collected manually monthly. Type: digital | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/No.1 |
| | Procedures: Internal Working Procedure PO-MCRTH 14 QM PO-RT82 Management and Monitoring, PO-RT94 Determination of the Power Plant Heat and Steam delivered to consumers, training on the job | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11/35 |
| | Implementation of procedure: The correctness of the procedure implementation has been assessed by onsite visit at the installation site and following the data flow. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 12/ 13 |
| | Responsibility: District Heating Department Staff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7/ 11 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94, project data are transferred from District Heating Department to JI Project Department manually. Input of data and calculation is performed by JI Department. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the District Heating Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. New customers will be separately monitored and documented as foreseen in the registered PDD. The separate accounting of new consumers is done with the con- | See CR#1 IRL No.19 / 25 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>tract management system. Additional new consumers have been connected during the monitoring period 2009.</i> | |
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>Calculation can be crosschecked with the balance of total heat supplied to secondary network considering the efficiency of secondary network.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

2.2. Parameters measured through sampling

Table 1

| Sampling information | | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Parameter title | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | |
| | Net calorific value of lignite | n. a. | Lower calorific value (MR) / Net calorific value of lignite (Calculation tool) | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $CV_{P,lignite}$ | n. a. | $CV_{P,lignite}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | kcal/kg | n. a. | kcal/kg | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|--|---|---|
| Sampling frequency | | n. a. | Before delivery, for each lot of lignite | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Sampling point | Based on onsite analysis and billing records | n. a. | Onsite analysis manufacturer | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Uncertainty level | low | n. a. | ± 50 Kcal/Kg | The value of the certified analysis system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Technical aspects | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Sampling Principle: | Systemic Samples | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Methodology of Sampling: | ISO 1988 | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Sample Analysed by: | Supplier / laboratory of ROMAG TPP | | coal department | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Certification of Analyser/ Laboratory: | The calibration of the equipment was checked onsite the ROMAG TPP laboratory by certificates. Clarification Request No. 2: Please provide the certificate to accreditation of the laboratory of ROMAG TPP coal department that is conducting the analysis of the lignite. | | | | CR#2 This issue is solved partly. FAR#1 |
| | Forward Action Request No. 1: The accreditation process of ROMAG TPP Laboratory for fuel analysis is right now in progress. The certificate should be provided once the accreditation process is com- | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <i>pleted (latest Dec 2010), and the certificate has been issued.</i> | |
| Methodology of Sample Analysis (if applicable) | SR ISO 1928/1995 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Range: | 800 – 3000 Kcal/Kg | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in sampling frequency | Period: <i>n. a.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>n. a.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>n. a.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | Type: Certificates (Record Book) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Procedures: PO – RT – 78 <i>Fuels Calorific Values Determination</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Implementation of procedure: <i>The responsible JI-Project management and the staff of the ROMAG TPP laboratory was interviewed during audit how sampling and analysing processes are conducted. The calibration of the equipment was checked onsite the ROMAG TPP laboratory by certificates.</i> | See CR#2 / FAR#1 |
| | Clarification Request No. 3: <i>Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of lignite determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck.</i> | IRL No.10, 25, 34 |
| | Responsibility: <i>Head of Calorimetric Laboratory</i> | CR#3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | IRL No.36 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>Representativeness: <i>Lignite samplings are split in three portions, and separate analysis are carried out at the level of Supplier and Consumer, while the third portion is kept as witness sample. In case of differences are higher than 50 kcal/Kg, the witness sample will be analyzed and its value will be considered as final value.</i></p> <p>Reproducibility: Lignite samplings are split in three portions, and separate analysis are carried out at the level of Supplier and Consumer, while the third portion is kept as witness sample.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | <p>According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, where from are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO₂ emission reduction is made, based on the project approved methodology. Cells containing project formulae are locked</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <p><i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | <p>Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 25 |
| | <p>Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in</i></p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>the calculation tool.</i> | |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>A second analyse from each delivery is made by ROMAG TPP laboratory. In case of a deviation a backup witness sample will be analysed by both. The amount of consumed lignite is one component to calculate the fuel heat applied by the boiler. The general energy balance of the power plant that is done anyway gives the possibility of crosscheck in combination with the amount of fired lignite.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Table 2

