

JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

(to be completed by an Ale requeeting the publication of a det	ormination paroual	it to paragraph o	r or the or guidennes,			
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS						
JI activity						
Reference number and title of JI activity	0034 - Benaiciai wind power project					
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)						
Parties involved in the JI activity	Lithuania (Host pa Sweden (Investor	• ,				
Type of JI activity: x large scale ☐ small s	cale 🗆 L	ULUCF	□ РоА			
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals						
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2010 - 31/12/2010					
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	x N.A.					
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	x N.A.					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	18178 t of CO₂ equivalent					
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes x No		x No			
Statements regarding requirem	ents and pos	ssible chan	ges			
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the further relevant requirements defined by the CMP of to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: x Yes No	he Kyoto Protor r the JISC with	col, the JI gui regard to veri	delines and fications pursuant			
<u>Verification opinion</u>						

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd periodic verification of the "Benaiciai wind power project" in Lithuania, which applies the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of RENERGA, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 06. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/01/2010 to 31/12/2010

Baseline emissions : 18 178 t CO2 equivalents.

Project emissions : 0 t CO2 equivalents.

Emission Reductions (Year 2010) : 18 178 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		x No	
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	x N.A.			
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised, please confirm that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	x N.A.	□ Yes	□ No	
The monitoring plan was revised	□ Yes x No		x No	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)				
The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	□ Yes	□ No	x N.A.	

		uments attached to the verification report				
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly						
х	X Verification report (revision 02, 26/09/2011)					
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
	□ Other documents:					
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
	X	Any other documents (please list):				
 Final monitoring report (version 2, issued on 02/08/2011) 						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Authorized officer signing for the AIE		officer signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan			
Date a	nd si	gnature	28/09/2011			