## **JI Verification**



## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

JI activity							
Refer	ence number and title of JI activity	UA1000262 "Revamping of sintering and blast-furnace production at OJSC "Alchevsk Iron and Steel Works"					
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Ukraine, Japan, Spain, The Netherlands, The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland					
Projec	ct participants	PJSC "Alchevsk Iron and Steel Works" (AISW) Sumitomo Corporation Endesa Carbono, S.L. Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF) – on behalf of the Netherlands Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF) – on behalf of Spain					
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	CF Carbon Fund II N/A					
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale   □ small-scale   □ LULUCF   □ PoA							
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals							
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYY	01/10/2011 – 31/12/2011					
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions b es/enhancements of anthropogenic remova iks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	-					
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Yes 🗹 No					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYY	(Y) <b>Z</b> N/A					
	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A					
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A □ Yes □ No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes							
releva parag		of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to					

No

<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications						
The verification opinion is provided in the ver/0446/2011 version 03 of 03/04/2012.	attached \	/erification	Report №UKRAINE-			
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		□ Yes	☑ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	s 🗆 No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A		s 🗆 No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:						
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A		s 🗆 No			
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A		s 🗆 No			
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A		s 🗆 No			
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A		s 🗆 No			
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period	□ Yes		☑ No			
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)						
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	s 🗆 No			

		ignature	03/04/2012 Hokizo SAS		
Opera	tiona	al manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov Bureau Veritas		
			he verification for the JI activity referred to abo is incompatible with the role of an AIE		
	Ø	Any other documents: Excel-file "Calculation ER_4q_2011_AISW_ver 2"			
	Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met			
		<ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> </ul>			
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Other relevant documents:				
	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
	☑ Verification report				