| Sampling information | | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Parameter title | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | |
| Parameter ID (if available) | Net calorific value of oil | n. a. | Fuel oil lower calorific value (MR) / Net calorific value of fuel oil (Calculation tool) | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | $CV_{P,oil}$ | n. a. | $CV_{P,oil}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Sampling frequency | kcal/kg | n. a. | kcal/kg | Unit is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Sampling point | Based on onsite analysis and billing records | n. a. | For each lot of fuel oil. | This is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Onsite analysis | n. a. | Fuel oil supplier | This is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | <i>manufacturer</i> | | and Consumer (before unloading) | <i>when onsite means at TPP site before unloading.</i> | |
| Uncertainty level | <i>low</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | ± 50 Kcal/Kg | <i>The value of the certified analysis system is consistent to a "low" uncertainty level that was stated in the PDD.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Technical aspects | | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Sampling Principle: | Random sampling | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Methodology of Sampling: | SR ISO 1928/1995 | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Sample Analysed by: | <i>Supplier / laboratory of ROMAG TPP coal department</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| Certification of Analyser/ Laboratory: | <i>The calibration of the equipment was checked onsite the ROMAG TPP laboratory by certificates. See CR#2.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See CR#2 / FAR#1 |
| Methodology of Sample Analysis | SR ISO 1928/1995 | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measurement Range: | 8000 – 10000 Kcal/Kg | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Gaps in sampling frequency | Period: <i>n. a.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: <i>n. a.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: <i>n. a.</i> | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | | | | Conclusion |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | and IRL |
|---|--|--|
| Source of data | Type: Certificates (Record Book) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Procedures: PO – RT – 78 Fuels Calorific Values Determination | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.8 |
| | <i>The responsible JI-Project management and the staff of the ROMAG TPP laboratory was interviewed during audit how sampling and analysing processes are conducted. The accreditation of the laboratory and the calibration of the equipment were checked. Clarification Request No. 7 Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of oil determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck.</i> | IRL No.8, 25, 31# CR#4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.36 |
| | Responsibility: Head of Calorimetric Laboratory | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Representativeness: <i>The sampling is done for every delivery of fuel oil before unloading into the tank storage.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Reproducibility: <i>There are two samples that are analyzed taken at the same time by the supplier and TPP.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, where from are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO ₂ emission reduction is made, based on the project approved methodology. Cells containing project formulae are locked | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| | <i>Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i> | IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. These reports were checked with monthly reports issued by the Technical Department. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 25 |
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>Net calorific value of fuel oil is a part of the transport document of the supplier. A second analyse from each delivery is made by ROMAG TPP laboratory. In case of a deviation a backup witness sample will be analysed by both.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

2.3. Parameters obtained through external sources and accounting data

Table 1

| External sources and accounting information use a separate table for each single parameter | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | Quantity of lignite consumed | n. a. | Total power plant lignite consumption (MR) / Quantity of | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Parameter ID (if available) | $V_{P,lignite}$ | $V_{P,lignite}$ (Calculation tool) | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | T | T | Unit is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | |
| Description of Data / Data Refers to: | Delivered and invoiced Lignite consumed in boilers of TPP According to Project Procedure "PO – RT – 81 Power Plant Fuel Consumption De-termination" | | | |
| Date of Data: | 01/01/2009 – 31/12/09 | | | |
| Gaps in data | Period: n. a. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Default value used: n. a. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Justification: n. a. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | QA/QC aspects | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Source of data | Type: invoices, weight sheets, stock survey | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Responsibility: TPP coal department | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | | IRL No.7 |
| | Representativeness: The total amount of delivered lignite is weighted by deliverer and invoiced. Incoming waggons are weighted by weigh-bridge for check. Monthly mass balances are representative in accordance with the produced and measured heat. | | | |
| Reliability of Data Source: | According to Project Procedure "PO – RT – 81 Power Plant Fuel Consumption De-termination" | | | |
| | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | | IRL No.6 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Is the Data up-to-date? | All data are actual values regarding the reported years. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, where from are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO ₂ emission reduction is made, based on the project approved methodology. Cells containing project formulae are locked | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool. Clarification Request No. 5: Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of lignite consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosscheck. | IRL No.25 CR#5 IRL No.38 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | The amount of consumed lignite is one component for the calculation of the boiler applied fuel heat. The general energy balance of the power plant that is done anyway gives the possibility of crosscheck in combination with the net calorific value of lignite. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

