## **JI Verification**



<b>AIE REPORT FORM</b>							
A	(version	04)					
(to be	(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)						
Accreo	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certi	fication Hold	ing SAS			
JI activity							
Refere	nce number and title of JI activity	UA 1000297 "Rehabilitation of the District Heating Systems in Makiivka, Mariupol, Artemivsk Cities of Donetsk Region"					
Parties	s involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, The Netherlands					
Project	t participants	<ul> <li>Participant 1 - Ukraine:</li> <li>RME "Donetskteplocomunenergo",</li> <li>ME "Makiivteplomerezha",</li> <li>MCE "Mariupolteplomerezha",</li> <li>"Artemivsk-Energy", Ltd.</li> <li>Participant 2 - The Netherlands:</li> <li>E-Energy B.V.</li> </ul>					
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/A					
Туре с	of JI activity: 🗹 large-scale 🛛 small-	scale 🗆 LULU	JCF	🗆 PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monito	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2011	- 31/12/20	11			
source	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of $CO_2$ eq.)	140753					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity		□ Yes 🗹		⊠No			
to JI Iy	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ N/A					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠N/A					
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :							
	No						

## Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, rev.02, of 17/05/2012.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	🗹 Yes	□ No		
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	□ <b>N/A</b>	☑ Yes	□ No	
List of documents attached to the verification report Please attach relevant documents used in the verificatior		urk below acco	rdinaly	

	Verification report					
	Wri	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
	Oth	er documents:				
	Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable					
		Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
	$\square$	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
	Ø	Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable ( <i>JI PoA only</i> )				
	$\square$	Any other documents (please list): Emission reductions calculation spreadsheet				
			verification for the JI activity referred to above is incompatible with the role of an AIE			
Opera	tiona	I manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov Hydrige SAS			
Date and signature		gnature	17/05/2012			