



JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity Nitrous Oxide Emission Reduction Project at GP Nitric Acid Plant in AB Achema Fertiliser Factory
No 0064

Coordinating entity (*applicable to JI PoA only*)

Parties involved in the JI activity Lithuania (Host party)
Netherlands (Investor party)

Type of JI activity: large scale small scale LULUCF PoA

Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 25/01/2010-16/06/2011

Reference number of JPAs verified x N.A.
(*applicable to JI PoA only*)

A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA x N.A. Yes No
(*applicable to JI PoA only*)

Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) x N.A.
(*applicable to JI PoA only*)

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO₂ equivalent) 927 598 t of CO₂ e

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity Yes No

Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

- Yes
- No

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "Nitrous Oxide Emission Reduction Project at GP Nitric Acid Plant in AB Achema Fertilizer Factory", located in Lithuania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N₂O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v02. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of ACHEMA, AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 10 dated 12/12/2008. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2.0 dated August 2011 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 25/01/2010 to 16/06/2011

Emission Reductions (year 2010):	609 205	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions (year 2011):	318 393	t CO2 equivalents
Emission Reductions (total):	927 598	t CO2 equivalents.

The project design was revised after the determination has been deemed final	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	x N.A.	
If project design was revised, please confirm that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, please confirm that:		
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	x N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
The monitoring plan was revised	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i>		

The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans

Yes No x N.A.

List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and check mark below accordingly

- Verification report (revision 02, 14/10/2011)**
- Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable**
- Other documents:**
- Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met
 - Revised monitoring plan, if applicable
 - Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans
 - Sampling plan, if applicable (*JI PoA only*)
- Any other documents (please list):**
- Monitoring report (version 2.0, issued August 2011)
- Excel spreadsheets:
- 2nd project line calculation and evaluation V.1.0. 01-07-2011
 - baseline calculation and evaluation V.5.0. 01-07-2011
 - comparison_of_N2O_emissions_with_IPPC_permit 2nd Project campaign V.1.0.
 - Statistical tests_V.1.0.

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Witold Dżugan

Date and signature

18/10/2011