Table 2

| External sources and accounting information use a separate table for each single parameter | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | PDD | Meth/Tool | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | Quantity of oil consumed | n. a | Total power plant fuel oil consumption (MR) / Quantity of fuel oil consumed (Calculation tool) | Description of title is consistent. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Parameter ID (if available) | $V_{P,oil}$ | n. a | $V_{P,oil}$ | Parameter ID is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Data Unit | T | n. a | T | Unit is consistent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Technical aspects | | | | | |
| Description of Data / Data Refers to: | Delivered and invoiced oil consumed in boilers of TPP According to Project Procedure "PO – RT – 81 Power Plant Fuel Consumption De-termination" | | | | |
| Date of Data: | 01/01/2009 – 31/12/2009 | | | | |
| Gaps in data | Period: n. a. | | | | |
| | Default value used: n. a. | | | | |
| | Justification: n. a. | | | | |
| | QA/QC aspects | | | | |
| Source of data | Type: invoices, weight sheets, tank level | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Conclusion and IRL | | | | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Responsibility: Boiler department | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| | Representativeness: <i>The total amount of delivered oil is weighted by deliverer and invoiced. Incoming wagons are weighted by weigh-bridge for check Monthly mass balances are representative in accordance with the produced and measured heat.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Reliability of Data Source: | According to Project Procedure "PO – RT – 81 Power Plant Fuel Consumption Determination" | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.6 |
| Is the Data up-to-date? | <i>All data are actual values regarding the reported year 2009.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 94 project data are processed within District Heating Department and are archived on paper and CDs in two different locations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.11 |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, where from are transferred to JI Project Department, where the final calculation for CO ₂ emission reduction is made, based on the project approved methodology. Cells containing project formulae are locked | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.24 |
| | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | <i>Evidence of completeness of data is provided by the implemented and verified QM-Procedure. Approved monthly reports for the full monitoring period have been supplied.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided. No discrepancies have been found and all data are consistent to the calculation tool.</i> Clarification Request No. 7: <i>Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of oil consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosscheck.</i> | IRL No. 25 CR#6 IRL No.38 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin
 Date of Completion: 2010/09/30
 Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: <i>All data provided in the Monitoring Report 2009 are consistent with the annual values in the calculation tool.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Crosscheck (if available) | <i>The amount of consumed oil is one component for the calculation of the boiler applied fuel heat. The balances of the boilers, only fired with oil, give the possibility of cross-check in combination with the net calorific value of fired oil.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

2.4. Other parameters not included in the methodology/tool but included in the PDD

| Other information use a separate table for each single parameter | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | PDD | MR | Verified | Conclusion and IRL |
| Parameter title | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> |
| Parameter ID (if available) | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> |
| Data Unit | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> | <i>n. a.</i> |
| | Technical aspects | | | Conclusion and IRL |
| Description of Data / Data Refers to: | <i>Description e.g. invoice of electricity consumed, NCV of gas consumed from gas provider, IPCC</i> | | | |
| Date of Data: | <i>Date</i> | | | |
| Gaps in data | <i>Period: applicable for missing data; include several periods if necessary separated by /</i> | | | |
| | <i>Default value used: description</i> | | | |
| | <i>Justification: the theoretical most conservative approach shall be used</i> | | | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | QA/QC aspects | Conclusion and IRL |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Source of data | Type: e.g. reports, website, certificates Responsibility: e.g. function of responsible for the data acquisition Representativeness: how is verified that the data is representative for the period within the relevant frequency and/or monitoring period? e.g.: certification of data source | |
| Reliability of Data Source: | Describe how the data will be archived, e.g. in CDs, in archive (for paper). Is there any redundancy and / or IT solution of data protection measures | |
| Archiving of raw data and protection measures | Manual or digital transfer from raw data source to input data for calculations (in calculation tool); how is it done and who does it? Protection measures in the calculation tool to avoid unintentional errors or data losses | |
| Data transfer and protection of input data for calculations | Quality of evidence | Conclusion and IRL |
| Completeness of data | Include a statement that sufficient evidence is available, both in terms of frequency and in covering the full monitoring period. Any deviation shall also be described above in Gaps in operating time of instrument | |
| Data verification | Consistency of raw data with calculation tool: Include a statement on how the data used in the calculation tool (transferred data) has been verified against the raw data e.g. the total flow for each month has been verified based on the logbook data (raw data) available on-site and no discrepancies have been found Consistency of calculation tool with monitoring report: Include also an statement that the data in the monitoring report is consistent with the calculation tool | |
| Crosscheck (if available) | If comparable information is available from sources other than that used in the monitoring report, then the DOE shall cross check the monitoring report against the other | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

