



JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS		
JI activity			
Reference number and title of JI activity	0137 - JI project aimed at N2O emissions reduction by installation of secondary catalyst inside ammonia oxidation reactors at 3 nitric acid production plants NA2, NA3 and NA4 of Azomures SA, company situated in Targu Mures, Romania		
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.		
Parties involved in the JI activity	Romania and France		
Type of JI activity:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> large scale	<input type="checkbox"/> small scale	<input type="checkbox"/> LULUCF <input type="checkbox"/> PoA
Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals			
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	24/07/2008 – 19/07/2010 LINE NA2: 28/10/2008 - 19/07/2010 LINE NA3: 24/07/2008 - 05/04/2010 LINE NA4: 11/08/2008 - 11/12/2009		
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.		
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.		
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO₂ equivalent)	2 197 384		
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes			
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Verification opinion			
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:			
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the Initial & 1st periodic verification of the “JI project aimed at N2O emissions reduction by installation of secondary catalyst inside ammonia oxidation reactors at 3 nitric acid production plants NA2, NA3 and NA4 of Azomures SA company, situated in Targu Mures city,			

Romania" of AZOMURES S.A. located in Targu Mures city, Mures county, Romania, and applying the methodology AM0034 version 03.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of AZOMURES S.A. is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 1.6. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 03, issued on 14 of February 2011 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is calculated without material misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Line NA2

From 28 October 2008 to 19 July 2010

Baseline emissions:	833 867	t CO2 equivalents
Project emissions:	58 243	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions:	775 624	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2008):	36 217	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2009):	474 559	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2010):	264 848	t CO2 equivalents

Line NA3

From 24 July 2008 to 05 April 2010

Baseline emissions:	1 093 793	t CO2 equivalents
Project emissions:	127 228	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions:	966 565	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2008):	284 398	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2009):	487 466	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2010):	194 701	t CO2 equivalents

Line NA4

From 11 August 2008 to 11 December 2009


Baseline emissions:	545 914	t CO2 equivalents
Project emissions:	90 719	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions:	455 195	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2008):	150 273	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions(2009):	304 922	t CO2 equivalents
Total:	2 197 384	t CO2 equivalents

The project design was revised after the determination has been deemed final

Yes No

If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)

N.A.

If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
The <u>monitoring plan</u> was revised	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i>			
The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.
List of documents attached to the verification report form			
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification report <input type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Other documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met <input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable (Note: revised monitoring plan was provided as consistent part of the monitoring report, therefore it was attached separately). <input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans (Note: determination is provided in the verification report, section 3.4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Any other documents (please list): 			
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE			
Authorized officer signing for the AIE	Witold Dżugan		
Date and signature			
	02/03/2011		