3. Data Processing and ER calculation

| Description of data processing from transferred data to final results in the calculation tool | | |
|---|--|---|
| Step | Description | Conclusion and IRL |
| Consistency | All abbreviations and units used in the MR and the calculation tool are consistent with the PDD. They are traceable to the raw data what clearly corresponding to parameters. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calculation Tool description | The single sheets and parameters are clearly described and transparent. The description follows exactly the PDD and the MR. Revision number and issuing date are indicated at the Front Page sheet All formulae, intermediate steps and constants described transparently including correct units and in compliance with the registered PDD. The transparent description of the sheets leads to long formula description for the cells with complex calculations. The values are traceable clearly by analysing the related links properly. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.2 |
| Elimination of not plausible data (if applicable) | n. a. | |
| Transformation from useable data to input data for further calculation (if applicable) | n. a. | |
| Ex-ante data | n. a. | |
| Default parameter | Molar masses: $M_{CO_2} = 44,01 \text{ g/mol}$ $M_C = 12,01 \text{ g/mol}$ Carbon factors for fuels: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| | <p>Lignite 27,60 t C/TJ Fuel oil 21,10 t C/TJ These values refer to "Revised 2006 IPCC Guidelines".</p> <p>Oxidation factors: Are issued by the Ministry of Environment and also used in emission calculation of EU-ETS Lignite 97,00 % Fuel oil 99,50 %</p> | |
| <p>Formulae check</p> | <p>All formulae included in the calculation tool are in compliance with the pictured formulae in the PDD, D.1.1.2</p> <p>Baseline emissions = ("INPUT_DATA_ENERGY_PRODUCTION"/H10)/ANNEX_IV_BASELINE_DATA!\$G\$86/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!D10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!C10*"INPUT_DATA_FUEL_CONSUMPTION"/H10*"ANNEX_VL_CONVERSION_FACTOR!\$C\$7*"ANNEX_V_EMISSION_FACTOR DATA!\$C\$7)+("INPUT_DATA_ENERGY_PRODUCTION"/H10)/ANNEX_IV_BASELINE_DATA!\$G\$86/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!D10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!C10*"INPUT_DATA_FUEL_CONSUMPTION"/H10*"ANNEX_VL_CONVERSION_FACTOR!\$C\$7*"ANNEX_V_EMISSION_FACTOR DATA!\$C\$8)-("ANNEX_IL_EMISSIONS_NEW CON.!C10)</p> <p>Project emissions =("INPUT_DATA_ENERGY_PRODUCTION"/H10)/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!F10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!E10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!D10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!C10*"INPUT_DATA_FUEL_CONSUMPTION"/H10*"ANNEX_VL_CONVERSION_FACTOR!\$C\$7*"ANNEX_V_EMISSION_FACTOR DATA!\$C\$7)+("INPUT_DATA_ENERGY_PRODUCTION"/H10)/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!F10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!E10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!D10/ANNEX_L_SYSTEM EFFICIENCIES!C10*"INPUT_DATA_FUEL_CONSUMPTION"/H10*"ANNEX_VL_CONVERSION_FACTOR!\$C\$7*"ANNEX_V_EMISSION_FACTOR DATA!\$C\$8)-("ANNEX_IL_EMISSIONS_NEW CON.!D10)</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | <i>Emission reductions = C10-D10</i> | |
| Rounding functions | <i>There were no rounding functions applied in the Calculation tool. Calculation is due to Excel properties.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Calculation tool changes and protection measures | <i>The final calculation for CO2 emission reduction is made in the JI Project Department, based on the project approved methodology. According to Project Procedure PO – RT – 82: Management and Monitoring System, Project raw data are processed within the Technical Department, where from are transferred to JI Project Department. Data inputs into the tool are only done by authorised staff members of this department. The blank tool is prepared for data input includes Baseline Data and all calculation steps. Cells containing project formulae are locked. The calculation tool has not to be modified due to fixed calculation of the emission reduction. New corrections to primary and secondary network are considered by measured values that influence the results of the calculation.</i> | IRL No.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Reported data | <i>The actual reported data in the Monitoring Report of the year 2009 are consistent with the results of the corresponding calculation tool. See CAR#3</i> | See CAR#3 IRL No.1, IRL No.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

4. Additional assessment 4.1. Internal Review

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Description and performance of internal review | |
| Description | Conclusion and IRL |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Procedure | <p>The QM-Procedure PO-PT 82 – Management and Monitoring System JI-Project describes the responsibilities of all project involved TPP departments. This procedure has been updated in May 2010 for the following Monitoring Periods. The responsibilities of the JI Project Manager and JI Project Deputy Manager have been split so as to avoid activities overlap as well as to improve the project information and data check/ calculation/ verification process (procedure paragraph 5.2.2).</p> <p>The organigram in Annex 1 illustrates the cross-linked structure. The "Annex 2-JI Project Data Handling Process and QA Activities" contains the details regarding acquisition and handling of all necessary data. There were no changes in the Procedure during the Monitoring Period 2009.</p> <p>Finally all data, already approved at the department level are monthly provided to the JI-Department. There the delivered data are reviewed with additional raw data, e.g. daily records. The JI-Department issues a monthly JI-Project report that is reviewed and signed by PM and DPM. The approved data are put into the calculation tool. The Monitoring Report bases upon the annual results and is independently reviewed and signed by PM and DPM.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |
| Documentation | <p>In the monthly JI-Project report (JI Process Data Log Sheet) the JI-Project Manager has to sign that the review of the data sheet and the data log has been performed and all procedures have been followed.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.25 |
| Responsibilities | <p>The General Director of TPP ROMAG THERMO approves the Project Monitoring Reports finally.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.7 |

4.2. Peculiarities

| Description of Peculiarities and unexpected Daily Events during the verification period | |
|---|---|
| | Description |
| Performance | <p>The project was implemented in steps since October 2006, finished before the end of 2007 and finally accepted in March 2008. This led to increasing baseline and project emissions in 2008 compared to</p> |
| | Conclusion and IRL |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| | | |
|---------------|--|---|
| | <p>2007. In the Monitoring period 2009 baseline and project emissions accordingly the emission reductions decreased by a slightly (4.5 %) increasing district heat delivered to consumers connected to the secondary network. This was caused by a considerable increase (from 23 % in 2008 to 33 % in 2009) of the thermal efficiency of the power plant that leads to a lower total amount of fuel based CO₂ emissions. The performance of the implemented modernisation of the district heating system leads to the expected results. There were no further peculiarities in the operation of the system in the Monitoring Period of 2009.</p> <p>The annual maintenance of the whole network is performed in summer season and needs only a couple of days.</p> <p>Clarification Request No. 7: What were the changes at power plant side in general to reach this indicated improvement of thermal efficiency?</p> | <p>CR#7 This issue is considered as solved. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| Documentation | n. a. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Measures | There are no additional measures necessary then the implemented procedures and common maintenance. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

4.3. Further additional requirements

| Description of additional requirements to be checked | | Conclusion and IRL |
|--|---|--|
| environmental issues | <p>Description</p> <p>There are no additional requirements indicated in the registered PDD.</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>IRL No.0</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

4.4. Data Reporting

| Description of the Monitoring Report | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Comments and Results | Conclusion and IRL |
| Compliance with UNFCCC regulations | <p>The project is applying a project specific methodology approach. All requirements from the project specific methodology approach are fulfilled. The Monitoring Plan and the PDD are consistent.</p> <p>Verification period is from 01. January 2009 to 31. December 2009.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.1/3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Completeness and Transparency | <p>The parameters in the MR are clearly described, including why the parameter is reported and how it is considered in the calculation. The calculation formulae according to the registered PDD are a part of the MR.</p> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Correctness | <p>All of the provided values were correctly transferred from the assessed calculation tool to the MR.</p> | See CAR#3 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

5. Compilation and Resolutions of CARs, CRs and FARs

| Corrective Action Requests by audit team | | | Conclusion and IRL |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| | Comments and Results | Ref | |
| Issue | Corrective Action Request No.1 The value for January in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> of the calculation tool is not consistent to the record in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department. | 2.2, Table 6 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 2 |
| Response | The value for January in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> of the calculation tool has been updated accordingly to the record in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department. | | |
| Assessment | The value for January in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> of the calculation tool is now consistent to the record in the monthly report of the JI Department and Heat Production Department. This mistake must be an oversight and has to be avoided by double-checking each input value of the calculation tool. | | |
| Issue | Corrective Action Request No.2 Please put the completely parameter description in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> column E (H) of the calculation tool. | 2.2, Table 6 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 2 |
| Response | The parameter description in column E (H) in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> of the calculation tool has been updated. | | |
| Assessment | The parameter description in the sheet <i>IPUT DATA_ENERGY PRODUCTION</i> column E (H) of the calculation tool is complete and accordingly to all project documents. Now the parameter description is accordingly to the MR and the PDD. | | |
| Issue | Corrective Action Request No.3 An update of the annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 chapter 3.10 and 7. is necessary after completed correction according to CAR#1. | 2.2, Table 6 | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Corrective Action Requests by audit team | |
|--|---|
| Response | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Assessment | <p><i>The annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 have been updated.</i></p> <p><i>The annual values in the Monitoring Report 2009 have been updated accordingly to the new results after implementing corrected values in the calculation tool. There are no more discrepancies.</i></p> |
| | IRL No. 1 |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Clarification Requests by audit team | | | Conclusion and IRL |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Comments and Results | | | Ref |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 1 <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided onsite. Please provide the missing copies of March and December 2009.</i> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No. 25 |
| Response | <i>Monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period have been provided completely.</i> | 2.2, Table 1 | |
| Assessment | <i>The values in the MR 2009 excel-sheet can be assessed completely by the monthly reports issued by the JI-Department for the full monitoring period.</i> | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 2 <i>Please provide the certificate to accreditation of the laboratory of ROMAG TPP coal department that is conducting the analysis of the lignite.</i> | | This issue is solved partly. See FAR#1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Response | <i>The accreditation process of ROMAG TPP Laboratory for fuel analysis is right now in progress. The certificate will be provided once the accreditation process is completed and the certificate has been issued.</i> | 2.3, Table 1 | |
| Assessment | <i>For the Monitoring Period 2009 the determination of the calorific values for lignite and oil for comparison with supplier determined values was carried out by an accredited third party laboratory. In the year 2010 this task was delegated to ROMAG Thermo coal department laboratory. During onsite audit the calibration certificates for the equipment have been checked. The accreditation process is in progress.</i> | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 3 <i>Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of lignite determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck.</i> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.36 |
| Response | <i>The monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of lignite reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department have been provided</i> | 2.3, Table 1 | |
| Assessment | <i>There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the</i> | | |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Clarification Requests by audit team | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| | MR 2009. | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 4 Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of oil determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department for crosscheck. | 2.3, Table 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.36 |
| Response | The monthly values for 2009 of the net calorific value of oil reported by the ROMAG TPP coal department have been provided | | |
| Assessment | There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 5 Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of lignite consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosscheck. | 2.4, Table 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.37, 38 |
| Response | Monthly values and the single consumption sheets for 2009 of the quantity of lignite consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department have been provided. | | |
| Assessment | There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 6 Please provide monthly values for 2009 of the quantity of oil consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department for crosscheck. | 2.4, Table 2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRL No.37, 38 |
| Response | Monthly values and the single consumption sheets for 2009 of the quantity of oil consumed determined and reported by the ROMAG TPP coal/ technical department have been provided. | | |
| Assessment | There are no deviations to the data in the Monthly reports of the JI department and in the MR 2009. | | |
| Issue | Clarification Request No. 7 What were the changes at power plant side in general to reach this indicated improvement of thermal efficiency? | 4.2 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30

Number of Pages: 72

| Clarification Requests by audit team | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Response | <p>The improvement of thermal efficiency in 2009 versus to 2008, is due to the fact that in 2009 the ROMAG THERMO TPP was operated in a nearly pure cogeneration operational pattern (producing 1,561,147 Gcal as steam, 350,288 Gcal as heat for DH and 1,252,963 MWh for a fuel consumption of 5,806,927 Gcal – lignite and fuel oil) compared to 2008 when it was operated in a condensing operational pattern (producing 1,570,079 Gcal as steam, 341,588 Gcal as heat for DH and 1,906,995 MWh for a fuel consumption of 8,214,929 Gcal – lignite and fuel oil).</p> |
| Assessment | <p>This declaration can be followed by considering the calculation with values verified by TÜV SÜD for the Monitoring periods 2008 and 2009. The fact of a higher total efficiency of the ROMAG THERMO power plant, major less fuel consumption because of almost pure CHP processing, leads to less emission reduction for the project in 2009. This is although the heat delivered to the district heating system is comparable.</p> |

Verification Protocol

Project Title: Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin

Date of Completion: 2010/09/30


Number of Pages: 72

| Forward Action Requests by audit team | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Comments and Results | Ref | Conclusion and IRL |
| Issue | <p>Forward Action Request No. 1 <i>The accreditation process of ROMAG TPP Laboratory for fuel analysis is right now in progress. The certificate should be provided once the accreditation process is completed (latest Dec 2010), and the certificate has been issued.</i></p> | 2.3, Table 1 | |
| Response | | | |
| Assessment | | | |


PERIODIC VERIFICATION

**“Energy efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta
Turnu-Severin” (2009)**


Annex 2: Information Reference List

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>Final Report</p> <p>05-10-2010</p> | <p>Verification of the JI Project, track 1 Energy Efficiency Improvement of the District Heating System in Drobeta Turnu-Severin Information Reference List</p> | <p>Page 1 of 4</p>  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|


| Ref. No. | Author/Editor/ Issuer | Title/Type of Document. Publication place | Issuance and/or submission date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Additional Information (Relevance in JI Context) |
|----------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| 0. | Grue & Hornstrup | PDD "Engery efficiency improvement of the district heating system in Drobeta Turnu-Severin, V 8 | 07/11/2009 | V 1, 15/06/2006 V 8, 07/11/2009 |
| 1. | ROMAG-THERMO | Monitoring Report 2009 | 22/07/2010 | V 2, 22/07/2010 |
| 2. | ROMAG-THERMO | 100207_Drobeta Turnu Severin Monitoring Report 2009.xls | 22/07/2010 | V 2, 22/07/2010 |
| 3. | Grue & Hornstrup | Monitoring Plan, Guidelines and Procedures, Version 4 | 2009-11-07 | |
| 4. | ROMAG-THERMO | ROMAG TERMO TPP - Main Equipment Technical Features | 07/11/2009 | |
| 5. | ROMAG-THERMO | Calibration and validity permits for steam and heat metering system | National Institute for Metrology 2004 - 2008 | Steam and heat output, heat to consumers |
| 6. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 81 Fuel consumption | Internal document – 2007 – 2008 | |
| 7. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 82 Management and Monitoring System, JI-Project, QM System | May 2010 | update |
| 8. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 78 Coal calorific value determination | Internal document – 2007 – 2008 | Laboratory standard |
| 9. | ROMAG-THERMO | Organizational scheme, JI Project Responsibilities | Internal document – May 2010 | |
| 10. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 84 Data collection during emergencies (back-up) | Internal document – 2009 | |
| 11. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 94 Heat and steam recording procedure | Internal document – 2009 | |
| 12. | ROMAG-THERMO | Manual logbook steam production K 6 | Internal document – | |

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------|--|
| Final Report | 05-10-2010 | Verification of the JI Project, track 1 Energy Efficiency Improvement of the District Heating System in Drobeta Turnu-Severin Information Reference List | Page 2 of 4 |  Industrie Service |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------|--|

| Ref. No. | Author/Editor/ Issuer | Title/Type of Document. Publication place | Issuance and/or submission date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Additional Information (Relevance in JI Context) |
|----------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | 2009 | |
| 13. | ROMAG-THERMO | Manual logbook turbine parameters | 04/2010 | Actual recordings |
| 14. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO – RT 83 Personnel training procedure | 2009 | |
| 15. | ROMAG-THERMO | JI Project Training participation lists | 15.05.2009 | |
| 16. | ROMAG-THERMO | Training session in JI monitoring procedure | Version 6, 15.01.2009 | |
| 17. | ROMAG-THERMO | Steam_heat_metering equip.pdf | | Serial no. of meters. |
| 18. | ROMAG-THERMO | Steam_metering equip.pdf | | Serial no. of meters. |
| 19. | ROMAG-THERMO | Monthly Reports Heat Delivery, Thermo department | Jan 2009 – Dec 2009 | |
| 20. | ROMAG-THERMO | Coal consumption, daily records | 12/2009 | |
| 21. | ROMAG-THERMO | Calibrare_cantare.pdf | | Calibration for weightbridge for coal |
| 22. | ROMAG-THERMO | Calibration protocol calorimetric bomb, ROMAG Thermo laboratory | 26.05.2009 | |
| 23. | ROMAG-THERMO | Calibration protocol calculation system, ROMAG Thermo laboratory | 26.05.2009 | |
| 24. | ROMAG-THERMO | Information and details regarding VP, 2. Monitoring Plan Implementation | 11/2009 | |
| 25. | ROMAG-THERMO | JI Process Data Log Sheet | Jan 2009 – Dec 2009 | Monthly reports |
| 26. | S.C. Termo Actic S.R.L., Drobeta Turnu-Severin | Calibration protocol Pollustat EX, Private HCS Gheorghe Titeica Nr. 38 | 05.12.2006 | Consumer connected to primary network, sample |
| 27. | BRML Craiova | Calibration protocols of all devices HCS P.T. 13 | 20.08.2008/ 08.12.2006 | heat from primary network to secondary |

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------|--|
| Final Report | 05-10-2010 | Verification of the JI Project, track 1 Energy Efficiency Improvement of the District Heating System in Drobeta Turnu-Severin Information Reference List | Page 3 of 4 |  Industrie Service |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------|--|

| Ref. No. | Author/Editor/ Issuer | Title/Type of Document. Publication place | Issuance and/or submission date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Additional Information (Relevance in JI Context) |
|----------|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | network, sample PT 13 |
| 28. | S.C. Termo Actic S.R.L., Drobeta Turnu-Severin | Calibration protocol US Echo DN 25/ DN 20; casa: Matica Stefan, Decebal Nr. 16 (PT 54) | 12.11.2007/ 21.07.2008 | heat supplied to consumers, secondary network, sample |
| 29. | RAAN Suc. ROMAG-Thermo, Sectia Termoficare | Calibration protocol, New consumer primary network; Private HCS Ruculescu Lenuta, Aurelian No.8/10 | 04.09.2009 | New consumer connected to primary network, sample |
| 30. | RAAN Suc. ROMAG-Thermo, Sectia Termoficare | Calibration protocol, New consumer secondary network, Casa Nicolici Stefan, Kiseleff Nr.25 (PT10) | 04.11.2008/ 27.02.2009 | New consumer connected to secondary network, sample |
| 31. | S.C. Filiala ICEMENERG S.A. Bucurest | Determination of oxidation factor, 2009 | 31.03.2009 | |
| 32. | ROMAG-THERMO | Excerpt from Concession Contract | 19.12.2003 | Concession period |
| 33. | APM MH | LEA Reports, Semi Annual QA – Checklist for local EPA, 2009 | 28.01./29.01.2010 | |
| 34. | ROMAG-THERMO | PO-MCRTH 14, Internal QM-Procedure, Technical Media | July 2009 | |
| 35. | ROMAG-THERMO | Contract situation new consumers connected to primary and secondary network | 29.04.2010 | System printout |
| 36. | ROMAG-THERMO | Monthly net calorific values for lignite and oil, year 2009 | 2010 | Cross check reports |

| | | | | |
|--------------|------------|---|----------------|---|
| Final Report | 05-10-2010 | Verification of the JI Project, track 1 Energy Efficiency Improvement of the District Heating System in Drobeta Turnu-Severin Information Reference List | Page 4 of 4 |  |
|--------------|------------|---|----------------|---|

| Ref. No. | Author/Editor/ Issuer | Title/Type of Document. Publication place | Issuance and/or submission date(dd/mm/yyyy) | Additional Information (Relevance in JI Context) |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Sectie Combustibil | | | |
| 37. | ROMAG-THERMO JI Project Manager | Summary of lignite and oil consumption, year 2009 | 2010 | Cross check reports |
| 38. | ROMAG-THERMO Sectie Combustibil | Single consumption sheets of lignite and oil, year 2009 | Jan – Dec 2009 | Cross check reports |